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## Introduction

Schott \& Associates (S\&A) was contracted to conduct a natural resource assessment for the proposed project site located at 9710 SW Day Road, Wilsonville, Washington County, OR (T3S, R1W, Section 2B, Tax Lot $600 \& 601$; Figure 1). The site features natural resources including streams, wetlands, and associated riparian corridors and Impact Areas that are subject to City of Wilsonville's Significant Resource Overlay Zone (SROZ) Ordinance (Section 4.139.00 of the Wilsonville Planning and Land Development Code). This report is intended to fulfil the requirements of SROZ Map Verification pursuant to Section 4.139.06(.01)(B-H) and a standard Significant Resource Impact Report (SRIR) pursuant to Section 4.139.06(0.02)(D)(1). Wetland delineation has been approved by the Oregon Department of State Lands (DSL; WD\#2021-0556; Appendix H).

## Statement of Qualifications

Schott \& Associates has over 30 years of experience in environmental consultation and project permitting. S\&A staff is composed of well qualified and experienced individuals. All have been through wetland delineation training and are proficient in performing wetland delineations and habitat assessments. Kim Biafora was assigned to manage this project and performed project coordination, fieldwork, and report and map production.

Kim Biafora is a wetland scientist and GIS analyst who joined Schott \& Associates in April 2018. She received her Bachelor's degree from Portland State University in Environmental Science and Management. Kim contributes 10 years of experience in wetland delineation and reporting, permitting, habitat assessment and mapping, data collection and analysis, and GIS applications to the company. Kim has worked largely in the lower Columbia River region and has a foundation in Pacific Northwest ecology with expertise in lowland and montane rainforest, and tidal estuarine and freshwater wetland habitats. She is versed in general ecological survey and data analysis methods, as well as protocols specific to wetland delineation and functions assessment, habitat mapping and assessment and mitigation site monitoring. She is familiar with wetland/habitat ordinance and permitting requirements for many local jurisdictions throughout Oregon and Washington, as well as state and federal wetland regulation.

## Site Description

The project site consisted of the entirety of tax lots 600 and 601 . This site featured a single-family residence in the northeastern section and a graveled area and access road in the southern and central portion. An unimproved access road and associated 125 -foot electric transmission line and storm drainage easement cut south across the western portion of the site from SW Day Road to a transmission tower located in the southwestern site corner. A 100-foot BPA right-of-way (ROW) is located in the southwest corner adjacent to the 125 -foot utility easement (refer to Appendix B for topographic survey). The remainder of the site was undeveloped and generally vegetated by Douglas-fir (Psuedotsuga menziesii) forest in the eastern and western portions and English hawthorn (Crataegus monogyna)/Himalayan blackberry (Rubus armeniacus) shrubland in the central portion (refer to Appendix C for tree survey).

Site topography included a west-facing hillslope in the eastern portion which flattened out in the central portion and remained fairly level in the western portion. Tapman Creek, a tributary to Seely Ditch and the Willamette River, flowed from double 36-inch diameter culverts under SW Day Road, south through the western portion of the site and into a culvert at the southwestern site corner. A compensatory wetland mitigation (CWM) site was located just west of and parallel to the creek. The CWM site was constructed to mitigate for the widening of SW Day Road and replacement of a single culvert with the existing 88-foot-long double culverts at Tapman Creek (DSL \#25201-FP; Corps \#200200173 ). Both of these features are within the 125 -foot utility easement.

The site was surrounded by commercial development to the north and south and rural residential development to the east and west. At the time of assessment, the site was zoned for 20-acre future development (Washington County zoning designation FD-20). According to the Wilsonville SROZ map, significant natural resources are mapped on the site (Appendix D).

## Methods

Prior to visiting the site, the following existing data and information was reviewed:

- Washington County InterMap (http://washims.co.washington.or.us/InterMap/)
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (UFWS) National Wetland Inventory (NWI) and Oregon Department of Forestry (ODF) mapping
- Metro Title 3 lands mapping
- U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) NRCS gridded Soil Survey Geographic (gSSURGO) database for Washington County
- Google Earth aerial photographs from the time period between 1994 and 2019
- Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI) LiDAR data

Schott \& Associates initially visited the site October 23, 2019. Follow-up fieldwork was performed on September 1, 2021 to document any changes since 2019 fieldwork. Data on vegetation, hydrology, and soils were collected according to methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (Environmental Laboratory 1987) and the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast (Version 2) (Environmental Laboratory 2010). Nineteen sample plots were established throughout the site to locate the boundaries of wetlands. Plant indicator status was determined using the 2018 National Wetland Plant List (Corps 2018). Onsite streams were delineated via the ordinary high-water mark (OHWM) as indicated by top of bank, wrack or scour lines, change in vegetation communities, or gage elevation where applicable.

All identified wetlands and waters are classified according to the USFWS Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States (Cowardin et al. 1979) and the Guidebook for Hydrogeomorphic (HGM)-based Assessment of Oregon Wetland and

Riparian Sites (DSL 2001). A wetland delineation report was prepared and submitted to DSL. The report received DSL concurrence in December 2021 (Appendix H).

Wetland functional analysis was conducted according to the Oregon Freshwater Wetlands Assessment Methodology (OFWAM) per section 4.139.06(.02)(D)(3)(c).

Application and width of Vegetated Corridors were determined based on water type, flow period, drainage basin, and adjacent slopes according to Table NR-1 of Section 4.139.00. Water type and flow period were determined based on a combination of field observations, available data and information, and guidance from state and federal agencies. Drainage basins were delineated using topography data available from the Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI) LiDAR data. Adjacent slopes were measured at a minimum of three slope cross-sections established perpendicular to the water feature spaced at no more than 100 -foot increments using a combination of field measurements and topographical survey data. Vegetated corridor width in areas where the slopes are equal to or greater than $25 \%$ gradient are extended to 50 feet beyond the break in slope, up to 200 feet from the edge of the water resource for primary protected water features and 50 feet for secondary protected water features.

The composition, structure, and condition of Vegetated Corridors were assessed at representative sample points established in each community type. Wildlife habitat assessment of Vegetated Corridors was conducted according to Metro's 2001 Wildlife Habitat Assessment Methodology.

Ground-level photographs were collected to document site conditions (Appendix E).

## Results

## Physical Analysis

Five soil series were mapped within the study site boundary according to the USDA NRCS soil survey for Washington County. Saum silt loam at slopes from 2-20\% was mapped in the eastern, southern, and much of the northern site margins. The Saum series consists of very deep, well drained soils that formed in colluvium and residuum from the Columbia River Basalt Group and occurs on summits and side slopes in areas affected by mass movement. This series is nonhydric and not subject to flooding or ponding. Quatama loam at slopes of $0-30 \%$ was mapped over a small area along the northern site margin. The Quatama series consists of very deep, moderately well-drained soils that formed from stratified glaciolacustrine deposits from the Missoula Floods and occurs on terrace steps and risers. This series is predominantly nonhydric ( $4 \%$ hydric inclusions) and not subject to flooding or ponding. Salem gravelly silt loam at slopes of $0-12 \%$ was mapped in the western site margin. The Salem series is a very deep, well-drained soil that formed from loamy alluvium over sandy and gravelly alluvium and occurs on stream terraces. This series is nonhydric and not subject to flooding or ponding. Briedwell stony silt loam at slopes of $0-20 \%$ was mapped in the central portion of the site. The Briedwell series consists of very deep, well drained soils that formed in mixed alluvium and occurs on stream terraces. This series is nonhydric and not subject to flooding or ponding.

Wapato silty clay loam at slopes of $0-3 \%$ was mapped through the western portion of the site in the area corresponding with the location of Tapman Creek and the CWM site. The Wapato series consists of very deep, poorly drained soils that formed in loamy mixed alluvium and occur on floodplains and basins. This series is predominantly hydric (92\% hydric inclusions) and subject to frequent flooding and ponding.

## Wetlands and Waters

Two wetlands and one wetland drainage (Tapman Creek) were identified within the study site; Wetlands 1 and 2 totaled 0.33 acre and Tapman Creek totaled 0.10 acre on site. Wetland, sample plots, and photo point locations are shown on Figure 2.

Tapman Creek: Tapman Creek was a wetland drainage which originated on the site from a pair of culverts installed under SW Day Road and drained south through the western portion of the study site between Wetlands 1 and 2 (described below). At the southern boundary, the creek turned sharply west and drained into a collapsed metal culvert. The creek featured a defined bed and bank and was identified as a creek by ODF; however, this study classified it as a wetland drainage based on the presence of hydric soils and vegetation throughout its length onsite. The boundary was mapped based on top of bank, scour, and paired plots and covered 0.10 acre onsite. At the approximate center, Tapman Creek connected with Wetland 1 (CWM site). The channel was 5-10 feet wide and approximately 3-4 feet deep with steep, incised banks featuring some erosion and undercutting. The channel has likely been artificially deepened and rerouted along its southern reach at some point. It was almost entirely vegetated along its length with reed canarygrass (Phalaris arundinacea; FACW), water parsley (Oenanthe sarmentosa; OBL), creeping buttercup (Ranunculus repens; FAC), and Himalayan blackberry (FAC). Riparian vegetation included Oregon ash (Fraxinus latifolia; FACW), English hawthorn (FAC), Himalayan blackberry, serviceberry (Amelanchier alnifolia; FACU), snowberry (Symphoricarpos albus; FACU), English ivy (Hedera helix; FACU), and trailing blackberry (Rubus ursinus; FACU), According to ODF stream mapping, Tapman Creek is a small, seasonal, fish-bearing tributary to Seely Ditch located approximately two miles south of the site. It drains a basin of approximately 400 acres. The drainage was assessed as a riverine flow-through HGM class with a Cowardin class of seasonally flooded, palustrine emergent (PEMC). It meets the definition of a Primary Protected Water Feature according to Table NR-1 of Section 4.139.00.

The soil sample met the Corps hydric soil indicator for redox dark surface. Soils were black in matrix color with common to many yellow-red redoximorphic concentrations occurring as soft masses and pore linings. Soil texture was silty clay loam. Corps wetland hydrological indicators observed included sparsely vegetated concave surface, waterstained leaves, sediment deposits, FAC-neutral test, and geomorphic position. No surface water was present during October 2019 or September 2021 fieldwork and a seasonal flow period was assumed.

Wetland 1: Wetland 1 consisted of the CWM site constructed in 2002 and covered 0.26 acre. The wetland was sustained by seasonal flows conducted south under SW Day Road through a culvert as well as high flows from Tapman Creek. The CWM site was
excavated from hydric soils to increase stormwater capacity and alleviate downstream flooding of Tapman Creek. According to the CWM plan, the northern portion of the CWM site ( 0.1 acre) was designed as a water quality facility and the remaining 0.25 acre was to serve as mitigation. The CWM site was planted with Pacific willow (Salix lasiandra; FACW), spiraea (Spiraea douglasii; FACW), black hawthorn (Crataegus douglasii; FAC), Nootka rose (Rosa nutkana; FAC), slough sedge (Carex obnupta; OBL), spreading rush (Juncus patens; FACW), and red fescue (Festuca rubra; FAC). The wetland featured steep, well-defined banks that were graded at a $3: 1$ slope. It connected with Tapman Creek in the approximate center of the wetland, though this connection does not appear part of the original design. Additionally, a pipe outlet was present in the southwestern portion of the wetland, that isn't shown on site design plan. It is unknown whether this pipe serves as an overflow pipe or discharges into the wetland.

The wetland was assessed as a depressional outflow HGM class and an excavated, seasonally flooded palustrine scrub-shrub (PSSCx) Cowardin class. Vegetation included a patchy canopy of Oregon ash with a dense understory of Pacific willow, Scouler's willow (Salix scouleriana; FAC), Sitka willow (S. sitchensis; FACW), spiraea, Nootka rose, Himalayan blackberry, reed canarygrass, and soft rush (Juncus effusus; FACW). The northern portion of the wetland featured more shrub and tree cover while the southern portion featured more herbaceous cover. This wetland does not meet the definition of a primary or secondary protected water resource according to Table NR-1.

The soil samples met the Corps hydric soil indicator for redox dark surface. Soils were black ( 10 YR 2/1) to very dark gray ( 10 YR $3 / 1$ ) in matrix color with common to many yellow-red redoximorphic concentrations occurring as soft masses. Soil texture was silty clay loam. Corps wetland hydrological indicators including high water table and soil saturation were observed during October 2019 fieldwork.

Wetland 2: Wetland 2 occupied a broad, very shallow depression to the east of Tapman Creek and covered 0.07 acre. It appeared to have no inlet or outlet and was likely hydrologically sustained by high groundwater and impounded precipitation and possibly received overbank flooding from Tapman Creek during very high flow events. The wetland was assessed as a flats HGM class and a seasonally flooded palustrine forested (PFOC) Cowardin class. Vegetation consisted of an Oregon ash stand with a sparse understory of Nootka rose, English hawthorn, Himalayan blackberry, and spiraea. This wetland does not meet the definition of a primary or secondary protected water resource according to Table NR-1.

The soil samples met the Corps hydric soil indicator for redox dark surface. Soils were black in matrix color with common to many yellow-red redoximorphic concentrations occurring as soft masses and pore linings. Soil texture was silty clay loam. Corps wetland hydrological indicators observed included oxidized rhizospheres along living roots, geomorphic position, and FAC-neutral test.

## Vegetated Corridors

According to Table NR-1, the Vegetated Corridor applied to primary protected water features (Tapman Creek, an intermittent stream draining more than 100 acres) has a base width of 50 feet. The base width can extend up to 200 feet in cases where the adjacent slope gradient is greater than or equal to $25 \%$. Slope gradients adjacent to Tapman Creek varied from $2-4 \%$. Thus, the Vegetated Corridor applied to the creek was 50 feet wide and totaled 0.99 acre ( $43,189 \mathrm{sq}$. ft ) onsite. The Vegetated Corridor boundary is coincident with the Goal 5 safe harbor boundary according to the standards within the Oregon Administrative Rule OAR 660-023-990(5). This rule accords all streams with average annual stream flow less than 1,000 cubic feet per second a 50 -foot riparian corridor. The Vegetated Corridor and safe harbor boundary are shown in Figure 2.

Vegetation in the onsite Vegetated Corridor was dominated by nonnative vegetation including English hawthorn, Himalayan blackberry, orchardgrass (Dactylis glomerata), creeping bentgrass (Agrostis stolonifera), tall fescue (Schedonorus arundinaceus), hairy cat's ear (Hypochaeris radicata), wild carrot (Daucus carota), oxeye daisy (Leucanthemum vulgare), and dovefoot geranium (Geranium molle). A few Oregon ash trees were present within the Vegetated Corridor, as well as in Wetlands 1 and 2 as described above.

Impact Areas
Impact Areas consist of the 25 ft . wide band adjacent to the outer 50 ft . Vegetated Corridor boundary. The Impact Area featured a utility maintenance access road west of the Vegetated Corridor and mixed shrubs east of the Vegetated Corridor. Vegetation included English hawthorn, Himalayan blackberry, and Nootka rose with nonnative turfgrasses and weedy forbs. Impact Areas onsite totaled 0.51 acre ( 22,332 sq. ft.)

## Ecological Analysis

## Wetlands

Wetlands were assessed based on evaluation criteria in the Oregon Freshwater Wetlands Assessment Methodology (OFWAM). OFWAM evaluates wildlife habitat, fish habitat, water quality, and hydrologic control functions. A summary of functional analysis is presented in Table 1 below. OFWAM assessment forms are included as Appendix F.

Table 1. Wetland Functional Analysis Summary

| Function | Tapman Creek | Wetland 1 | Wetland 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wildlife Habitat | Habitat for some <br> species | Habitat for some <br> species | Habitat for some <br> species |
| Fish Habitat | Impacted/degraded | Impacted/degraded | N/A |
| Water Quality | Intact | Intact | None |
| Hydrologic Control | Impacted/degraded | Impacted/degraded | Impacted/degraded |

Tapman creek provides some wildlife habitat based on its surface water connection to other wetlands, presence of vegetative buffer greater than 25 feet, and unimpacted water

| Schott \& Associates |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ecologists and Wetland Specialists |  |
| PO Box 589, Aurora, OR. 97002 | P: (503) $678-6007$ |
| Page 6 | S\&A\# 2739 |

quality in upstream reaches; however, it lacks diversity of habitat and vegetation structure and is surrounded by developed land uses. Fish habitat function was assessed as impacted/degraded based on the modified character of the channel, low cover of stream shading by riparian vegetation, developed surroundings, and lack of fish access. Water quality function was assessed as intact based on a surface water hydrological source, flooding or ponding during a portion of the growing season, high cover of wetland vegetation, and surrounding developed uses. Hydrological control function was assessed as impacted/degraded as the stream is not within a 100 -year floodplain or closed basin, is dominated by emergent vegetation, and has an upstream forested/natural area land use.

Wetland 1 provides some wildlife habitat based on the presence of multiple habitat types, woody vegetation, surface water connection to other wetlands, vegetative buffer, and unimpacted water quality in upstream reaches; however, it is less than 0.5 acre in size and is surrounded by developed uses. Fish habitat function was assessed as impacted/degraded based on developed surroundings and lack of fish access. Water quality function was assessed as intact based on a surface water hydrological source, flooding or ponding during a portion of the growing season, high cover of wetland vegetation, and surrounding developed uses. Hydrological control function was assessed as impacted/degraded as the wetland is not within a 100 -year floodplain or closed basin, has minor outlet restriction, and has an upstream forested/natural area land use.

Wetland 2 provides some wildlife habitat based on the presence of woody vegetation, waterbodies within one mile, vegetative buffer, and unimpacted water quality in upstream reaches; however, it features low habitat interspersion, is less than 0.5 acre in size, and is surrounded by developed uses. Fish habitat function was assessed as not present due to lack of surface water and fish access. Water quality function was assessed as not present based on a ground water hydrological source, lack of flooding or ponding during the growing season, small size, lack of connected wetlands, and lack of water quality impairments in upstream reaches Hydrological control function was assessed as impacted/degraded as the wetland is not within a 100-year floodplain or closed basin, has no evidence of flooding or ponding during the growing season, and has an upstream forested/natural area land use.

## Wildlife Habitat

Wildlife habitat in riparian/Vegetated Corridors was assessed according to Metro’s 2001 Wildlife Habitat Assessment (WHA) Methodology. The assessment evaluates wildlife habitat diversity (food, cover, water sources), water quality protection, ecological integrity (disturbance), connectivity, and uniqueness. Riparian/Vegetated Corridors were generally vegetated by invasive species including Himalayan blackberry, English hawthorn, nonnative grasses, and weedy forbs along with some native Oregon ash, Scouler's willow, Nootka rose, spiraea, snowberry, and trailing blackberry cover.

Based on WHA results, overall wildlife habitat value provided onsite was moderate to low. The results are summarized in Table 2 and discussed below. The WHA form is included as Appendix G.

Table 2. Wildlife Habitat Assessment Scores

| Parameter | Component | Score/Total | Rating |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Habitat <br> Diversity | Water | $15 / 28$ | Moderate |
|  | Food | $10 / 24$ | Moderate |
|  | Cover | $14 / 28$ | Moderate |
| Ecological <br> Integrity | Physical <br> Disturbance | $1 / 4$ | Low |
|  | Human <br> Disturbance | $2 / 4$ | Moderate |
|  | Connectivity |  |  |  |
| Uniqueness |  |  | $0 / 4$ |

Habitat diversity scored moderate based on the presence of a seasonal stream/wetlands, limited food sources with a short season, and primarily shrub cover. Habitat features generally favored small mammals and passerine birds common to urban and suburban areas. Ecological integrity scored low-moderate based on the dominance of invasive species within the vegetation community, low tree cover, and developed surrounding land use but infrequent direct human use. Connectivity was scored low due to the developed surrounding land use, busy adjacent road corridor, and piping of the stream as it enters and exits the site. Uniqueness was scored low due to a lack of rare, threatened, or sensitive plant or wildlife species, rare habitat types, scenic value, or educational potential.

## Riparian Corridor Condition

Riparian corridor condition was assessed as generally moderate. Little large woody debris was present in or adjacent to the stream as few trees grow in the riparian area or could be recruited from offsite areas since Tapman Creek is conducted onsite via culverts. Some shading is present in the northern portion of the site where larger woody shrubs (willow) or trees occur, but as Himalayan blackberry generally dominates the riparian vegetation community, there is little overhanging vegetation to provide stream shading. Erosion and sediment control is provided by dense growth of invasive reed canarygrass, which dominates the creek channel. Some erosion and scour was evident within the stream channel, but was not significant. The well-vegetated riparian buffer provides good water quality protection as demonstrated by the OFWAM functional analysis of Tapman Creek. Due to channelization and the constrained nature of the creek, little floodplain connectivity is evident, though some minor overbank flooding may occur during very high-water events. Habitat onsite is connected with larger, intact, high-quality wetland/stream and forested upland habitat to the north of the site across SW Day Road; however, the habitat onsite is poorer quality, disturbed by utility maintenance, and cut off from the habitat to the north by the high-traffic road. South of the site, the area is developed for commercial and utility use and no habitat functions are present.

## Proposed Plan

The proposed project consists of the expansion of the transportation company to the south (Delta Logistics) and includes the construction of a large warehouse in the eastern portion
of the site with parking and truck trailer storage in the central and western portions of the property. Crossing of Tapman Creek is required to access the western portion of the property. Construction of direct access from SW Day Road to the western portion of the site is prohibited by the City for the purpose of achieving preferred access spacing. Widening and improvements along the property's frontage of SW Day Road is also required by the City as a condition of project approval. The site plan has been designed to avoid encroachments to the stream and wetland and minimize encroachments to the Vegetated Corridor while meeting those City goals. The site plan also positions vegetated water quality treatment facilities as a buffer between the proposed development and remaining SROZ area. Areas of proposed encroachment are vegetated entirely by invasive species and contain no tree canopy. The site plan included in Appendix A. The development design implements the following habitat friendly development practices:

- Incorporates stormwater management in road rights-of-way
- Disconnects downspouts from roofs and directs the flow to vegetated water qualify facility
- Minimizes the number of stream crossings and places crossing perpendicular to stream channel
- Uses a bridge crossing rather than culverts
- Uses native vegetation throughout the development
- Locates landscaping adjacent to SROZ
- Reduces light spill-off into SROZ areas from development
- Preserves and maintains existing trees and tree canopy coverage, and plans trees, where appropriate to maximize future tree canopy coverage

Prior to any site clearing, grading or construction, the SROZ area shall be staked, and fenced per approved plan. During construction, the SROZ area shall remain fenced and undisturbed except as allowed by an approved development permit.

## Proposed Encroachments

Encroachments are proposed to the Vegetated Corridor and Impact Area. Encroachments will occur in the northern portion of the Vegetated Corridor for the City required widening of SW Day Rd and in the southern portion for the Tapman Creek crossing. These areas are vegetated entirely by invasive species including Himalayan blackberry and reed canarygrass. No trees or native species will be removed as a result of construction. Encroachments will occur on both sides of the creek for the road crossing and along the eastern portion of the Impact Area for the road widening, creek crossing, and construction of a vegetated water quality and stormwater detention facility. No encroachments to Tapman Creek or the wetlands are proposed. No trees will be removed from the SROZ. Development activity has been limited to the Impact Area where practical except where necessary to widen SW Day Road and cross Tapman Creek to access the western portion of the site. Encroachments are summarized in Table 3 below

Table 3. Encroachment Summary

| SROZ | Total Area | Encroachment (sq. <br> ft.) | Remaining <br> Area |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vegetated <br> corridor | 43,189 | 10,300 | 32,889 |
| Impact area | 22,332 | 14,500 | 7,332 |
| TOTAL | 65,521 | 23,300 | 42,222 |

Proposed encroachments will reduce the overall area of Vegetated Corridor by 10,300 sq. ft ; however, the impact to the overall functions and values of the water resources and riparian corridor is expected to be minimal since it will be left largely intact and the encroachment area is currently low functioning and dominated with nonnative species. The encroachments are proposed at the margins of the site adjacent to or in the vicinity of existing development. The elements with existing moderate function will not be affected. The proposed vegetated stormwater quality facilities located to the east and west of the remaining Vegetated Corridor will operate as a buffer to the SROZ area by intercepting and treating stormwater runoff before it reaches the area. The mitigation plan described below has been developed to improve the existing function of the riparian corridor and offset any potential impacts.

## Mitigation and Enhancement Plan

The mitigation plan was developed with guidance from Wilsonville Development Code Section 4.139.06(.02)(E)(1)(b) and Table NR-4. Section 4.139.06(.02)(E)(1)(b) requires native trees and shrubs to be planted at a minimum rate of five (5) trees and twenty-five (25) shrubs per every 500 sq.ft. of disturbance area. For a disturbance area of $10,300 \mathrm{sq}$. ft., planting at this rate amounts to 103 trees and 515 shrubs. Table NR- 4 prescribes a ratio of mitigation area to disturbance area based on the existing function of the site and proposed function of the site. Based on the functional assessment of the vegetated corridor/riparian corridor described above, both the impact site and mitigation site have low-to-moderate natural resource function based on low canopy cover, high invasive species cover, proximity to developed land uses, channelization of Tapman Creek, and fragmented connectivity to other habitats. The proposed mitigation plan is expected to provide ecological uplift and increase wildlife habitat, ecological integrity, and water quality protection functions as shown in Table 4 below. The prescribed mitigation ratio was determined as 2.5:1.

Table 4. Natural Resource Enhancement Mitigation Ratios

| Function | Mitigation <br> Site/Impact <br> Site Existing <br> Function | Mitigation <br> Site Proposed <br> Function | Change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wildlife <br> Habitat | Moderate | High | Increase |


| Ecological <br> Integrity | Low | Moderate | Increase |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Connectivity | Low | Low | None |
| Water Quality <br> Protection | Moderate | High | Increase |
| Uniqueness | Low | Low | None |
| Ratio per Table NR-4 |  | $\mathbf{2 . 5 : 1}$ |  |
| Proposed Mitigation Ratio |  |  | $\mathbf{3 . 2 : 1}$ |

The proposed mitigation plan will enhance the remaining vegetated corridor/riparian area east of the existing access road which is assessed as low-to-moderate in natural resource function with low canopy cover and high nonnative species cover. The enhancement activities involve removal of invasive species and planting of native trees, shrubs, and herbs over $32,863 \mathrm{sq}$. ft of SROZ area. As much of the SROZ west of Wetland 1 is located within the utility ROW, tree planting will be limited to areas outside of the easements. Trees will be planted at the higher end of the required density ( 8 ft . on center) in this area to compensate for the lack of tree planting within the utility ROW. A total of 134 trees and 1,643 shrubs are proposed to be planted. Bare ground shall be planted or seeded with native grasses or herbs. The proposed mitigation plan far exceeds the planting numbers prescribed by $4.139 .06(.02)(\mathrm{E})(1)(\mathrm{b})$ and results in a mitigation ratio of 3.2:1 exceeding the ratio prescribed by Table NR-4.

## Planting Plan

The planting plan is proposed for the $32,863 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . of vegetated/riparian corridor and is shown on Figure 3. As the planting site is adjacent to a stream and wetlands, a riparian community was selected. The forest community will include 134 trees and 430 shrubs planted over $8,600 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. outside of the utility ROW. The shrub community will include 1,213 shrubs over 24,263 sq. ft . inside of the utility ROW. The planting palette is listed in Table 5 below. The species selected are appropriate to proposed site conditions. All bare ground within the enhancement area will be seeded with ProTime 400 or equivalent at a rate to achieve $100 \%$ aerial cover.

Table 5. Planting Palette for Vegetated Corridor Enhancement Area (32,863 ft)

| Species | Category | Minimum Size* | Spacing | Quantity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Riparian Forest Community (outside the ROW): $8,600 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. |  |  |  |  |
| Oregon ash Fraxinus latifolia | Tree | 2 gal . | $8^{\prime} \mathrm{OC}$ | 37 |
| Scouler's willow Salix scouleriana | Tree | 2 gal . or bare root | $8^{\prime} \mathrm{OC}$ | 37 |
| Western redcedar Thuja plicata | Tree | 2 gal . or bare root | $8^{\prime} \mathrm{OC}$ | 60 |
| Redosier dogwood Cornus stolonifera | Shrub | 1 gal . or bare root | $\begin{aligned} & 5^{\prime} \mathrm{OC} \\ & \text { cluster } \end{aligned}$ | 86 |
| Red elderberry Sambucus racemosa | Shrub | 1 gal . or bare root | $\begin{aligned} & 5^{\prime} \mathrm{OC} \\ & \text { cluster } \end{aligned}$ | 86 |
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| Snowberry <br> Symphoricarpos albus | Shrub | 1 gal. or bare root | $5^{\prime}$ OC <br> cluster | 86 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Salmonberry <br> Rubus spectabilis | Shrub | 1 gal. or bare root | $5^{\prime}$ OC <br> cluster | 86 |
| Swamp rose <br> Rosa pisocarpa | Shrub | 1 gal. or bare root | $5^{\prime}$ OC <br> cluster | 86 |
| Riparian Shrub Community (inside the ROW): 24,263 sq. ft. |  |  |  |  |
| Redosier dogwood <br> Cornus stolonifera | Shrub | 1 gal. or bare root | $5^{\prime}$ OC <br> cluster | 200 |
| Red elderberry <br> Sambucus racemosa | Shrub | 1 gal. or bare root | 5 'OC <br> cluster | 200 |
| Snowberry <br> Symphoricarpos albus | Shrub | 1 gal. or bare root | 5 'OC <br> cluster | 213 |
| Salmonberry <br> Rubus spectabilis | Shrub | 1 gal. or bare root | $5^{\prime}$ OC <br> cluster | 200 |
| Swamp rose <br> Rosa pisocarpa | Shrub | 1 gal. or bare root | $5^{\prime}$ OC <br> cluster | 200 |
| Indian plum <br> Oemleria cerasiformis | Shrub | 1 gal. or bare root | $5^{\prime}$ OC <br> cluster | 200 |
| ProTime 402* |  |  |  |  |

*Native riparian mix includes blue wildrye (Elymus glaucus), meadow barley (Hordeum brachyantherum), and tufted hairgrass (Deschampsia cespitosa)

The mitigation planting plan was designed according Section 4.139.07(.02)(E) and shall meet the following:

- The planting plan shall be implemented prior to or at the same time as the impact activity is conducted
- All trees, shrubs and ground cover shall be native vegetation.
- Trees and shrubs shall be at least one-gallon in size and shall be at least twelve (12) inches in height.
- Trees shall be planted between eight (8) and twelve (12) feet on center, and shrubs shall be planted between four (4) and five (5) feet on center, or clustered in single species groups of no more than four (4) plants, with each cluster planted between eight (8) and ten (10) feet on center. When planting near existing trees, the drip line of the existing tree shall be the starting point for plant spacing measurements
- Shrubs shall consist of at least two (2) different species. If five (5) trees or more are planted, then no more than fifty (50) percent of the trees may be of the same genus.
- Invasive non-native or noxious vegetation shall be removed within the mitigation area prior to planting and shall be removed or controlled for five (5) years following the date that the mitigation planting is completed.
- Mulch shall be applied around new plantings at a minimum of three inches in depth and eighteen inches in diameter. Browse protection shall be installed on trees and shrubs. Mulching and browse protection shall be maintained during the two-year plant establishment period.
- Trees and shrubs that die shall be replaced in kind to the extent necessary to ensure that a minimum of eighty (80) percent of the trees and shrubs initially required shall remain alive on the fifth anniversary of the date that the mitigation planting is completed


## Mitigation Goals and Performance Standards

The mitigation site goal is as follows:
Enhance 32,890 sq. ft. of vegetated corridor to improve riparian corridor, water quality protection, ecological integrity and wildlife habitat functions by removing invasive species and maintaining a native, woody-dominated plant community.

Performance standards are based on Metro's Title 3 water quality performance standards to protect and improve water quality and protect the functions and values of Water Quality Resource Areas (Metro 2018). This plan's performance standards for forest and/or shrub dominated areas and shall consist of the following:

1. Establishment of permanent monitoring locations during the first annual monitoring.
2. Cover of native herbaceous species is at least $60 \%$
3. Cover of invasive species is no more than $10 \%$. After the site has matured to the stage when desirable canopy species reach $50 \%$ cover, the cover of invasive species may increase but may not exceed $30 \%$.
4. Bare substrate represents no more than $20 \%$ cover
5. Density of woody vegetation is at least 1,600 live trees or shrubs per acre OR the cover of native woody vegetation on site is at least $50 \%$. Native volunteer species may be included in the cover or density estimate.
6. By Year 3 and thereafter, at least 6 different native species must be present. To qualify, a species must have at least $5 \%$ average cover in the habitat class and occur in at least $10 \%$ of the plots sampled
7. By Year 5, a minimum of eighty (80) percent of the trees and shrubs initially required shall remain alive

## Maintenance and Monitoring

Monitoring will occur annually over a 5-year monitoring period to assess condition of plantings, irrigation, mulch etc. Monitoring will be conducted by qualified personnel during peak growing season (July-August). Annual monitoring reports will be provided to the Planning Director for review by December of each monitoring year. The report shall contain, at a minimum, photographs from established photo points, quantitative measure of success criteria, including plant survival and vigor. The Year 1 annual report shall be submitted one year following mitigation action implementation. The final annual
report (Year 5 report) shall document successful satisfaction of mitigation goals, as per the stated performance standards

The applicant will be responsible for coordinating ongoing maintenance and management. If the ownership of the mitigation site property changes, the new owners will have the continued responsibilities Maintenance activities including mulching, weed removal, herbivory control, and supplemental planting will be conducted by a qualified contractor at least twice per growing season and once prior to the growing season or more frequently as indicated by monitoring results. Any failed plants will be replaced in-kind with the cause of loss (wildlife damage, poor plant stock, drought, weed overgrowth, etc.) documented and additional maintenance done to address the cause of loss and ensure future plant survival.

## Summary and Conclusions

In summary, the applicant (Delta Logistics) proposes a commercial development on a property located at 9710 SW Day Road (T3S, R1W, Section 2B, Tax Lot 600 \& 601).

- The property features SROZ area in the western portion including wetlands ( 0.33 acre), Tapman Creek ( 0.10 acre), and associated Vegetated Corridor (43, 189 sq. ft ) and Impact Areas ( 22,332 sq. ft.).
- The proposed design maximizes use of the site while minimizing adverse impacts to natural resources and incorporates several habitat friendly development practices. No encroachments to onsite wetlands or waters are proposed and no trees will be removed from the SROZ.
- Encroachments to Vegetated Corridor (10,300 sq. ft.) and Impact Areas (14,500 sq. ft.) are proposed due to City-required widening and improvements along the property's frontage of SW Day Road and to access the west side of Tapman Creek. Accessing the western portion of the property from SW Day Road is prohibited by the City, leaving a stream crossing as the only option to utilize this valuable area.
o The existing Vegetated Corridor/riparian corridor conditions were assessed as low-to-moderate in function with high invasive species cover and low tree canopy cover. These areas are within a utility easement and are historically disturbed.
o The specific areas of the of the SROZ proposed for encroachment (particularly along SW Day Road) are low-functioning and vegetated entirely by invasive or nonnative species (primarily Himalayan blackberry and reed canarygrass) and lack tree cover.
o The proposed encroachments are not expected to affect the overall functions of the riparian/Vegetated Corridor as the preponderance of the SROZ will remain intact and the elements with existing moderate function will not be affected. Vegetated water quality treatment facilities are positioned between the remaining SROZ area and the development and will serve as a buffer by intercepting and treating stormwater runoff. The encroachments are proposed at the margins of the site adjacent to or
in the vicinity of existing development. A mitigation plan has been developed to offset any potential impacts to natural resources.
- The Mitigation and Enhancement Plan provides functional uplift to the remaining onsite riparian/Vegetated Corridor. The Plan will enhance 32,863 sq. ft. of riparian/Vegetated Corridor and provide benefits that exceed the mitigation recommendations of the SROZ regulation.
o A total of 134 trees and 1,643 shrubs are proposed to be planted. Bare ground shall be planted or seeded with native grasses or herbs
0 Trees will be planted at the higher end of the required density ( 8 ft . on center) outside the ROW to compensate for the lack of tree planting within the utility ROW.
o The proposed mitigation plan far exceeds the planting numbers prescribed by $4.139 .06(.02)(\mathrm{E})(1)(\mathrm{b})$ and results in a mitigation ratio of 3.2:1 exceeding the ratio prescribed by Table NR-4.
0 While the overall area of the riparian/Vegetated Corridor will be smaller, wildlife habitat, ecological integrity, and water quality protection functions will substantially improve through removal of widespread invasive species and establishment of native forest and shrub communities.
o Connectivity and uniqueness functions will remain the same.


## FIGURE 1: PROJECT VICINITY MAP
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Figure 1. Location Map

SW Day Road Project Site: S\&A \#2739
Schott \& Associates, Inc.


## FIGURE 2: EXISTING CONDITIONS - SIGNIFICANT RESOURCE
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Figure2. Existing Conditions - Significant Resources Overlay Zone

SW Day Road Project Site: S\&A \#2739
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## FIGURE 3: MITIGATION PLANTING AREA
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APPENDIX B. TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY


APPENDIX C. TREE SURVEY

| Tree No. | Common Name | Scientific Name | DBH ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}- \\ \operatorname{Rad}^{2} \end{gathered}$ | Condition ${ }^{3}$ | Structure | Comments | Not On Property | Treatment | Mitigation ${ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 549 | English hawthorn | Crataegus monogyna | 5 | 2.5 | Fair | Fair | Multiple stems |  | tbd | tbd |
| 791 | Willow | Salix sp. | 20 | 10 | Poor | Very Poor | Data visually collected due to inaccessible area |  | tbd | tbd |
| 874 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 36 | 18 | Good | Fair | Broken limbs at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1270 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 47 | 23.5 | Fair | Fair | Co-dominant stem with included bark, broken limbs in crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1272 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 41 | 20.5 | Good | Good |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1274 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 33 | 16.5 | Good | Fair | Wood pecker damage at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1276 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 28 | 14 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1278 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 31 | 15.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, sap sucker damage at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1280 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 43 | 21.5 | Good | Good |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1301 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 40 | 20 | Good | Good |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1303 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 50 | 25 | Fair | Poor | Weeping crack at 12', decay with sloughing bark at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1311 | sweet cherry | Prunus avium | 20 | 10 | Very Poor | Very Poor | Tree in heavy decline |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1333 |  |  | Tree not present |  |  |  | Tree not present |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1480 | sweet cherry | Prunus avium | 8 | 4 | Fair | Poor | Data visually collected | x | tbd | tbd |
| 1501 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 30 | 15 | Good | Good |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1657 |  |  | Tree not present |  |  |  | Tree not present |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1660 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 39 | 19.5 | Fair | Fair | Broken limbs at base, thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1766 |  |  | Tree not present |  |  |  | Tree not present |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1797 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 49 | 24.5 | Fair | Fair | Wood pecker damage at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1799 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 44 | 22 | Fair | Fair | Co-dominant stem with included bark |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1801 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 44 | 22 | Fair | Fair | Co-dominant stem with included bark, cracks and wood pecker holes at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1803 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 23 | 11.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, excavation in root zone |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1805 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 33 | 16.5 | Fair | Fair | Buried root flare |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1807 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Good | Good |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1809 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 41 | 20.5 | Fair | Fair | Torsion cracks at base, excavation in root zone |  | tbd | tbd |


| Tree No. | Common Name | Scientific Name | DBH ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { C- } \\ \mathrm{Rad}^{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Condition ${ }^{3}$ | Structure | Comments | Not On Property | Treatment | Mitigation ${ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1811 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 49 | 24.5 | Fair | Fair | Torsion cracks in stem, wood pecker damage at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1813 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 25 | 12.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, bark damage at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1815 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 22 | 11 | Good | Fair | Crack with good response growth at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1817 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 13 | 6.5 | Dead | Dead |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1819 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 20 | 10 | Good | Good |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1821 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 18 | 9 | Fair | Fair | Contorted stem at 25' |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1821.1 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 12 | Good | Fair | Swelling at base where other tree was removed |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1821.2 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Fair | Fair | Contorted stem at 15', bark damage at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1823 |  |  | Tree not present |  |  |  | Tree not present |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1825 |  |  | Tree not present |  |  |  | Tree not present |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1827 |  |  | Tree not present |  |  |  | Tree not present |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1831 |  |  | Tree not present |  |  |  | Tree not present |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1833 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 31 | 15.5 | Good | Good |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1835 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 34 | 17 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1837 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 28 | 14 | Good | Fair | Excavation in root zone |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1839 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 23 | 11.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1841 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 33 | 16.5 | Good | Fair | Holes in trunk at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1843 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 51 | 25.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1845 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 48 | 24 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, dead limbs in crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1847 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 22 | 11 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, bark damage at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1849 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Good | Good |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1885 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 23 | 11.5 | Good | Fair | Broken limbs at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1887 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 38 | 19 | Good | Fair | Broken limbs at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1933 |  |  | Tree not present |  |  |  | Tree not present |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1957 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 23 | 11.5 | Dead | Dead |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1958 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 27 | 13.5 | Dead | Dead |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1959 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 21 | 10.5 | Dead | Dead |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1960 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 14 | 7 | Poor | Poor | Thin crown, dead top |  | tbd | tbd |


| Tree No. | Common Name | Scientific Name | DBH ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \mathrm{C}- \\ \mathrm{Rad}^{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Condition ${ }^{3}$ | Structure | Comments | Not On <br> Property | Treatment | Mitigation ${ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1961 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 17 | 8.5 | Dead | Dead |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1962 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 22 | 11 | Dead | Dead |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1963 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 27 | 13.5 | Poor | Poor | Dead top |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1964 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Poor | Poor | Tree in decline |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1965 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1966 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1967 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Fair | Good | Epicormic growth on limbs |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1968 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 31 | 15.5 | Good | Fair | Broken limbs at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1969 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 28 | 14 | Good | Fair | Broken limbs at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1970 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 31 | 15.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1971 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 22 | 11 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1972 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 12 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 1973 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 51 | 25.5 | Fair | Fair | Broken limbs at base, over extended limbs |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2071 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 31 | 15.5 | Good | Fair | Bark damage at base, ivy at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2072 | Oregon ash | Fraxinus latifolia | 11 | 5.5 | Poor | Poor | Contorted stem, thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2073 | Willow | Salix sp. | 14 | 7 | Dead | Dead |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2074 | Oregon ash | Fraxinus latifolia | 20 | 10 | Poor | Poor | Dead limbs in crown, over extended limbs |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2075 | Oregon ash | Fraxinus latifolia | 14 | 7 | Fair | Poor | One failed stem at base, decay at base, heavy lean |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2116 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 21 | 10.5 | Dead | Dead |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2118 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 12 | Dead | Dead |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2120 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 32 | 16 | Poor | Poor | Dead top |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2122 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 32 | 16 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, bark damage at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2124 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 17 | 8.5 | Fair | Fair | Bark damage at base, ivy in crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2127 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 33 | 16.5 | Good | Fair | Bark damage at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2129 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 18 | 9 | Very Poor | Very Poor | Dead top |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2131 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Poor | Poor | Ivy in crown, thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2133 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 15 | 7.5 | Fair | Fair | Ivy in crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2135 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 15 | 7.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, ivy covering base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2137 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 30 | 15 | Fair | Good | Bark damage at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2139 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 37 | 18.5 | Good | Good |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2141 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 19 | 9.5 | Fair | Fair | Co-dominant stem with included bark at bas, fruiting body at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2143 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Dead | Dead |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2145 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2147 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 15 | 7.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2149 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 12 | Fair | Poor | Thin crown, contorted stem, ivy covering base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2151 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 29 | 14.5 | Poor | Poor | Thin crown, ivy covering stem |  | tbd | tbd |


| Tree No. | Common Name | Scientific Name | DBH ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}- \\ \operatorname{Rad}^{2} \end{gathered}$ | Condition ${ }^{3}$ | Structure | Comments | Not On Property | Treatment | Mitigation ${ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2153 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 15 | 7.5 | Dead | Dead |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2155 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 12 | 6 | Fair | Fair | Ivy covering base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2157 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 19 | 9.5 | Dead | Dead |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2159 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 32 | 16 | Good | Fair | Ivy covering base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2161 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Dead | Dead |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2163 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 12 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2165 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Dead | Dead |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2167 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 34 | 17 | Fair | Fair | Bark damage at base, thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2169 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 28 | 14 | Poor | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2171 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 22 | 11 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, ivy covering base, bark damage at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2173 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 21 | 10.5 | Very Poor | Very Poor | Tree in heavy decline |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2175 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Dead | Dead |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2177 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 12 | Very Poor | Very Poor | Tree in heavy decline |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2179 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 15 | 7.5 | Dead | Dead |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2181 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 21 | 10.5 | Dead | Dead |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2183 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 12 | Dead | Dead |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2185 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 23 | 11.5 | Poor | Very Poor | Tree previously topped |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2199 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 30 | 15 | Good | Fair | Limb with included bark at 25', bark damage at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2201 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 14 | 7 | Good | Poor | Co-dominant stem at base, heavy lean |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2203 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 27 | 13.5 | Good | Fair | Co-dominant stem at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2205 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 29 | 14.5 | Good | Fair | Bark damage at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2207 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Good | Good |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2209 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 19 | 9.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, bark damage at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2211 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 42 | 21 | Fair | Poor | Thin crown, decay at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2213 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 12 | Poor | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2215 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 17 | 8.5 | Fair | Fair | Bark damage at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2217 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 27 | 13.5 | Fair | Fair | Pistol butt stem, thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2219 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2221 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Good | Good |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2223 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 12 | 6 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2225 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 23 | 11.5 | Poor | Poor | Sloughing bark at base, thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2227 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 25 | 12.5 | Good | Good |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2229 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 13 | 6.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2231 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 22 | 11 | Poor | Poor | Red ring rot fruiting bodies on stem |  | tbd | tbd |


| Tree No. | Common Name | Scientific Name | DBH ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{C}- \\ \mathrm{Rad}^{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Condition ${ }^{3}$ | Structure | Comments | Not On Property | Treatment | Mitigation ${ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2233 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 21 | 10.5 | Poor | Poor | Bark damage at base, thin crown, decay at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2235 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 38 | 19 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, excavation in root zone |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2237 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 32 | 16 | Fair | Fair | Data visually collected | x | tbd | tbd |
| 2239 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 35 | 17.5 | Fair | Poor | Insect damage at base, thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2241 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 25 | 12.5 | Poor | Poor | Bark damage at base, thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2241.1 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 14 | 7 | Dead | Dead |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2244 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 29 | 14.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, decay at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2246 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 28 | 14 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2248 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 47 | 23.5 | Good | Good |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2250 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 23 | 11.5 | Fair | Fair | Decay at base, thin crown, barbed wire in base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2252 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 14 | 7 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, soil around base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2254 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 14 | 7 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2256 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2258 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 23 | 11.5 | Good | Poor | Excavation in root zone, bark damage at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2260 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Fair | Fair | Bark damage at base, thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2262 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Fair | Fair | Contorted stem, thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2264 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 13 | 6.5 | Poor | Poor | Contorted top, bark damage at base, thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2266 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 11 | 5.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, rock piled at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2268 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Poor | Poor | Thin crown, ivy at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2270 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 32 | 16 | Poor | Poor | Decay at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2272 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 46 | 23 | Fair | Fair | Old wound with decay at base, thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2274 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Good | Good | Data visually collected | x | tbd | tbd |
| 2276 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 17 | 8.5 | Good | Good | Data visually collected | x | tbd | tbd |
| 2278 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 14 | 7 | Poor | Poor | Thin crown, bark damage at base, appears to be on edge of property line |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2280 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 32 | 16 | Poor | Fair | Decay at base, thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2282 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 31 | 15.5 | Poor | Poor | Decay at base, thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2284 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 20 | 10 | Poor | Poor | Decay at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2286 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 33 | 16.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2288 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 32 | 16 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2290 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 17 | 8.5 | Very Poor | Very Poor | Dead top |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2292 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 18 | 9 | Fair | Fair | Ivy covering base, thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2294 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 31 | 15.5 | Poor | Poor | Decay at base, ivy covering base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2296 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 41 | 20.5 | Fair | Poor | Decay at base, thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |


| Tree No. | Common Name | Scientific Name | DBH ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{C}- \\ \mathrm{Rad}^{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Condition ${ }^{3}$ | Structure | Comments | Not On Property | Treatment | Mitigation ${ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2298 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 39 | 19.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2300 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2302 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Poor | Poor | Decay in stem at 20', thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2304 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 12 | Good | Fair | Fence in base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2306 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 54 | 27 | Fair | Fair | Broken limb at base, thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2308 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 18 | 9 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2310 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 13 | 6.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2312 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 14 | 7 | Poor | Poor | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2314 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Poor | Poor | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2316 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 25 | 12.5 | Fair | Fair | Ivy covering base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2318 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 12 | Fair | Fair | Ivy covering base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2320 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 39 | 19.5 | Good | Fair | Pistol butt |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2322 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 23 | 11.5 | Fair | Fair | Ivy covering base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2324 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 23 | 11.5 | Fair | Fair | Ivy covering base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2326 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 15 | 7.5 | Fair | Fair | Ivy growing on stem |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2328 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 20 | 10 | Good | Good | Data visually collected | X | tbd | tbd |
| 2330 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Poor | Poor | Data visually collected | x | tbd | tbd |
| 2332 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 25 | 12.5 | Good | Good |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2334 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 18 | 9 | Fair | Fair | Data visually collected | x | tbd | tbd |
| 2336 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 18 | 9 | Fair | Fair | Data visually collected | x | tbd | tbd |
| 2338 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 32 | 16 | Good | Good | Data visually collected | x | tbd | tbd |
| 2340 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 22 | 11 | Good | Fair | Bark damage on roots, appears to be on edge of property line |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2342 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 25 | 12.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2344 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2346 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 14 | 7 | Fair | Poor | Decay in stem, bark damage at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2348 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 25 | 12.5 | Poor | Poor | Decay in stem, thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2350 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 39 | 19.5 | Good | Good |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2352 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 18 | 9 | Poor | Poor | Exposed roots, thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2354 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 19 | 9.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2356 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 23 | 11.5 | Good | Good |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2358 | Pacific madrone | Arbutus menziesii | 18 | 9 | Poor | Fair | Data visually collected | x | tbd | tbd |
| 2360 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 20 | 10 | Good | Good | Data visually collected | X | tbd | tbd |
| 2362 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Good | Fair | Data visually collected | X | tbd | tbd |
| 2364 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 27 | 13.5 | Fair | Good | Data visually collected | X | tbd | tbd |
| 2366 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 13 | 6.5 | Poor | Fair | Thin crown, appears to be on edge of property line |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2368 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 22 | 11 | Good | Good | Data visually collected | x | tbd | tbd |
| 2370 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 21 | 10.5 | Fair | Good | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2372 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Good | Good |  |  | tbd | tbd |


| Tree No. | Common Name | Scientific Name | DBH ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{C}- \\ \mathrm{Rad}^{2} \end{gathered}$ | Condition ${ }^{3}$ | Structure | Comments | Not On <br> Property | Treatment | Mitigation ${ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2374 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 12 | 6 | Good | Good | Appears to be on edge of property line |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2376 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 34 | 17 | Good | Good | Data visually collected | x | tbd | tbd |
| 2378 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 14 | 7 | Good | Fair | Data visually collected | x | tbd | tbd |
| 2380 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 25 | 12.5 | Fair | Good | Data visually collected | x | tbd | tbd |
| 2382 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 36 | 18 | Poor | Fair | Ivy covering base, thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2384 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 25 | 12.5 | Poor | Poor | Data visually collected | x | tbd | tbd |
| 2386 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 22 | 11 | Good | Good | Data visually collected | x | tbd | tbd |
| 2388 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 25 | 12.5 | Poor | Poor | Data visually collected | x | tbd | tbd |
| 2390 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 36 | 18 | Fair | Fair | Data visually collected | x | tbd | tbd |
| 2392 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 36 | 18 | Fair | Good | Data visually collected | $x$ | tbd | tbd |
| 2398 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 17 | 8.5 | Good | Good | Data visually collected | X | tbd | tbd |
| 2400 | bigleaf maple | Acer macrophyllum | 10 | 5 | Good | Fair | Data visually collected | x | tbd | tbd |
| 2420 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 14 | 7 | Fair | Poor | Data visually collected | x | tbd | tbd |
| 2423 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 28 | 14 | Good | Fair | Data visually collected | x | tbd | tbd |
| 2425 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 10 | 5 | Poor | Poor | Data visually collected | x | tbd | tbd |
| 2427 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 32 | 16 | Fair | Good | Data visually collected | x | tbd | tbd |
| 2430 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 17 | 8.5 | Good | Fair | Data visually collected | x | tbd | tbd |
| 2432 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 27 | 13.5 | Good | Fair | Data visually collected | X | tbd | tbd |
| 2434 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 21 | 10.5 | Fair | Fair | Fence in base, thin crown, appears to be on edge of property line |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2437 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 28 | 14 | Good | Fair | Data visually collected | x | tbd | tbd |
| 2439 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Good | Good | Data visually collected | X | tbd | tbd |
| 2441 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 28 | 14 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2443 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 20 | 10 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, bark damage at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2445 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 20 | 10 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2447 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 13 | 6.5 | Good | Fair | Bark damage at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2449 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 22 | 11 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2451 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 28 | 14 | Fair | Poor | Wood pecker damage at base, thin crown, decay at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2453 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 12 | 6 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2455 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 18 | 9 | Poor | Poor | Red ring rot, thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2458 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 25 | 12.5 | Good | Good | Data visually collected | x | tbd | tbd |
| 2460 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 18 | 9 | Good | Good | Data visually collected | X | tbd | tbd |
| 2462 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 12 | 6 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2464 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 14 | 7 | Poor | Poor | Broken top, decay in stem |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2466 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 10 | 5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2468 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 23 | 11.5 | Fair | Fair | Co-dominant stem with included bark |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2470 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 23 | 11.5 | Fair | Fair | Co-dominant stem with included bark, ivy covering stem |  | tbd | tbd |


| Tree No. | Common Name | Scientific Name | DBH ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}- \\ \operatorname{Rad}^{2} \end{gathered}$ | Condition ${ }^{3}$ | Structure | Comments | Not On Property | Treatment | Mitigation ${ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2472 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 10 | 5 | Good | Fair | Data visually collected | x | tbd | tbd |
| 2475 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 40 | 20 | Good | Good | Data visually collected | x | tbd | tbd |
| 2477 | Oregon white oak | Quercus garryana | 12 | 6 | Fair | Fair | Data visually collected | x | tbd | tbd |
| 2479 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, fence in base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2481 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2483 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 18 | 9 | Good | Good |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2485 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 14 | 7 | Fair | Fair | Bark damage at base |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2487 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Poor | Poor | Broken limbs in crown, thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2489 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 33 | 16.5 | Poor | Poor | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2496 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 12 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2498 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 27 | 13.5 | Fair | Fair | Co-dominant stem with included bark, thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2500 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 19 | 9.5 | Dead | Dead |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2502 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 12 | Good | Good |  |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2504 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2506 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 20 | 10 | Fair | Fair | Bark damage at base, thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2508 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 12 | Poor | Fair | Thin crown |  | tbd | tbd |
| 2587 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 36 | 18 | Fair | Poor | Co-dominant stem with included bark |  | tbd | tbd |
| ${ }^{1}$ DBH is the trunk diameter in inches measured per International Society of Arboriculture (ISA) standards. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{C}$-Rad is the approximate crown radius in feet. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ Condition and Structure ratings range from dead, very poor, poor, fair, to good. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{4}$ Mitigation is recommended for the removal of trees over 6-inch DBH. Trees that are less than 6-inch DBH are not recommended for mitigation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## APPENDIX D. WILSONVILLE SIGNIFICANT RESOURCE

 OVERLAY ZONE MAP

## APPENDIX E. GROUND LEVEL PHOTOGRAPHS



Photo Point 1. From forested hillside in the eastern portion of the site facing north (photo date: 10/23/2019).


Photo Point 1. From forested hillside in the eastern portion of the site facing east (photo date: 10/23/2019).


Photo Point 1. From forested hillside in the eastern portion of the site facing south (photo date: 10/23/2019).


Photo Point 1. From forested hillside in the eastern portion of the site facing west (photo date: 10/23/2019).


Photo Point 2. From the bottom of the hill in the central portion of the site facing north (photo date: 10/23/2019).


Photo Point 2. From the bottom of the hill in the central portion of the site facing east (photo date: 10/23/2019).


Photo Point 2. From the bottom of the hill in the central portion of the site facing south (photo date: 10/23/2019).


Photo Point 2. From the bottom of the hill in the central portion of the site facing west (photo date: 10/23/2019).


Photo Point 3. From the northern portion of Wetland 1 (CWM site) facing north toward wetland area (photo date: 10/23/2019).


Photo Point 3. From the northern portion of Wetland 1 (CWM site) facing east toward wetland boundary (photo date: 10/23/2019).


Photo Point 3. From the northern portion of Wetland 1 (CWM site) facing south toward wetland area (photo date: 10/23/2019).


Photo Point 3. From the northern portion of Wetland 1 (CWM site) facing west toward wetland boundary (photo date: 10/23/2019).


Photo Point 4. From the southern portion of Wetland 1 (CWM site) facing north along wetland boundary at toe of slope (photo date: 10/23/2019).


Photo Point 4. From Wetland 1 (CWM site) facing east toward wetland area (photo date: 10/23/2019).


Photo Point 4. From the southern portion of Wetland 1 (CWM site) facing south along wetland boundary at toe of slope (photo date: 10/23/2019).


Photo Point 4. From Wetland 1 (CWM site) facing west toward access road and upland forest area (photo date: 10/23/2019).


Photo Point 5. From the northern portion of Tapman Creek (wetland drainage) facing north toward double culverts (photo date: 10/23/2019).


Photo Point 5. From the northern portion of Tapman Creek (wetland drainage) facing east toward drainage bank (photo date: 10/23/2019).


Photo Point 5. From the northern portion of Tapman Creek (wetland drainage) facing south, downslope (photo date: 10/23/2019).


Photo Point 5. From the northern portion of Tapman Creek (wetland drainage) facing west toward drainage bank (photo date: 10/23/2019).


Photo Point 6. From Wetland 2 facing north toward wetland area (photo date: 10/23/2019).


Photo Point 6. From Wetland 2 facing east toward wetland boundary (photo date: 10/23/2019).


Photo Point 6. From Wetland 2 facing south toward wetland area (photo date: 10/23/2019).


Photo Point 6. From Wetland 2 facing west toward wetland boundary (photo date: 10/23/2019).


Photo Point 7. From the top of bank of the CWM site (Wetland 1) facing south (photo date: 9/1/2021).


Photo Point 7. From the top of bank of the CWM site (Wetland 1) facing north (photo date: 9/1/2021).


Photo Point 7. From the top of bank of the CWM site (Wetland 1) facing west (photo date: 9/1/2021).


Photo Point 8. From the top of bank of Tapman Creek (wetland drainage) in the central portion facing north, upslope (photo date: 9/1/2021).


Photo Point 8. From the top of bank of Tapman Creek (wetland drainage) in the central portion facing east across the drainage (photo date: 9/1/2021).


Photo Point 8. From the top of bank of Tapman Creek (wetland drainage) in the central portion facing south, downslope (photo date: 9/1/2021).


Photo Point 8. From the top of bank of Tapman Creek (wetland drainage) in the central portion facing west toward CWM site (Wetland 1) (photo date: 9/1/2021).


Photo Point 9. From the recently graveled area facing west (photo date: 9/1/2021).


Photo Point 9. From the recently graveled area facing north (photo date: 9/1/2021).


Photo Point 9. From the recently graveled area facing east (photo date: 9/1/2021).


Photo Point 9. From the recently graveled area facing south (photo date: 9/1/2021).


Photo Point 10. From the southern end of Tapman Creek facing west (photo date: 9/1/2021).


Photo Point 10. From the southern end of Tapman Creek facing east (photo date: 9/1/2021).

## OREGON FRESHWATER WETLAND ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY (OFWAM) ASSESSMENT QUESTIONS

Wetland $\qquad$

## Wildlife Habitat (WH)

1. How many Cowardin wetland classes are present (include vertical strata $\geq 20 \%$ cover)?
a. 2 or more
b. 1 with $>5$ plant species
c. $1 \mathrm{w} / \leq 5$ plant species
2. What is the dominant wetland vegetation cover type?
a. Woody vegetation
b. Emergent vegetation and ponding, or open water only
c. Emergent vegetation or wet meadow
3. What is the degree of Cowardin class interspersion for the wetland being observed (Fig. 3)?
a. High b. Moderate c. Low
4. How many acres of unvegetated open water are present?
a. More than 1 acre
b. Between 0.5 and 1 acre
c. Less than 0.5 acre
5. How is the wetland connected to another body of water, such as a stream, lake or pond (F. 2)?
a. The wetland is connected by surface water to another body of water
b. No surface water connection exists, but other bodies of water lie within 1 mile
c. No surface water connection exits, and no other bodies of water lie within 1 mile

6 . How is the wetland connected to other wetlands?
a. Connected to other wetlands within a 3-mile radius by a perennial or intermittent stream, irrigation or drainage ditch, culvert, canal or lake
b. Not connected by surface water, but other unconnected wetlands lie within a 3-mile radius
c. Not connected to other wetlands by surface waters, and no other unconnected wetlands lie within a 3-mile radius
7. What is the water quality condition of stream reaches in the watershed upstream of the wetland or adjacent to the wetland?
a. No upstream or adjacent reached are listed as water quality limited, and all upstream or adjacent reaches are listed as no problem (or no data available) for nonpoint source pollutants
b. One or more upstream or adjacent reaches are listed in moderate water quality condition for nonpoint source pollutants
c. One or more upstream or adjacent reaches are listed as water quality limited or in severe water quality condition for nonpoint source pollutants
8. What is the dominant existing land use within 500 feet of the wetland's edge?
a. Exclusive Forest Use or Open Space
b. Agriculture
c. Developed uses

9 b . What percent of the wetland's edge is bordered by a vegetative buffer at least 25 feet wide?
a. Greater than $40 \%$
b. Between 10 and $40 \%$
c. Less than $10 \%$
Is it 50 feet wide or wider? yes $\qquad$ no $\qquad$ notes:

| Wildlife Habitat Assessment Criteria |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| The wetland provides diverse wildlife habitat if: | At least four questions are answered "a," and no more <br> than one is answered "c." |
| The wetland provides habitat for some species if: | Answers do not satisfy the above- or below-listed criteria. |
| The wetland's wildlife habitat function is lost or <br> not present if: | All questions are answered "c." |

## Fish Habitat (FH)

## Part A - Streams

1. What percentage of the stream is shaded by stream-side (riparian) vegetation?
a. More than $75 \%$
b. Between 50 and $75 \%$
c. Less than $50 \%$
2. What is the physical character of the stream channel?
a. The stream is in a natural channel, or modified portions of the stream are returning to a natural channel
b. Only portions of the stream channel are modified
c. The stream is extensively modified or confined in a non-vegetated channel or pipe
3. What percentage of the entire stream contains instream structures such as large woody debris, floating submerged vegetation, large rocks or boulders?
a. More than $25 \% \quad$ b. Between 10 and $25 \% \quad$ c. Less than $10 \%$
4. What is the water quality condition of stream reaches in the watershed upstream of the wetland or adjacent to the wetland (= WH7)?
a. No upstream or adjacent reached are listed as water quality limited, and all upstream or adjacent reaches are listed as no problem (or no data available) for nonpoint source pollutants
b.One or more upstream or adjacent reaches are listed in moderate water quality condition for nonpoint source pollutants
c. One or more upstream or adjacent reaches are listed as water quality limited or in severe water quality condition for nonpoint source pollutants
5. What is the dominant existing land use within 500 feet of the wetland's edge (= WH8)?
a. Exclusive Forest Use or Open Space
b. Agriculture
c. Developed uses
6. Are fish present in a stream, lake or pond associated with the wetland?
a. Salmon, trout or sensitive species are present at some time during the year
b. Species not covered in "a" are present at some time during the year
c. No species are present at any time during the year

## Part B - Lakes and Ponds

1. Does the lake or pond contain areas of both deep and shallow water?
a. Yes
b. Cannot be determined.
c. No
2. What percentage of the wetland complex contains cover objects such as submerged logs, floating or submerged vegetation, large rocks or boulders?
a. More than $25 \%$
b. Between 10 and $75 \%$
c. Less than $10 \%$
3. What percentage of the shoreline is shaded at the water's edge by forested or scrub-shrub vegetation?
a. $60 \%$ or more $\quad$ b. Between 20 and $59 \% \quad$ c. Less than $20 \%$

4 What is the water quality condition of stream reaches in the watershed upstream of the wetland or adjacent to the wetland (= WH7)?
a. No upstream or adjacent reached are listed as water quality limited, and all upstream or adjacent reaches are listed as no problem (or no data available) for nonpoint source pollutants
b. One or more upstream or adjacent reaches are listed in moderate water quality condition for nonpoint source pollutants
c. One or more upstream or adjacent reaches are listed as water quality limited or in severe water quality condition for nonpoint source pollutants
5. What is the dominant existing land use within 500 feet of the wetland's edge (= WH8)?
a. Exclusive Forest Use or Open Space
b. Agriculture
c. Developed uses
6. Are fish in a stream, lake or pond associated with the wetland?
a. Salmon, trout or sensitive species are present at some time during the year
b. Species not covered in "a" are present at some time during the year
c. No species are present at any time during the year

| Fish Habitat Assessment Criteria |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| The wetland's fish habitat function is intact if: | Three or more questions are answered "a," and no more <br> than one is answered "c." |
| The wetlands's fish habitat function is impacted or <br> degraded if: | Answers do not satisfy the above- or below-listed criteria. |
| The wetlands's fish habitat function is lost or not <br> present if: | All questions are answered "c." |

## Water Quality (Pollutant Removal; WQ)

1 . What is the wetland's primary source of water?
a. Surface flow, including streams and ditches
b. Precipitation or sheet flow
c. Groundwater, including seeps and springs
2. Is there evidence of flooding or ponding during a portion of the growing season?
a. Yes
b. Unable to determine or not applicable
c. No
3. What is the degree of wetland vegetation cover?
a. High ( $>60 \%$; OW $<40 \%$ )
b. Moderate $(\sim 60 \% ; \mathrm{OW}=40 \%)$
c. Low ( $<60 \%$; OW $>40 \%$ )
4. What is the wetland's area in acres?
a. $>5$ acres
b. Between 0.5 acre and 5 acres; or $<0.5$ acres and the wetland is connected to other wetlands within a 3-mile radius by a perennial or intermittent stream, irrigation or drainage ditch, canal or lake
c. $<0.5$ acre, and the wetland is not connected to other wetlands within a 3-mile radius by a perennial or intermittent stream, irrigation or drainage ditch, canal or lake
5. What is the dominant, existing land use within 500 feet of the wetland's edge (opposite WH8)?
a. Developed uses
b. Agriculture
c. Exclusive Forest Use or Open Space
6. What is the water quality condition of stream reaches in the watershed upstream of the wetland or adjacent to the wetland (opposite WH7)?
a. One or more upstream or adjacent reaches are listed as water quality limited or in severe water quality condition for nonpoint source pollutants
b. One or more upstream or adjacent reaches are listed in moderate water quality condition for nonpoint source pollutants
c. No upstream or adjacent reached are listed as water quality limited, and all upstream or adjacent reaches are listed as no problem (or no data available) for nonpoint source pollutants

| Water Quality Assessment Criteria |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| A wetland's water-quality function is intact if: | Four or more questions are answered "a." |
| A wetland's water-quality function is impacted <br> or degraded if: | Answers do not satisfy the above- or below-listed criteria. |
| A wetlands's water-quality function is lost or not <br> present if: | Four or more questions are answered "c.", |

## Hydrologic Control (Flood Control \& Water Supply; HC)

1. Is all or part of the wetland located within the 100-year floodplain or within an enclosed basin?
a.Yes
b. No
2. Is there evidence of flooding or ponding during a portion of the growing season?
a. Yes
b. Unable to determine or not applicable
c. No
3. What is the wetland's area in acres?
a. $>5$ acres
b. Between 0.5 and 5 acres
c. $<0.5$ acre
4. Is waterflow out of the wetland restricted (eg., beaver dam, concrete structure, undersized culvert)?
a. Yes, the outlet is restricted or the wetland has not outlet
b. Minor restrictions slow down the water (i.e., undersized culvert)
c. No the outlet has unrestricted flow
5. What is the dominant wetland vegetation cover type (=WH2)?
a. Woody vegetation
b. Emergent vegetation and ponding, or open water only
c. Emergent vegetation or wet meadow
6. What is the dominant existing land use within 500 feet of the wetland on the downstream or down-slope edge of the wetland?
a. Developed uses b. Agriculture c. Exclusive Forest Use or Open Space
7. What is the dominant land use in the watershed upstream from the assessment area?
a. Urban or Urbanizing
b. Agriculture
c. Forested or Natural Area

| Hydrologic Control Assessment Criteria |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| A wetland's hydrologic control function is intact if: | Four or more questions are answered "a." |
| A wetland's hydrologic control function is impacted of <br> degraded if: | Answers do not satisfy the above- or below-listed <br> criteria. |
| A wetland's hydrologic control function is lost or not <br> present if: | Four or more questions are answered "c." |

## OFWAM FUNCTION SUMMARY

WH: Some habitat
FH: Impacted or degraded
WQ: Intact
HC: Impacted or degraded

## OREGON FRESHWATER WETLAND ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY (OFWAM) ASSESSMENT QUESTIONS

Wetland $\qquad$

## Wildlife Habitat (WH)

1. How many Cowardin wetland classes are present (include vertical strata $\geq 20 \%$ cover)?
a. 2 or more
b. 1 with $>5$ plant species
c. $1 \mathrm{w} / \leq 5$ plant species
2. What is the dominant wetland vegetation cover type?
a. Woody vegetation
b. Emergent vegetation and ponding, or open water only
c. Emergent vegetation or wet meadow
3. What is the degree of Cowardin class interspersion for the wetland being observed (Fig. 3)?
a. High b. Moderate c. Low
4. How many acres of unvegetated open water are present?
a. More than 1 acre
b. Between 0.5 and 1 acre
c. Less than 0.5 acre
5. How is the wetland connected to another body of water, such as a stream, lake or pond (F. 2)?
a. The wetland is connected by surface water to another body of water
b. No surface water connection exists, but other bodies of water lie within 1 mile
c. No surface water connection exits, and no other bodies of water lie within 1 mile

6 . How is the wetland connected to other wetlands?
a. Connected to other wetlands within a 3-mile radius by a perennial or intermittent stream, irrigation or drainage ditch, culvert, canal or lake
b. Not connected by surface water, but other unconnected wetlands lie within a 3-mile radius
c. Not connected to other wetlands by surface waters, and no other unconnected wetlands lie within a 3-mile radius
7. What is the water quality condition of stream reaches in the watershed upstream of the wetland or adjacent to the wetland?
a. No upstream or adjacent reached are listed as water quality limited, and all upstream or adjacent reaches are listed as no problem (or no data available) for nonpoint source pollutants
b. One or more upstream or adjacent reaches are listed in moderate water quality condition for nonpoint source pollutants
c. One or more upstream or adjacent reaches are listed as water quality limited or in severe water quality condition for nonpoint source pollutants
8. What is the dominant existing land use within 500 feet of the wetland's edge?
a. Exclusive Forest Use or Open Space
b. Agriculture
c. Developed uses

9 b . What percent of the wetland's edge is bordered by a vegetative buffer at least 25 feet wide?
a. Greater than $40 \%$
b. Between 10 and $40 \%$
c. Less than $10 \%$

Is it 50 feet wide or wider? yes $\qquad$ no $\qquad$ notes:

| Wildlife Habitat Assessment Criteria |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| The wetland provides diverse wildlife habitat if: | At least four questions are answered "a," and no more <br> than one is answered "c." |
| The wetland provides habitat for some species if: | Answers do not satisfy the above- or below-listed criteria. |
| The wetland's wildlife habitat function is lost or <br> not present if: | All questions are answered "c." |

## Fish Habitat (FH)

## Part A - Streams

1. What percentage of the stream is shaded by stream-side (riparian) vegetation?
a. More than $75 \%$
b. Between 50 and 75\%
c. Less than $50 \%$
2. What is the physical character of the stream channel?
a. The stream is in a natural channel, or modified portions of the stream are returning to a natural channel
b. Only portions of the stream channel are modified
c. The stream is extensively modified or confined in a non-vegetated channel or pipe
3. What percentage of the entire stream contains instream structures such as large woody debris, floating submerged vegetation, large rocks or boulders?
a. More than $25 \% \quad$ b. Between 10 and $25 \% \quad$ c. Less than $10 \%$
4. What is the water quality condition of stream reaches in the watershed upstream of the wetland or adjacent to the wetland (= WH7)?
a. No upstream or adjacent reached are listed as water quality limited, and all upstream or adjacent reaches are listed as no problem (or no data available) for nonpoint source pollutants
b.One or more upstream or adjacent reaches are listed in moderate water quality condition for nonpoint source pollutants
c. One or more upstream or adjacent reaches are listed as water quality limited or in severe water quality condition for nonpoint source pollutants
5. What is the dominant existing land use within 500 feet of the wetland's edge (= WH8)?
a. Exclusive Forest Use or Open Space
b. Agriculture
c. Developed uses
6. Are fish present in a stream, lake or pond associated with the wetland?
a. Salmon, trout or sensitive species are present at some time during the year
b. Species not covered in "a" are present at some time during the year
c. No species are present at any time during the year

## Part B - Lakes and Ponds

1. Does the lake or pond contain areas of both deep and shallow water?
a. Yes
b. Cannot be determined.
c. No
2. What percentage of the wetland complex contains cover objects such as submerged logs, floating or submerged vegetation, large rocks or boulders?
a. More than $25 \% \quad$ b. Between 10 and $75 \%$
c. Less than $10 \%$
3. What percentage of the shoreline is shaded at the water's edge by forested or scrub-shrub vegetation?
a. $60 \%$ or more $\quad$ b. Between 20 and $59 \% \quad$ c. Less than $20 \%$

4 What is the water quality condition of stream reaches in the watershed upstream of the wetland or adjacent to the wetland (= WH7)?
a. No upstream or adjacent reached are listed as water quality limited, and all upstream or adjacent reaches are listed as no problem (or no data available) for nonpoint source pollutants
b. One or more upstream or adjacent reaches are listed in moderate water quality condition for nonpoint source pollutants
c. One or more upstream or adjacent reaches are listed as water quality limited or in severe water quality condition for nonpoint source pollutants
5. What is the dominant existing land use within 500 feet of the wetland's edge (= WH8)?
a. Exclusive Forest Use or Open Space
b. Agriculture
c. Developed uses
6. Are fish in a stream, lake or pond associated with the wetland?
a. Salmon, trout or sensitive species are present at some time during the year
b. Species not covered in "a" are present at some time during the year
c. No species are present at any time during the year

| Fish Habitat Assessment Criteria |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| The wetland's fish habitat function is intact if: | Three or more questions are answered "a," and no more <br> than one is answered "c." |
| The wetlands's fish habitat function is impacted or <br> degraded if: | Answers do not satisfy the above- or below-listed criteria. |
| The wetlands's fish habitat function is lost or not <br> present if: | All questions are answered "c.", |

## Water Quality (Pollutant Removal; WQ)

1 . What is the wetland's primary source of water?
a. Surface flow, including streams and ditches
b. Precipitation or sheet flow
c. Groundwater, including seeps and springs
2. Is there evidence of flooding or ponding during a portion of the growing season?
a. Yes b. Unable to determine or not applicable c. No
3. What is the degree of wetland vegetation cover?
a. High ( $>60 \%$; OW $<40 \%$ )
b. Moderate $(\sim 60 \% ; \mathrm{OW}=40 \%)$
c. Low ( $<60 \%$; OW $>40 \%$ )
4. What is the wetland's area in acres?
a. $>5$ acres
b. Between 0.5 acre and 5 acres; or $<0.5$ acres and the wetland is connected to other wetlands within a 3-mile radius by a perennial or intermittent stream, irrigation or drainage ditch, canal or lake
c. $<0.5$ acre, and the wetland is not connected to other wetlands within a 3 -mile radius by a perennial or intermittent stream, irrigation or drainage ditch, canal or lake
5. What is the dominant, existing land use within 500 feet of the wetland's edge (opposite WH8)?
a. Developed uses
b. Agriculture
c. Exclusive Forest Use or Open Space
6. What is the water quality condition of stream reaches in the watershed upstream of the wetland or adjacent to the wetland (opposite WH7)?
a. One or more upstream or adjacent reaches are listed as water quality limited or in severe water quality condition for nonpoint source pollutants
b. One or more upstream or adjacent reaches are listed in moderate water quality condition for nonpoint source pollutants
c. No upstream or adjacent reached are listed as water quality limited, and all upstream or adjacent reaches are listed as no problem (or no data available) for nonpoint source pollutants

| Water Quality Assessment Criteria |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| A wetland's water-quality function is intact if: | Four or more questions are answered "a." |
| A wetland's water-quality function is impacted <br> or degraded if: | Answers do not satisfy the above- or below-listed criteria. |
| A wetlands's water-quality function is lost or not <br> present if: | Four or more questions are answered "c.", |

## Hydrologic Control (Flood Control \& Water Supply; HC)

1. Is all or part of the wetland located within the 100-year floodplain or within an enclosed basin?
a.Yes
b. No
2. Is there evidence of flooding or ponding during a portion of the growing season?
a. Yes
b. Unable to determine or not applicable
c. No
3. What is the wetland's area in acres?
a. $>5$ acres
b. Between 0.5 and 5 acres
c. $<0.5$ acre
4. Is waterflow out of the wetland restricted (eg., beaver dam, concrete structure, undersized culvert)?
a. Yes, the outlet is restricted or the wetland has not outlet
b. Minor restrictions slow down the water (i.e., undersized culvert)
c. No the outlet has unrestricted flow
5. What is the dominant wetland vegetation cover type (=WH2)?
a. Woody vegetation
b. Emergent vegetation and ponding, or open water only
c. Emergent vegetation or wet meadow
6. What is the dominant existing land use within 500 feet of the wetland on the downstream or down-slope edge of the wetland?
a. Developed uses b. Agriculture c. Exclusive Forest Use or Open Space
7. What is the dominant land use in the watershed upstream from the assessment area?
a. Urban or Urbanizing
b. Agriculture
c. Forested or Natural Area

| Hydrologic Control Assessment Criteria |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| A wetland's hydrologic control function is intact if: | Four or more questions are answered "a." |
| A wetland's hydrologic control function is impacted of <br> degraded if: | Answers do not satisfy the above- or below-listed <br> criteria. |
| A wetland's hydrologic control function is lost or not <br> present if: | Four or more questions are answered "c." |

## OFWAM FUNCTION SUMMARY

WH: Some habitat
FH: Not present
WQ: Lost
HC: Impacted or degraded

## OREGON FRESHWATER WETLAND ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY (OFWAM) ASSESSMENT QUESTIONS

Wetland $\qquad$

## Wildlife Habitat (WH)

1. How many Cowardin wetland classes are present (include vertical strata $\geq 20 \%$ cover)?
a. 2 or more
b. 1 with $>5$ plant species
c. $1 \mathrm{w} / \leq 5$ plant species
2. What is the dominant wetland vegetation cover type?
a. Woody vegetation
b. Emergent vegetation and ponding, or open water only
c. Emergent vegetation or wet meadow
3. What is the degree of Cowardin class interspersion for the wetland being observed (Fig. 3)?
a. High b. Moderate c. Low
4. How many acres of unvegetated open water are present?
a. More than 1 acre
b. Between 0.5 and 1 acre
c. Less than 0.5 acre
5. How is the wetland connected to another body of water, such as a stream, lake or pond (F. 2)?
a. The wetland is connected by surface water to another body of water
b. No surface water connection exists, but other bodies of water lie within 1 mile
c. No surface water connection exits, and no other bodies of water lie within 1 mile

6 . How is the wetland connected to other wetlands?
a. Connected to other wetlands within a 3-mile radius by a perennial or intermittent stream, irrigation or drainage ditch, culvert, canal or lake
b. Not connected by surface water, but other unconnected wetlands lie within a 3-mile radius
c. Not connected to other wetlands by surface waters, and no other unconnected wetlands lie within a 3-mile radius
7. What is the water quality condition of stream reaches in the watershed upstream of the wetland or adjacent to the wetland?
a. No upstream or adjacent reached are listed as water quality limited, and all upstream or adjacent reaches are listed as no problem (or no data available) for nonpoint source pollutants
b. One or more upstream or adjacent reaches are listed in moderate water quality condition for nonpoint source pollutants
c. One or more upstream or adjacent reaches are listed as water quality limited or in severe water quality condition for nonpoint source pollutants
8. What is the dominant existing land use within 500 feet of the wetland's edge?
a. Exclusive Forest Use or Open Space
b. Agriculture
c. Developed uses

9 b . What percent of the wetland's edge is bordered by a vegetative buffer at least 25 feet wide?
a. Greater than $40 \%$
b. Between 10 and $40 \%$
c. Less than $10 \%$
Is it 50 feet wide or wider? yes no notes:

| Wildlife Habitat Assessment Criteria |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| The wetland provides diverse wildlife habitat if: | At least four questions are answered "a," and no more <br> than one is answered "c." |
| The wetland provides habitat for some species if: | Answers do not satisfy the above- or below-listed criteria. |
| The wetland's wildlife habitat function is lost or <br> not present if: | All questions are answered "c." |

## Fish Habitat (FH)

## Part A - Streams

1. What percentage of the stream is shaded by stream-side (riparian) vegetation?
a. More than $75 \%$
b. Between 50 and $75 \%$
c. Less than $50 \%$
2. What is the physical character of the stream channel?
a. The stream is in a natural channel, or modified portions of the stream are returning to a natural channel
b. Only portions of the stream channel are modified
c. The stream is extensively modified or confined in a non-vegetated channel or pipe
3. What percentage of the entire stream contains instream structures such as large woody debris, floating submerged vegetation, large rocks or boulders?
a. More than $25 \%$
b. Between 10 and $25 \%$
c. Less than $10 \%$
4. What is the water quality condition of stream reaches in the watershed upstream of the wetland or adjacent to the wetland (= WH7)?
a. No upstream or adjacent reached are listed as water quality limited, and all upstream or adjacent reaches are listed as no problem (or no data available) for nonpoint source pollutants
b.One or more upstream or adjacent reaches are listed in moderate water quality condition for nonpoint source pollutants
c. One or more upstream or adjacent reaches are listed as water quality limited or in severe water quality condition for nonpoint source pollutants
5. What is the dominant existing land use within 500 feet of the wetland's edge (= WH8)?
a. Exclusive Forest Use or Open Space
b. Agriculture
c. Developed uses
6. Are fish present in a stream, lake or pond associated with the wetland?
a. Salmon, trout or sensitive species are present at some time during the year
b. Species not covered in "a" are present at some time during the year
c. No species are present at any time during the year

## Part B - Lakes and Ponds

1. Does the lake or pond contain areas of both deep and shallow water?
a. Yes
b. Cannot be determined.
c. No
2. What percentage of the wetland complex contains cover objects such as submerged logs, floating or submerged vegetation, large rocks or boulders?
a. More than $25 \%$
b. Between 10 and $75 \%$
c. Less than $10 \%$
3. What percentage of the shoreline is shaded at the water's edge by forested or scrub-shrub vegetation?
a. $60 \%$ or more $\quad$ b. Between 20 and $59 \% \quad$ c. Less than $20 \%$

4 What is the water quality condition of stream reaches in the watershed upstream of the wetland or adjacent to the wetland (= WH7)?
a. No upstream or adjacent reached are listed as water quality limited, and all upstream or adjacent reaches are listed as no problem (or no data available) for nonpoint source pollutants
b. One or more upstream or adjacent reaches are listed in moderate water quality condition for nonpoint source pollutants
c. One or more upstream or adjacent reaches are listed as water quality limited or in severe water quality condition for nonpoint source pollutants
5. What is the dominant existing land use within 500 feet of the wetland's edge (= WH8)?
a. Exclusive Forest Use or Open Space
b. Agriculture
c. Developed uses
6. Are fish in a stream, lake or pond associated with the wetland?
a. Salmon, trout or sensitive species are present at some time during the year
b. Species not covered in "a" are present at some time during the year
c. No species are present at any time during the year

| Fish Habitat Assessment Criteria |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| The wetland's fish habitat function is intact if: | Three or more questions are answered "a," and no more <br> than one is answered "c." |
| The wetlands's fish habitat function is impacted or <br> degraded if: | Answers do not satisfy the above- or below-listed criteria. |
| The wetlands's fish habitat function is lost or not <br> present if: | All questions are answered "c." |

## Water Quality (Pollutant Removal; WQ)

1. What is the wetland's primary source of water?
a. Surface flow, including streams and ditches
b. Precipitation or sheet flow
c. Groundwater, including seeps and springs
2. Is there evidence of flooding or ponding during a portion of the growing season?
a. Yes b. Unable to determine or not applicable c. No
3. What is the degree of wetland vegetation cover?
a. High ( $>60 \%$; OW $<40 \%$ )
b. Moderate ( $\sim 60 \%$; OW=40\%)
c. Low ( $<60 \%$; OW $>40 \%$ )
4. What is the wetland's area in acres?
a. $>5$ acres
b. Between 0.5 acre and 5 acres; or $<0.5$ acres and the wetland is connected to other wetlands within a 3-mile radius by a perennial or intermittent stream, irrigation or drainage ditch, canal or lake
c. $<0.5$ acre, and the wetland is not connected to other wetlands within a 3-mile radius by a perennial or intermittent stream, irrigation or drainage ditch, canal or lake
5. What is the dominant, existing land use within 500 feet of the wetland's edge (opposite WH8)?
a. Developed uses
b. Agriculture
c. Exclusive Forest Use or Open Space
6. What is the water quality condition of stream reaches in the watershed upstream of the wetland or adjacent to the wetland (opposite WH7)?
a. One or more upstream or adjacent reaches are listed as water quality limited or in severe water quality condition for nonpoint source pollutants
b. One or more upstream or adjacent reaches are listed in moderate water quality condition for nonpoint source pollutants
c. No upstream or adjacent reached are listed as water quality limited, and all upstream or adjacent reaches are listed as no problem (or no data available) for nonpoint source pollutants

| Water Quality Assessment Criteria |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| A wetland's water-quality function is intact if: | Four or more questions are answered "a." |
| A wetland's water-quality function is impacted <br> or degraded if: | Answers do not satisfy the above- or below-listed criteria. |
| A wetlands's water-quality function is lost or not <br> present if: | Four or more questions are answered "c.", |

## Hydrologic Control (Flood Control \& Water Supply; HC)

1. Is all or part of the wetland located within the 100-year floodplain or within an enclosed basin?
a.Yes
b. No
2. Is there evidence of flooding or ponding during a portion of the growing season?
a. Yes
b. Unable to determine or not applicable
c. No
3. What is the wetland's area in acres?
a. $>5$ acres
b. Between 0.5 and 5 acres
c. $<0.5$ acre
4. Is waterflow out of the wetland restricted (eg., beaver dam, concrete structure, undersized culvert)?
a. Yes, the outlet is restricted or the wetland has not outlet
b. Minor restrictions slow down the water (i.e., undersized culvert)
c. No the outlet has unrestricted flow
5. What is the dominant wetland vegetation cover type (=WH2)?
a. Woody vegetation
b. Emergent vegetation and ponding, or open water only
c. Emergent vegetation or wet meadow
6. What is the dominant existing land use within 500 feet of the wetland on the downstream or down-slope edge of the wetland?
a. Developed uses b. Agriculture c. Exclusive Forest Use or Open Space
7. What is the dominant land use in the watershed upstream from the assessment area?
a. Urban or Urbanizing
b. Agriculture
c. Forested or Natural Area

| Hydrologic Control Assessment Criteria |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| A wetland's hydrologic control function is intact if: | Four or more questions are answered "a." |
| A wetland's hydrologic control function is impacted of <br> degraded if: | Answers do not satisfy the above- or below-listed <br> criteria. |
| A wetland's hydrologic control function is lost or not <br> present if: | Four or more questions are answered "c." |

## OFWAM FUNCTION SUMMARY

WH: Some habitat
FH: Not present
WQ: Lost
HC: Impacted or degraded

## APPENDIX G. HABITAT ASSESSMENT FORM

| Component |  | Degree | Score | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WATER | Quantity and Seasonality |  | 4 | Small seasonal wetland/streams present |
|  | Quality | Stagnant Seasonally Flushed Continually Flushed <br> 0. $\qquad$ . 3. $\qquad$ .6 | 3 | Wetlands seasonally inundated and sloped |
|  | Proximity to Cover | None $\quad$ Nearby $\quad$ Immediately adjacent $0 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ |  | Dense blackberry thicket proximal to wetland. Cover for small wildlife only |
|  | Diversity <br> (Streams, Ponds, Wetlands) |  | 4 | 2 water types present |
|  | WATER TOTAL |  | 15 |  |
| FOOD | Variety |  | 2 | Blackberry and hawthorn berries only major food source |
|  | Quality and Seasonality |  | 2 | Short berry season |
|  | Proximity to Cover |  | 6 | Blackberry thicket provides cover for small wildlife only. Forest cover nearbv offers cover for larger |
|  | FOOD TOTAL |  | 10 |  |
| COVER | Structural Diversity |  |  | Mostly shrub, some trees |
|  | Variety |  | 4 | Mostly shrub, some trees |
|  | Nesting |  | 2 |  |
|  | Escape |  | 2 |  |
|  | Seasonality |  | 2 |  |
|  | COVER TOTAL |  | 14 |  |



Oregon
Kate Brown, Governor

December 2, 2021
Delta Logistics, Inc.
Attn: Vladimir Tkach
9835 SW Commerce Circle Kate Brown
Wilsonville, OR 97070

Re: WD \# 2021-0556 Approved
Wetland Delineation Report for SW Day Road
Washington County; T3S R1W S02B TLs 600 and 601; RGL \# 1793
City of Sherwood Local Wetlands Inventory Wetland 3.03
Shemia Fagan
Secretary of State

Tobias Read
State Treasurer
Dear Vladimir Tkach:
The Department of State Lands has reviewed the wetland delineation report prepared by Schott and Associates for the site referenced above. Based upon the information presented in the report, and additional information submitted upon request, we concur with the wetland and waterway boundaries as mapped in Figures 6A and 6B of the report. Please replace all copies of the preliminary wetland maps with these final Department-approved maps.

Within the study area, 2 wetlands (Wetland 1 and 2 , totaling approximately 0.33 acres) and Tapman Creek were identified. The wetlands and creek are subject to the permit requirements of the state Removal-Fill Law. Normally, a state permit is required for cumulative fill or annual excavation of 50 cubic yards or more in wetlands or below the ordinary high-water line (OHWL) of the waterway (or the 2-year recurrence interval flood elevation if OHWL cannot be determined). However, Wetland 1 is a compensatory wetland mitigation (CWM) area (RGL \# 1793). Any impact within a CWM area may require a state permit.

This concurrence is for purposes of the state Removal-Fill Law only. We recommend that you attach a copy of this concurrence letter to any subsequent state permit application to speed application review. Federal, other state agencies or local permit requirements may apply as well. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers will determine jurisdiction under the Clean Water Act, which may require submittal of a complete Wetland Delineation Report.

Please be advised that state law establishes a preference for avoidance of wetland impacts. Because measures to avoid and minimize wetland impacts may include reconfiguring parcel layout and size or development design, we recommend that you work with Department staff on appropriate site design before completing the city or county land use approval process.

This concurrence is based on information provided to the agency. The jurisdictional determination is valid for five years from the date of this letter unless new information necessitates a revision. Circumstances under which the Department may change a determination are found in OAR 141-090-0045 (available on our web site or upon request). In addition, laws enacted by the legislature and/or rules adopted by the Department may result in a change in jurisdiction; individuals and applicants are subject to the regulations that are in effect at the time of the removal-fill activity or complete permit application. The applicant, landowner, or agent may submit a request for reconsideration of this determination in writing within six months of the date of this letter.

Thank you for having the site evaluated. If you have any questions, please contact the Jurisdiction Coordinator for Washington County, Chris Stevenson, PWS, at (503) 9865246.

Sincerely,


Peter Ryan, SPWS
Aquatic Resource Specialist

## Enclosures

ec: Kim Biafora, Schott and Associates City of Sherwood Planning Department Danielle Arb, Corps of Engineers Michael De Blasi, DSL Lindsey Obermiller, Clean Water Services

## WETLAND DELINEATION / DETERMINATION REPORT COVER FORM

Fully completed and signed report cover forms and applicable fees are required before report review timelines are initiated by the Department of State Lands. Make checks payable to the Oregon Department of State Lands. To pay fees by credit card, go online at: https://apps.oregon.gov/DSL/EPS/program?key=4.

Attach this completed and signed form to the front of an unbound report or include a hard copy with a digital version (single PDF file of the report cover form and report, minimum 300 dpi resolution) and submit to: Oregon Department of State Lands, 775 Summer Street NE, Suite 100, Salem, OR 97301-1279. A single PDF of the completed cover from and report may be e-mailed to:
Wetland_Delineation@dsl.state.or.us. For submittal of PDF files larger than 10 MB , e-mail DSL instructions on how to access the file from your ftp or other file sharing website.

## Contact and Authorization Information

$\square$ Applicant 区 Owner Name, Firm and Address:
Business phone \# 800-595-3077
Vladimir Tkach/ Delta Logistics, Inc.
Mobile phone \# (optional)
9835 SW Commerce Cir,
Wilsonville, OR 97070
$\square$ Authorized Legal Agent, Name and Address (if different):
E-mail: Vlad@deltagov.com

Business phone \#
Mobile phone \# (optional)
E-mail:
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Figure 6a. Wetdand Delineation Map-Overview

SW Day Road Road Project Site: S\&A \#2739
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Figure6b. Wetland Delineation Map - Detail

## SCHOTT \& ASSOCIATES

Ecologists \& Wetlands Specialists
21018 NE Hwy 99E • P.O. Box 589 • Aurora, OR 97002 • (503) 678-6007 • FAX: (503) 678-6011

March 1, 2023
City of Wilsonville Planning Staff
29799 SW Town Center Loop E
Wilsonville, OR 97070
CC: Lee Leighton (Mackenzie, Portland); lleighton@mcknze.com
Igor Nichiporchik (Delta Logistics); igor@deltagov.com
Vlad Tkach (Delta Logistics); vlad@deltagov.com
Re: 2200502.00 Delta Logistics Wilsonville Annex/Zone Change February 2023 plan revision
To whom it concerns,
Schott \& Associates (S\&A) prepared the natural resource assessment report (January 2022) for the proposed transportation company expansion project at 9710 SW Day Road, sponsored by Delta Logistics (applicant). The proposal involved impacts to the Significant Natural Resource Overlay Zone (SROZ) associated with Tapman Creek from a private crossing to allow vehicular access to the western portion of the property, as well as road widening and improvements along the property's frontage of SW Day Road as required by the City. The proposal would have resulted in $10,300 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. of impact to the 50 -foot Vegetated Corridor associated with Tapman Creek. The applicant proposed mitigation in the form of enhancement of the remaining Vegetated Corridor at a ratio of 3.2:1.

The applicant has decided to modify the site plan and refrain from developing the western portion of the site since the City does not support the proposal to cross Tapman Creek or the alternative plan of constructing a second driveway from SW Day Road to access the western portion of the site. However, the revised proposal still includes the City-required street improvements to SW Day Road along the property frontage, which will result in $1,850 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. of permanent encroachment into the Tapman Creek Vegetated Corridor adjacent to the roadway. The applicant proposes to mitigate the impact through enhancement of $6,305 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. of remaining Vegetated Corridor, to include invasive species removal and planting of native trees and shrubs appropriate to site conditions and constraints (e.g., the PGE transmission line easement). The proposed mitigation ratio is 3.4:1. As documented in the natural resource assessment report prepared in January 2022, the Tapman Creek Vegetated Corridor was assessed as low-to-moderate in function with high invasive species cover and low tree canopy cover. The proposed Vegetated Corridor encroachment area is along an existing roadway and is vegetated entirely with invasive Himalayan blackberry (Rubus armeniacus) or reed canarygrass (Phalaris arundinacea). No trees or native species will be removed as a result of the roadway improvements. The project is expected to have very little impact to the overall function of the SROZ, as the Vegetated Corridor will be left largely undisturbed and unfragmented. Invasive species removal
and establishment of native forest and shrub communities will improve wildlife habitat, ecological integrity, and water quality protection functions of the Tapman Creek riparian corridor.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions or concerns.
Sincerely,
Kim Cartwright
Kim Cartwright, Wetland Ecologist
503-678-6028
kim@schottandassociates.com

## MEMORANDUM

## DATE: April 11, 2022

TO: Igor Nichiporchik (Delta Logistics)
FROM: $\quad$ Todd Prager, RCA \#597, ISA Board Certified Master Arborist Terrence P. Flanagan, ISA Board Certified Master Arborist, PN-0120BTML

RE: Tree Removal and Protection Recommendations for Delta Logistics Site Expansion

## Summary

This memorandum provides tree removal and protection recommendations for the Delta Logistics Site Expansion development.

## Background

Delta Logistics is proposing to construct the Delta Logistics Site Expansion development at 9710 SW Day Road in Wilsonville, Oregon. The site survey with existing trees is provided in Attachment 1 and the proposed site plan with proposed grading is provided in Attachment 2.

The assignment requested of our firm for this project was as follows:

1. Provide an assessment of the existing trees.
2. Provide recommendations for tree removal and retention based on the proposed site improvements.
3. Provide protection recommendations for the trees to be retained.

## Tree Assessment

In September 2021, and March and April of 2022, our firm completed an assessment of the existing trees at the site. The complete inventory data is provided in the tree inventory spreadsheet in Attachment 3. The data collected for each tree includes the tree number, species (common and scientific names), trunk diameter (DBH), crown radius, tree health condition, tree structural condition, pertinent comments, whether the tree was on a neighboring property, and treatment (remove or retain). The tree numbers in the tree inventory in Attachment 3 correspond to the tree numbers on the existing conditions survey in Attachment 1 and proposed site plan in Attachment 2.

## Proposed Tree Removal

A typical minimum root protection zone allows encroachments no closer than a radius from a tree of .5 feet per inch of DBH if no more than 25 percent of the root protection zone area (estimated at one foot radius per inch of DBH) is impacted. Figure 1 illustrates this concept. This standard may need to be adjusted on a case-by-case basis due to tree health, species, root distribution, whether the tree will be impacted on multiple sides, and other factors.

Based on the proposed construction, grading, and utility footprint shown in Attachment 1, all onsite trees are proposed for removal except for six trees within the significant resource overlay zone (trees 549, 791, and 2072 through 2075). In addition, all but 13 of the inventoried offsite trees highlighted in


Figure 1: Typical minimum protection zone ... .......vrene zorcle green in Attachment 2 are recommended for removal if approved by the neighboring property owners.

Mitigation is recommended for the removal of trees over 6-inch DBH that are in fair to good health and structural condition. Based on these criteria, mitigation is required for 170 trees to be removed at a minimum ratio of $1: 1$. The tree inventory in Attachment 3 lists the trees subject to mitigation. The proposed landscape plan for the project needs to include a minimum of 158, 2-inch caliper mitigation trees unless a mitigation fee is provided.

Protection recommendations for the trees to be retained at the site are provided in the next section of this report.

## Tree Protection Recommendations

The following recommendations apply to the trees to be retained:

- Protection Fencing: Establish tree protection fencing in the locations shown on the plan sheet redlines in Attachment 2. The intent of the tree protection fencing is to protect vegetation in the significant resource overlay zone and the minimum root protection zones detailed in Figure 1.
- Property Line Trees: All of the inventoried offsite trees are recommended for retention. Adjustments to the proposed construction or grading have been made to adequately protect their root zones. There are four trees near the property line that are shown to be removed. I recommend informing the neighbors of the potential tree impacts via certified letter so they are fully aware of the potential impacts and can make an informed decision about the trees should there be any questions of ownership.
- Directional Felling - Fell the trees to be removed away from the trees to be retained so they do not contact or otherwise damage the trunks or branches of the retained trees. No vehicles or heavy equipment should be permitted within the tree protection zones during tree removal operations.
- Stump Removal - The stumps of the trees to be removed within the tree protection zones that are adjacent to the trees to be preserved shall be retained or carefully stump ground so as not to disturb the root systems of the retained trees.
- Periodic Risk Assessments: The offsite retained trees were previously protected within a stand of surrounding trees. The removal of adjacent trees will expose the retained trees to changes in wind forces which will increase their risk of windthrow. I recommend the project arborist conduct a tree risk assessment immediately

[^0]following site clearing to identify trees that pose significant risks. For trees that pose significant risks, mitigation strategies for retaining them such as pruning, or snag creation should be explored as recommended by the project arborist. Any recommended tree removal or snag creation will require the review and approval of the City of Wilsonville and impacted property owners. Risk assessments should be conducted periodically throughout construction to document whether trees are adapting to the new site conditions and risks are mitigated appropriately with City approval.

- Protect Crowns of Trees: The crowns of the trees may extend beyond the tree protection fencing. Care will need to be taken to not contact or otherwise damage the crowns of the trees during construction activities. Any required pruning shall be completed by an ISA certified arborist consistent with ANSI A300 pruning standards as directed by the project arborist.
- Sediment Fencing: Sediment fencing shall be installed outside the protection zones of the trees to be retained to minimize root disturbances. If erosion control is required inside the root zones, straw wattles shall be used on the soil surface.

Attachment 3 includes additional recommendations to protect the trees during construction.

## Conclusion

All onsite trees are proposed for removal except for six trees within the significant resource overlay zone (trees 549, 791, and 2072 through 2075). All of the inventoried offsite trees are recommended for retention. The mitigation requirements for the project will be met through onsite replanting of a minimum of 158 trees unless a mitigation fee is provided. The trees to be retained will be protected by adhering to the recommendations in this report.

Please contact me if you have questions, concerns, or need any additional information.
Sincerely,


Todd Prager
ASCA Registered Consulting Arborist \#597
ISA Board Certified Master Arborist, WE-6723B
ISA Qualified Tree Risk Assessor
AICP, American Planning Association
Attachment 1: $\quad$ Site Survey with Existing Trees
Attachment 2: Proposed Site Plan with Tree Removal and Tree Protection
Attachment 3: Tree Inventory
Attachment 4: Additional Tree Protection Recommendations
Attachment 5: Assumptions and Limiting Conditions
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| Tree No. | Common Name | Scientific Name | DBH ${ }^{1}$ | C-Rad ${ }^{2}$ | Condition ${ }^{3}$ | Structure | Comments | Not On Property | Treatment | Location | Mitigation ${ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 549 | English hawthorn | Crataegus monogyna | 5 | 2.5 | Fair | Fair | Multiple stems |  | Retain | Private | None |
| 791 | Willow | Salix sp. | 20 | 10 | Poor | Very Poor | Data visually collected due to inaccessible area |  | Retain | SROZ | None |
| 874 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 36 | 18 | Good | Fair | Broken limbs at base | x | Remove | Public | 1 |
| 1270 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 47 | 23.5 | Fair | Fair | Co-dominant stem with included bark, broken limbs in crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1272 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 41 | 20.5 | Good | Good |  |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1274 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 33 | 16.5 | Good | Fair | Wood pecker damage at base | x | Remove | Public | 1 |
| 1276 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 28 | 14 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown | x | Remove | Public | 1 |
| 1278 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 31 | 15.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, sap sucker damage at base | x | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1280 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 43 | 21.5 | Good | Good |  | x | Remove | Public | 1 |
| 1301 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 40 | 20 | Good | Good |  |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1303 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 50 | 25 | Fair | Poor | Weeping crack at $12^{\prime}$, decay with sloughing bark at base |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 1311 | sweet cherry | Prunus avium | 20 | 10 | Very Poor | Very Poor | Tree in heavy decline |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 1480 | sweet cherry | Prunus avium | 8 | 4 | Fair | Poor | Data visually collected | x | Remove | Private | None |
| 1501 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 30 | 15 | Good | Good |  |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1660 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 39 | 19.5 | Fair | Fair | Broken limbs at base, thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1797 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 49 | 24.5 | Fair | Fair | Wood pecker damage at base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1799 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 44 | 22 | Fair | Fair | Co-dominant stem with included bark |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1801 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 44 | 22 | Fair | Fair | Co-dominant stem with included bark, cracks and wood pecker holes at base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1803 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 23 | 11.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, excavation in root zone |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1805 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 33 | 16.5 | Fair | Fair | Buried root flare |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1807 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Good | Good |  |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1809 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 41 | 20.5 | Fair | Fair | Torsion cracks at base, excavation in root zone |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1811 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 49 | 24.5 | Fair | Fair | Torsion cracks in stem, wood pecker damage at base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1813 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 25 | 12.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, bark damage at base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1815 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 22 | 11 | Good | Fair | Crack with good response growth at base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1817 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 13 | 6.5 | Dead | Dead |  |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 1819 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 20 | 10 | Good | Good |  |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1821 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 18 | 9 | Fair | Fair | Contorted stem at 25' |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1821.1 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 12 | Good | Fair | Swelling at base where other tree was removed |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1821.2 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Fair | Fair | Contorted stem at 15', bark damage at base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1833 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 31 | 15.5 | Good | Good |  |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1835 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 34 | 17 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1837 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 28 | 14 | Good | Fair | Excavation in root zone |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1839 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 23 | 11.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1841 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 33 | 16.5 | Good | Fair | Holes in trunk at base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |

[^2]Email: todd@teragan.com•Website: teragan.con

| Tree No. | Common Name | Scientific Name | DBH ${ }^{1}$ | C-Rad ${ }^{2}$ | Condition ${ }^{3}$ | Structure | Comments | Not On Property | Treatment | Location | Mitigation ${ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1843 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 51 | 25.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1845 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 48 | 24 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, dead limbs in crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1847 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 22 | 11 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, bark damage at base |  | Retain | Private | None |
| 1849 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Good | Good |  |  | Remove |  | 1 |
| 1885 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 23 | 11.5 | Good | Fair | Broken limbs at base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1887 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 38 | 19 | Good | Fair | Broken limbs at base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1957 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 23 | 11.5 | Dead | Dead |  |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 1958 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 27 | 13.5 | Dead | Dead |  |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 1959 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 21 | 10.5 | Dead | Dead |  |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 1960 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 14 | 7 | Poor | Poor | Thin crown, dead top |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 1961 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 17 | 8.5 | Dead | Dead |  |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 1962 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 22 | 11 | Dead | Dead |  |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 1963 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 27 | 13.5 | Poor | Poor | Dead top |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 1964 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Poor | Poor | Tree in decline |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 1965 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1966 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1967 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Fair | Good | Epicormic growth on limbs |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1968 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 31 | 15.5 | Good | Fair | Broken limbs at base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1969 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 28 | 14 | Good | Fair | Broken limbs at base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1970 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 31 | 15.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1971 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 22 | 11 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1972 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 12 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 1973 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 51 | 25.5 | Fair | Fair | Broken limbs at base, over extended limbs |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2071 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 31 | 15.5 | Good | Fair | Bark damage at base, ivy at base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2072 | Oregon ash | Fraxinus latifolia | 11 | 5.5 | Poor | Poor | Contorted stem, thin crown |  | Retain | SROZ | None |
| 2073 | Willow | Salix sp. | 14 | 7 | Dead | Dead |  |  | Retain | SROZ | None |
| 2074 | Oregon ash | Fraxinus latifolia | 20 | 10 | Poor | Poor | Dead limbs in crown, over extended limbs |  | Retain | SROZ | None |
| 2075 | Oregon ash | Fraxinus latifolia | 14 | 7 | Fair | Poor | One failed stem at base, decay at base, heavy lean |  | Retain | SROZ | None |
| 2116 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 21 | 10.5 | Dead | Dead |  |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2118 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 12 | Dead | Dead |  |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2120 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 32 | 16 | Poor | Poor | Dead top |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2122 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 32 | 16 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, bark damage at base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2124 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 17 | 8.5 | Fair | Fair | Bark damage at base, ivy in crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2127 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 33 | 16.5 | Good | Fair | Bark damage at base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2129 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 18 | 9 | Very Poor | Very Poor | Dead top |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2131 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Poor | Poor | Ivy in crown, thin crown |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2133 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 15 | 7.5 | Fair | Fair | Ivy in crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2135 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 15 | 7.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, ivy covering base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2137 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 30 | 15 | Fair | Good | Bark damage at base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2139 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 37 | 18.5 | Good | Good |  |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2141 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 19 | 9.5 | Fair | Fair | Co-dominant stem with included bark at bas, fruiting body at base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2143 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Dead | Dead |  |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2145 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2147 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 15 | 7.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |

[^3]| Tree No. | Common Name | Scientific Name | DBH ${ }^{1}$ | C-Rad ${ }^{2}$ | Condition ${ }^{3}$ | Structure | Comments | Not On Property | Treatment | Location | Mitigation ${ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2149 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 12 | Fair | Poor | Thin crown, contorted stem, ivy covering base |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2151 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 29 | 14.5 | Poor | Poor | Thin crown, ivy covering stem |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2153 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 15 | 7.5 | Dead | Dead |  |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2155 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 12 | 6 | Fair | Fair | Ivy covering base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2157 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 19 | 9.5 | Dead | Dead |  |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2159 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 32 | 16 | Good | Fair | Ivy covering base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2161 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Dead | Dead |  |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2163 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 12 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2165 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Dead | Dead |  |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2167 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 34 | 17 | Fair | Fair | Bark damage at base, thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2169 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 28 | 14 | Poor | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2171 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 22 | 11 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, ivy covering base, bark damage at base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2173 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 21 | 10.5 | Very Poor | Very Poor | Tree in heavy decline |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2175 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Dead | Dead |  |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2177 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 12 | Very Poor | Very Poor | Tree in heavy decline |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2179 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 15 | 7.5 | Dead | Dead |  |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2181 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 21 | 10.5 | Dead | Dead |  |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2183 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 12 | Dead | Dead |  |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2185 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 23 | 11.5 | Poor | Very Poor | Tree previously topped |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2199 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 30 | 15 | Good | Fair | Limb with included bark at 25', bark damage at base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2201 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 14 | 7 | Good | Poor | Co-dominant stem at base, heavy lean |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2203 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 27 | 13.5 | Good | Fair | Co-dominant stem at base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2205 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 29 | 14.5 | Good | Fair | Bark damage at base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2207 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Good | Good |  |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2209 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 19 | 9.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, bark damage at base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2211 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 42 | 21 | Fair | Poor | Thin crown, decay at base |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2213 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 12 | Poor | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2215 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 17 | 8.5 | Fair | Fair | Bark damage at base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2217 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 27 | 13.5 | Fair | Fair | Pistol butt stem, thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2219 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2221 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Good | Good |  |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2223 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 12 | 6 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2225 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 23 | 11.5 | Poor | Poor | Sloughing bark at base, thin crown |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2227 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 25 | 12.5 | Good | Good |  |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2229 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 13 | 6.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2231 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 22 | 11 | Poor | Poor | Red ring rot fruiting bodies on stem |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2233 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 21 | 10.5 | Poor | Poor | Bark damage at base, thin crown, decay at base |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2235 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 38 | 19 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, excavation in root zone |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2237 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 32 | 16 | Fair | Fair | Data visually collected | $x$ | Retain | Private | None |
| 2239 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 35 | 17.5 | Fair | Poor | Insect damage at base, thin crown |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2241 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 25 | 12.5 | Poor | Poor | Bark damage at base, thin crown |  | Remove | Private | None |

[^4]| Tree No. | Common Name | Scientific Name | DBH ${ }^{1}$ | C-Rad ${ }^{2}$ | Condition ${ }^{3}$ | Structure | Comments | Not On Property | Treatment | Location | Mitigation ${ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2241.1 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 14 | 7 | Dead | Dead |  |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2244 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 29 | 14.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, decay at base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2246 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 28 | 14 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2248 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 47 | 23.5 | Good | Good |  |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2250 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 23 | 11.5 | Fair | Fair | Decay at base, thin crown, barbed wire in base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2252 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 14 | 7 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, soil around base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2254 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 14 | 7 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2256 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2258 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 23 | 11.5 | Good | Poor | Excavation in root zone, bark damage at base |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2260 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Fair | Fair | Bark damage at base, thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2262 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Fair | Fair | Contorted stem, thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2264 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 13 | 6.5 | Poor | Poor | Contorted top, bark damage at base, thin crown |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2266 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 11 | 5.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, rock piled at base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2268 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Poor | Poor | Thin crown, ivy at base |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2270 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 32 | 16 | Poor | Poor | Decay at base |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2272 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 46 | 23 | Fair | Fair | Old wound with decay at base, thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2274 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Good | Good | Data visually collected | $x$ | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2276 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 17 | 8.5 | Good | Good | Data visually collected | x | Remove | Private | None |
| 2278 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 14 | 7 | Poor | Poor | Thin crown, bark damage at base, appears to be on edge of property line |  | Retain | Private | None |
| 2280 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 32 | 16 | Poor | Fair | Decay at base, thin crown |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2282 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 31 | 15.5 | Poor | Poor | Decay at base, thin crown |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2284 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 20 | 10 | Poor | Poor | Decay at base |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2286 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 33 | 16.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2288 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 32 | 16 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2290 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 17 | 8.5 | Very Poor | Very Poor | Dead top |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2292 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 18 | 9 | Fair | Fair | lvy covering base, thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2294 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 31 | 15.5 | Poor | Poor | Decay at base, ivy covering base |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2296 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 41 | 20.5 | Fair | Poor | Decay at base, thin crown |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2298 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 39 | 19.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2300 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2302 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Poor | Poor | Decay in stem at 20', thin crown |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2304 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 12 | Good | Fair | Fence in base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2306 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 54 | 27 | Fair | Fair | Broken limb at base, thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2308 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 18 | 9 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2310 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 13 | 6.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2312 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 14 | 7 | Poor | Poor | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2314 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Poor | Poor | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2316 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 25 | 12.5 | Fair | Fair | Ivy covering base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2318 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 12 | Fair | Fair | Ivy covering base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2320 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 39 | 19.5 | Good | Fair | Pistol butt |  | Remove | Private | 1 |

[^5]Page 10 of 15

| Tree No. | Common Name | Scientific Name | DBH ${ }^{1}$ | C-Rad ${ }^{2}$ | Condition ${ }^{3}$ | Structure | Comments | Not On Property | Treatment | Location | Mitigation ${ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2322 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 23 | 11.5 | Fair | Fair | Ivy covering base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2324 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 23 | 11.5 | Fair | Fair | Ivy covering base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2326 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 15 | 7.5 | Fair | Fair | Ivy growing on stem |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2328 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 20 | 10 | Good | Good | Data visually collected | x | Retain | Private | None |
| 2330 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Poor | Poor | Data visually collected | x | Retain | Private | None |
| 2332 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 25 | 12.5 | Good | Good |  |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2334 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 18 | 9 | Fair | Fair | Data visually collected | $x$ | Retain | Private | None |
| 2336 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 18 | 9 | Fair | Fair | Data visually collected | x | Retain | Private | None |
| 2338 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 32 | 16 | Good | Good | Data visually collected | x | Retain | Private | 1 |
| 2340 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 22 | 11 | Good | Fair | Bark damage on roots, appears to be on edge of property line |  | Retain | Private | None |
| 2342 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 25 | 12.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2344 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2346 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 14 | 7 | Fair | Poor | Decay in stem, bark damage at base |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2348 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 25 | 12.5 | Poor | Poor | Decay in stem, thin crown |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2350 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 39 | 19.5 | Good | Good |  |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2352 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 18 | 9 | Poor | Poor | Exposed roots, thin crown |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2354 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 19 | 9.5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2356 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 23 | 11.5 | Good | Good |  |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2358 | Pacific madrone | Arbutus menziesii | 18 | 9 | Poor | Fair | Data visually collected | $x$ | Retain | Private | None |
| 2360 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 20 | 10 | Good | Good | Data visually collected | x | Retain | Private | None |
| 2362 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Good | Fair | Data visually collected | x | Retain | Private | None |
| 2364 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 27 | 13.5 | Fair | Good | Data visually collected | x | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2366 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 13 | 6.5 | Poor | Fair | Thin crown, appears to be on edge of property line |  | Retain | Private | None |
| 2368 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 22 | 11 | Good | Good | Data visually collected | x | Retain | Private | None |
| 2370 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 21 | 10.5 | Fair | Good | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2372 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Good | Good |  |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2374 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 12 | 6 | Good | Good | Appears to be on edge of property line |  | Retain | Private | None |
| 2376 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 34 | 17 | Good | Good | Data visually collected | $x$ | Retain | Private | None |
| 2378 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 14 | 7 | Good | Fair | Data visually collected | x | Retain | Private | None |
| 2380 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 25 | 12.5 | Fair | Good | Data visually collected | x | Retain | Private | None |
| 2382 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 36 | 18 | Poor | Fair | Ivy covering base, thin crown |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2384 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 25 | 12.5 | Poor | Poor | Data visually collected | $x$ | Retain | Private | None |
| 2386 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 22 | 11 | Good | Good | Data visually collected | x | Retain | Private | None |
| 2388 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 25 | 12.5 | Poor | Poor | Data visually collected | x | Retain | Private | None |
| 2390 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 36 | 18 | Fair | Fair | Data visually collected | x | Retain | Private | None |
| 2392 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 36 | 18 | Fair | Good | Data visually collected | x | Retain | Private | None |
| 2398 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 17 | 8.5 | Good | Good | Data visually collected | x | Retain | Private | None |
| 2400 | bigleaf maple | Acer macrophyllum | 10 | 5 | Good | Fair | Data visually collected | x | Retain | Private | None |
| 2420 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 14 | 7 | Fair | Poor | Data visually collected | x | Retain | Private | None |
| 2423 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 28 | 14 | Good | Fair | Data visually collected | x | Retain | Private | None |
| 2425 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 10 | 5 | Poor | Poor | Data visually collected | x | Retain | Private | None |
| 2427 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 32 | 16 | Fair | Good | Data visually collected | x | Retain | Private | None |
| 2430 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 17 | 8.5 | Good | Fair | Data visually collected | x | Retain | Private | None |
| 2432 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 27 | 13.5 | Good | Fair | Data visually collected | x | Retain | Private | None |


| Tree No. | Common Name | Scientific Name | DBH ${ }^{1}$ | C-Rad ${ }^{2}$ | Condition ${ }^{3}$ | Structure | Comments | Not On Property | Treatment | Location | Mitigation ${ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2434 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 21 | 10.5 | Fair | Fair | Fence in base, thin crown, appears to be on edge of property line |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2437 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 28 | 14 | Good | Fair | Data visually collected | x | Retain | Private | None |
| 2439 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Good | Good | Data visually collected | x | Retain | Private | None |
| 2441 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 28 | 14 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2443 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 20 | 10 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, bark damage at base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2445 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 20 | 10 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2447 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 13 | 6.5 | Good | Fair | Bark damage at base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2449 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 22 | 11 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2451 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 28 | 14 | Fair | Poor | Wood pecker damage at base, thin crown, decay at base |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2453 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 12 | 6 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2455 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 18 | 9 | Poor | Poor | Red ring rot, thin crown |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2458 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 25 | 12.5 | Good | Good | Data visually collected | $x$ | Retain | Private | None |
| 2460 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 18 | 9 | Good | Good | Data visually collected | x | Retain | Private | None |
| 2462 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 12 | 6 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2464 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 14 | 7 | Poor | Poor | Broken top, decay in stem |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2466 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 10 | 5 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2468 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 23 | 11.5 | Fair | Fair | Co-dominant stem with included bark |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2470 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 23 | 11.5 | Fair | Fair | Co-dominant stem with included bark, ivy covering stem |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2472 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 10 | 5 | Good | Fair | Data visually collected | x | Retain | Private | None |
| 2475 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 40 | 20 | Good | Good | Data visually collected | x | Retain | Private | None |
| 2477 | Oregon white oak | Quercus garryana | 12 | 6 | Fair | Fair | Data visually collected | x | Retain | Private | None |
| 2479 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown, fence in base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2481 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2483 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 18 | 9 | Good | Good |  |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2485 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 14 | 7 | Fair | Fair | Bark damage at base |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2487 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 26 | 13 | Poor | Poor | Broken limbs in crown, thin crown |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2489 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 33 | 16.5 | Poor | Poor | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2496 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 12 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2498 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 27 | 13.5 | Fair | Fair | Co-dominant stem with included bark, thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2500 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 19 | 9.5 | Dead | Dead |  |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2502 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 12 | Good | Good |  |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2504 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 8 | Fair | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2506 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 20 | 10 | Fair | Fair | Bark damage at base, thin crown |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
| 2508 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 12 | Poor | Fair | Thin crown |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2587 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 36 | 18 | Fair | Poor | Co-dominant stem with included bark |  | Remove | Private | None |
| 2793 | Douglas-fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 24 | 18 | Good | Fair | One sided |  | Remove | Private | 1 |
|  | Street tree |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | Remove | Public | 1 |
|  | Street tree |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | Remove | Public | 1 |
|  | Street tree |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | Remove | Public | 1 |
|  | Street tree |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | Remove | Public | 1 |
|  | Street tree |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | Remove | Public | 1 |


| Tree No. | Common Name | Scientific Name | $\mathrm{DBH}^{1}$ | C-Rad ${ }^{2}$ | Condition ${ }^{3}$ | Structure | Comments | Not On Property | Treatment | Location | Mitigation ${ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Street tree |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | Remove | Public | 1 |
|  | Street tree |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | Remove | Public | 1 |
|  | Street tree |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | Remove | Public | 1 |
|  | Street tree |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | Remove | Public | 1 |
|  | Street tree |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | Remove | Public | 1 |
|  | Street tree |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | Remove | Public | 1 |
|  | Street tree |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | Remove | Public | 1 |
|  | Street tree |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | Remove | Public | 1 |
|  | Street tree |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | Remove | Public | 1 |
|  | Street tree |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | Remove | Public | 1 |
|  | Street tree |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | Remove | Public | 1 |
|  | Street tree |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | Remove | Public | 1 |
|  | Street tree |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | Remove | Public | 1 |
| ${ }^{1}$ DBH is the trunk diameter in inches measured per International Society of Arboriculture (ISA) standards. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{C}$-Rad is the approximate crown radius in feet. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ Condition and Structure ratings range from dead, very poor, poor, fair, to good. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{4}$ Mitigation is recommended for the removal of trees over 6-inch DBH. Trees that are less than 6-inch DBH are not recommended for mitigation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Attachment 4 <br> Tree Protection Recommendations

## Before Construction Begins

1. Notify all contractors of tree protection procedures. For successful tree protection on a construction site, all contractors must know and understand the goals of tree protection.
a. Hold a tree protection meeting with all contractors to explain the goals of tree protection.
c. Have all contractors sign memoranda of understanding regarding the goals of tree protection. The memoranda should include a penalty for violating the tree protection plan. The penalty should equal the resulting fines issued by the local jurisdiction plus the appraised value of the tree(s) within the violated tree protection zone per the current Trunk Formula Method as outlined in the current edition of the Guide for Plant Appraisal by the Council of Tree \& Landscape Appraisers. The penalty should be paid to the owner of the property.
2. Fencing
a. Tree protection fencing may be set as shown in Attachment 2.
b. The fencing should be put in place before the ground is cleared to protect the trees and the soil around the trees from disturbances.
c. Fencing should be established by the project arborist based on the needs of the trees to be protected and to facilitate construction.
d. Fencing should consist of 4 -foot high steel fencing on concrete blocks or other anchoring devices, or 4 -foot metal fencing secured to the ground with 6 -foot metal posts to prevent it from being moved by contractors, sagging, or falling down.
e. Fencing should remain in the position that is established by the project arborist and not be moved without approval from the project arborist until final project approval.
3. Signage
a. All tree protection fencing should have signage as follows so that all contractors understand the purpose of the fencing:
TREE PROTECTION ZONE
DO NOT REMOVE OR ADJUST THE LOCATION OF THIS
UNAUTHORIZED ENCROACHMENT MAY RESULT IN FINES

Please contact the project arborist if alterations to the location of the tree protection fencing are necessary.

Todd Prager, Project Arborist, Teragan \& Associates, 971-295-4835
b. Signage should be placed every 75 -feet or less.

## During Construction

1. Protection Guidelines Within the Tree Protection Zones:
a. No new buildings; grade change or cut and fill, during or after construction; new impervious surfaces; or utility or drainage field placement should be allowed within the tree protection zones.
b. No traffic should be allowed within the tree protection zones. This includes but is not limited to vehicle, heavy equipment, or even repeated foot traffic.
c. No storage of materials including but not limiting to soil, construction material, or waste from the site should be permitted within the tree protection zones. Waste includes but is not limited to concrete wash out, gasoline, diesel, paint, cleaner, thinners, etc.
d. Construction trailers should not be parked/placed within the tree protection zones.
e. No vehicles should be allowed to park within the tree protection zones.
f. No other activities should be allowed that will cause soil compaction within the tree protection zones.
2. The trees should be protected from any cutting, skinning or breaking of branches, trunks or woody roots.
3. The project arborist should be notified prior to the cutting of woody roots from trees that are to be retained to evaluate and oversee the proper cutting of roots with sharp cutting tools. Cut roots should be immediately covered with soil or mulch to prevent them from drying out.
4. Trees that have woody roots cut should be provided supplemental water during the summer months.
5. Any necessary passage of utilities through the tree protection zones should be by means of tunneling under woody roots by hand digging or boring with oversight by the project arborist.
6. Any deviation from the recommendations in this section should receive prior approval from the project arborist.

## After Construction

1. Carefully landscape the areas within the tree protection zones. Do not allow trenching for irrigation or other utilities within the tree protection zones.
2. Carefully plant new plants within the tree protection zones. Avoid cutting the woody roots of trees that are retained.
3. Do not install permanent irrigation within the tree protection zones unless it is drip irrigation to support a specific planting or the irrigation is approved by the project arborist.
4. Provide adequate drainage within the tree protection zones and do not alter soil hydrology significantly from existing conditions for the trees to be retained.
5. Provide for the ongoing inspection and treatment of insect and disease populations that can damage the retained trees and plants.
6. The retained trees may need to be fertilized if recommended by the project arborist.
7. Any deviation from the recommendations in this section should receive prior approval from the project arborist.

## Attachment 5 Assumptions and Limiting Conditions

1. Any legal description provided to the consultant is assumed to be correct. The information provided by Delta Logistics and their consultants was the basis of the information provided in this report.
2. It is assumed that this property is not in violation of any codes, statutes, ordinances, or other governmental regulations.
3. The consultant is not responsible for information gathered from others involved in various activities pertaining to this project. Care has been taken to obtain information from reliable sources.
4. Loss or alteration of any part of this delivered report invalidates the entire report.
5. Drawings and information contained in this report may not be to scale and are intended to be used as display points of reference only.
6. The consultant's role is only to make recommendations. Inaction on the part of those receiving the report is not the responsibility of the consultant.
7. The purpose of this report is to:

- Provide an assessment of the existing trees;
- Provide recommendations for tree removal and retention based on the proposed site improvements; and
- Provide protection recommendations for the trees to be retained.

Subject: Addendum to Tree Plan for the Delta Logistics Project in Wilsonville
Address of the Site: 9710 SW Day Road, Wilsonville, OR
Date of Report:
Addendum Submitted To:

February 28, 2023
Igor Nichiporchik, Delta Logistics

This addendum reviews the changes to the plans that were included in the tree plan for the project dated April 11, 2022. The changes to the project that I received on February 27, 2023 include the removal of the western part of the property from the planned development. The trees on the western section of the property west of Tapman Creek will not be removed. In addition, there are five tree seast of the creek but north of the planned edge of the parking lot/storm water facility that will also not be removed but will be protected with appropriate tree protection fencing location.

The rest of the trees east of the western edge of the planned parking lot on the property will be removed on the property. The tree protection fencing as shown on sheet L0.04, Tree Mitigation Plan dated $02 / 28 / 23$ will adequately protect the trees that will not be removed on the neighboring properties and the western section of the subject property.

The mitigation tree planting is addressed on the landscape plan. There are several Oregon ash trees that will be planted jus twest of the tree protection fence as shown on sheet L0.04. The landscape contractor will have adjust the tree protection fencing from the planned location during the property development to around the trees that are to be protected as shown on the Tree Mitigation Plan included in the appendix of this memo to ensure that the existing trees are not inadvertently impacted by the process of planting the mitigation trees.

Please contact us with any questions/concerns.
Thank you,


Terrence P. Flanagan
ISA Board Certified Master Arborist, \#PN-0120 BMTL
ISA Tree Risk Assessment Qualified
Member, American Society of Consulting Arborists
Appendix \#1 - Adjusted Tree Protection Plan for Landscaping Phase

## Appendix \#1 Adjusted Tree Protection Plan
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## INTRODUCTION

This study evaluates the transportation impacts associated with the proposed Delta Logistics Annex industrial development to be located at 9710 Day Road in Wilsonville, Oregon. The project will consist of an approximately 56,100 square foot industrial building which will have direct access to Day Road and an internal connection to the existing auxiliary operations at 9835 Commerce Circle. The project site is located within the Wilsonville Coffee Creek Industrial Design Overlay Zone.

The purpose of this transportation impact analysis is to identify potential mitigation measures needed to offset transportation impacts that the proposed development may have on the nearby transportation network. The impact analysis is focused on the study intersections, which were selected for evaluation. The intersections are listed below and shown in Figure 1. Table 1 lists important characteristics of the study area and proposed project.

1. Site Access/ Day Road
2. Boones Ferry Road/ Day Road
3. Boones Ferry Road/ 95th Avenue
4. I-5 Southbound Ramps/ Elligsen Road
5. I-5 Northbound Ramps/ Elligsen Road


FIGURE 1: STUDY AREA

TABLE 1: STUDY AREA AND PROPOSED PROJECT CHARACTERISTICS

| STUDY AREA |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| NUMBER OF STUDY INTERSECTIONS | Five |
| ANALYSIS PERIODS | Weekday PM peak hour (one hour between 4 pm and 6 pm ) |
| PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT |  |
| SIZE AND LAND USE | 56,100 square-foot industrial building |
| PROJECT TRIPS | 33 PM peak hour trips (9 in, 24 out), 127 weekday trips |
| VEHICLE ACCESS POINTS | One full site access on Day Road and an internal connection to an auxiliary site property on Commerce Circle. |
| OTHER TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES |  |
| PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE FACILITIES | Sidewalks and bicycle lanes currently exist on Day Road fronting the project site. |
| TRANSIT FACILITIES | SMART Transit Route 5 and TriMet Route 96 have bus stops in the project vicinity. |

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

This chapter provides documentation of existing study area conditions, including the study area roadway network, pedestrian and bicycle facilities, and existing traffic volumes and operations.

## STUDY AREA ROADWAY NETWORK

Key roadways in the study area are summarized in Table 2 along with their existing roadway characteristics. The functional classifications for City of Wilsonville streets are provided in the City of Wilsonville Transportation System Plan (TSP). ${ }^{1}$

TABLE 2: STUDY AREA ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

| ROADWAY | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION | LANES | POSTED SPEED | SIDEWALKS | $\begin{gathered} \text { BIKE } \\ \text { FACILITIES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ON- } \\ \text { STREET } \\ \text { PARKING } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAY ROAD | Major Arterial | 3 | $40 \mathrm{mph}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Partial ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Yes | No |
| BOONES FERRY ROAD | Major Arterial | 3-4 | 35 mph c <br> $45 \mathrm{mph}{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ | Yes | Yes | No |
| 95 ${ }^{\text {TH }}$ AVENUE | Minor Arterial | 3 | 35 mph | Yes | Yes | No |
| COMMERCE CIRCLE | Local | 2 | 25 mph | Partial ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | No | Yes |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELLIGSEN } \\ & \text { ROAD } \end{aligned}$ | Major Arterial | 4 | 35 mph | Yes | Yes | No |

a 35 mph advisory speed west of Boones Ferry Road due to hill and limited sight distance.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Sidewalks only exist on the south side of Day Road.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Posted speed limit on Boones Ferry Road is 35 mph south of Day Road \& 45 mph north of Day Road.
${ }^{d}$ Sidewalks exist on the south side of Commerce Circle for approximately 1000 linear feet west of the southern intersection with $95^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.

## BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES

There are existing marked bicycle lanes on Day Road, Boones Ferry Road, and Elligsen Road (no bike facilities on Commerce Circle). Full sidewalks exist on Boones Ferry Road, Elligsen Road, and $95^{\text {th }}$ Avenue (partial sidewalks on Day Road and Commerce Circle).

## PUBLIC TRANSIT SERVICE

South Metro Area Regional Transit (SMART) provides public transportation services within Wilsonville and outlying areas, including Canby, Salem, and the south end of Portland. Route 5 provides service between Wilsonville Transit Center and Commerce Circle on Monday through

[^6]Friday with 30 -minute headways during peak periods. The closest bus stops are located at the Grahams Ferry Road/ Day Road intersection and at two points along the Commerce Circle loop.

TriMet provides public transportation services in the Portland Metro region. Route 96 services the northern extents of Wilsonville via Boones Ferry Road and Commerce Circle on Monday through Friday with approximately 30 -minute intervals during peak periods. Stops are located at the Boones Ferry Road/ Day Road intersection and at a few locations along the Commerce Circle loop.

## PLANNED PROJECTS

The City of Wilsonville Transportation System Plan (TSP) has a list of Higher Priority projects which includes the recommended projects reasonably expected to be funded through 2035. These are the highest priority solutions to meet the City's most important needs. The list includes the following projects that impact the key roadways near the proposed project site. ${ }^{2}$

- SI-07 - Add a second southbound right turn lane to the I-5 Exit Ramp at the Boones Ferry Road intersection.
- SI-08 - Improve operations at the Boones Ferry Road/95th Avenue intersection by removing the east private access approach. Pioneer Court access onto Boones Ferry Road will be right-on/right-out. Additional access will occur via a north-south local street connection between Pioneer Court, passing under the Day Road I-5 overcrossing approach, and a new west-east local street (north of Day Road) with full intersection access at Boones Ferry Road.
- RW-02 - Widen Day Road from Boones Ferry Road to Grahams Ferry Road to include additional travel lanes in both directions along with bike lanes and sidewalks; project includes improvements at the Day Road/ Boones Ferry Road and Day Road/ Grahams Ferry Road intersections.
- RW-04 - Widen Boones Ferry Road from Day Road to Basalt Creek Parkway to five lanes.


## EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Intersection turning movement count data was utilized from a previous traffic study. Those counts were collected on two consecutive weekdays during the PM peak period (4:00-6:00 pm) in September 2021 at the study intersections, and the specific movement data was averaged.

In July 2021, ODOT released their final COVID Monitoring Traffic Report, which indicated that statewide traffic levels were approximately back to "pre-COVID" levels (plus or minus 5\%). Other local agencies in the area have anecdotally noted similar observations on the local street system. Additionally, the traffic counts were collected when Wilsonville schools were back to full-time, inperson attendance. Therefore, no COVID adjustment was applied to the traffic counts.

Figure 1 shows the Existing 2021 PM peak hour traffic volumes for the study intersections, along with the lane configurations and traffic control.

[^7]

FIGURE 2: EXISTING PM PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Agency mobility standards often require intersections to meet level of service (LOS) or volume-tocapacity (V/C) intersection operation thresholds.

- The intersection LOS is similar to a "report card" rating based upon average vehicle delay. Level of service A, B, and C indicate conditions where traffic moves without significant delays over periods of peak hour travel demand. Level of service $D$ and $E$ are progressively worse operating conditions. Level of service $F$ represents conditions where average vehicle delay has become excessive and demand has exceeded capacity. This condition is typically evident in long queues and delays.
- The volume-to-capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratio represents the level of saturation of the intersection or individual movement. It is determined by dividing the peak hour traffic volume by the maximum hourly capacity of an intersection or turn movement. When the V/C ratio approaches 0.95 , operations become unstable and small disruptions can cause the traffic flow to break down, resulting in the formation of excessive queues.

The City of Wilsonville requires study intersections on public streets to meet its minimum acceptable level of service (LOS) standard, which is LOS D for the overall intersection for the PM peak period.

The two intersections located at the Interstate-5/Elligsen Road interchange are required to meet ODOT mobility targets, which are identified in the METRO Regional Transportation Plan (2018) and the Oregon Highway Plan (1999). For the I-5 corridor between the Marquam Bridge to Wilsonville, the PM peak hour target for the first and second hour is a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio equal to or less than 0.99. ${ }^{3}$

## EXISTING INTERSECTION OPERATIONS

An analysis of the 2021 existing intersection operations was performed at the study intersections to determine the current operating conditions of the study area. Intersection operations were analyzed for the PM peak hour using Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) 6th Edition methodology. ${ }^{4}$ The volume to capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratio, delay, and level of service (LOS) of each study intersection are listed in Table 3.

[^8]TABLE 3: EXISTING 2021 STUDY INTERSECTION OPERATIONS

| INTERSECTION | OPERATING STANDARD / MOBILITY TARGET | EXISTING PM PEAK HOUR |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | v/c | DELAY | Los |
| SIGNALIZED |  |  |  |  |
| BOONES FERRY RD/ DAY RD | LOS D (City) | 0.65 | 15.8 | B |
| BOONES FERRY RD/ 95TH AVE | LOS D (City) | 0.69 | 20.3 | C |
| I-5 SOUTHBOUND RAMPS/ ELLIGSEN RD | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \leq 0.99$ (ODOT) | 0.75 | 9.5 | A |
| I-5 NORTHBOUND RAMPS/ ELLIGSEN RD | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \leq 0.99$ (ODOT) | 0.33 | 7.2 | A |
| TWO-WAY STOP- CONTROLLED |  |  |  |  |
| SITE ACCESS/ DAY RD | LOS D (City) | - | - | - |
| SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION: <br> Delay = Average Intersection Delay (secs) <br> $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=$ Total Volume-to-Capacity Ratio <br> LOS = Total Level of Service | Delay = Critical Movement Delay (secs) <br> $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=$ Critical Movement Volume-to-Capacity Ratio <br> LOS = Critical Levels of Service (Major/Minor Road) |  |  |  |

As shown, all study intersections meet the City's operating standard under the existing analysis scenario. HCM reports are provided in the appendix.

This chapter reviews the impacts that the proposed development may have on the study area transportation system. This analysis includes trip generation and distribution estimates, future year traffic volumes, and operating conditions for the study intersections.

## PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The proposed Delta Logistics Annex industrial development is located at 9710 Day Road in Wilsonville, Oregon. The project will consist of an approximately 56,100 square foot industrial building which will have direct access to Day Road. The development serves as an annex to the existing operations of Delta Logistics at 9835 SW Commerce Circle and will include an internal connection between the two sites. The project site is located within the Wilsonville Coffee Creek Industrial Design Overlay Zone.

## FUTURE ANALYSIS SCENARIOS

Operating conditions were analyzed at the study intersections for the following traffic scenarios. The comparison of the following scenarios enables the assessment of project impacts:

- Existing + Stage II
- Existing + Project
- Existing + Stage II + Project

All future analysis scenarios assume the same traffic control as existing conditions. Stage II represents traffic from other developments that have Stage II approval or are under construction in Wilsonville.

## TRIP GENERATION

Trip generation is the method used to estimate the number of vehicles added to site driveways and the adjacent roadway network by a development during a specified period (i.e., such as the PM peak hour).

For this study, the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) trip generation rates for Warehousing (150) were used. ${ }^{5}$ This ITE land use code was deemed appropriate through a comparison of the Warehousing (150) trip generation rate with a weekday PM peak hour driveway count that was collected at the existing auxiliary site access for Delta Logistics. The traffic count showed a similar traffic generation as would be expected for the Warehousing (150) land use code, which validates that the land use code would be acceptable for the new site. The total trip generation for the proposed development is shown in Table 4.

[^9]TABLE 4: VEHICLE TRIP GENERATION

| LAND USE <br> (ITE CODE) | SIZE a | PM PEAK TRIP RATE | PM PEAK TRIPS |  |  | DAILY TRIPS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Warehousing (150) | 56.1 KSF | 1.20 trips per KSF | 9 | 24 | 33 |  |

${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{KSF}=1,000$ square feet
As shown, the proposed development is expected to generate a total 33 PM peak hour trips ( 9 in, 24 out). The project trips at the study intersections are shown in Figure 2 in the following section.

## VEHICLE TRIP DISTRIBUTION

Vehicle trip distribution provides an estimation of where vehicles would be coming from and going to. It is given as a percentage at key gateways to the study area and is used to route project trips through the study intersections. Figure 3 shows the trip distribution for the proposed site. The trip distribution was based on the Wilsonville Travel Demand Model ${ }^{6}$ and matched prior studies conducted near the project vicinity. ${ }^{7}$

Due to study site having an internal access point to the existing auxiliary site, the vehicle trips that originated south along $95^{\text {th }}$ Avenue were routed through the existing auxiliary site access on Commerce Circle. With $15 \%$ of trips on $95^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, this equated to 4 trips out and 1 trip in during the PM peak hour in which the generated vehicles do not interact with a study intersection.

## PROJECT TRIPS THROUGH CITY OF WILSONVILLE INTERCHANGE AREAS

The project trips through the two City of Wilsonville I-5 interchange areas were estimated based on the trip generation and distribution assumptions as discussed prior. Approximately $5 \%$ of the project trips are expected to travel through the I-5/Wilsonville Road interchange area and 45\% are expected to travel through the I-5/Elligsen Road interchange area; that is, the proposed development is expected to generate 2 new PM peak hour trips through the I-5/Wilsonville Road interchange area and 15 new PM peak hour trips through the I-5/Elligsen Road interchange area.

## FUTURE TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Traffic volumes were estimated at the study intersections for the three future analysis scenarios. The future scenarios include various combinations of three types of traffic: Existing, Project, and Stage II. Stage II development trips are estimated based on the list of currently approved Stage II developments provided by City staff. ${ }^{8}$ The Stage II list is included in the appendix. Figure 4 shows the PM peak hour traffic volumes used to analyze the future scenarios.

[^10]

FIGURE 3: TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND PROJECT TRIPS


FIGURE 4: FUTURE PM PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES

An analysis of the future intersection operations was performed at the study intersections for each future scenario. Intersection operations were analyzed for the PM peak hour using Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) 6th Edition methodology. ${ }^{9}$ The volume to capacity (v/c) ratio, delay, and level of service (LOS) of each study intersection are listed in Table 5.

TABLE 5: FUTURE INTERSECTION OPERATIONS

| INTERSECTION | OPERATING STANDARD / MOBILITY TARGET | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXISTING } \\ & + \text { PROJECT } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXISTING } \\ & + \text { STAGE II } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXISTING } \\ & \text { + STAGE II } \\ & \text { + PROJECT } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | v/c | delay | Los | v/c | delay | Los | v/c | delay | Los |
| SIGNALIZED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BOONES FERRY RD/ <br> DAY RD | LOS D (City) | 0.66 | 16.0 | B | 0.66 | 15.8 | B | 0.67 | 16.0 | B |
| BOONES FERRY RD/ 95TH AVE | LOS D (City) | 0.70 | 20.4 | C | 0.71 | 20.6 | C | 0.71 | 20.7 | C |
| I-5 SOUTHBOUND RAMPS/ ELLIGSEN RD | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \leq 0.99 \\ (\mathrm{ODOT}) \end{gathered}$ | 0.76 | 9.6 | A | 0.79 | 10.3 | B | 0.79 | 10.4 | B |
| I-5 NORTHBOUND RAMPS/ ELLIGSEN RD | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \leq 0.99 \\ (\mathrm{ODOT}) \end{gathered}$ | 0.33 | 7.2 | A | 0.35 | 7.2 | A | 0.35 | 7.2 | A |
| TWO-WAY STOP- CONTROLLED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SITE ACCESS/ DAY RD | LOS D (City) | 0.06 | 14.5 | A/B | - | - | - | 0.06 | 14.5 | A/B |
| SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION: <br> Delay = Average Intersection Delay (secs) <br> $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=$ Total Volume-to-Capacity Ratio <br> LOS $=$ Total Level of Service <br> TWO-WAY STOP CONTROLLED INTERSECTION: <br> Delay = Critical Movement Delay (secs) <br> $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=$ Critical Movement Volume-to-Capacity Ratio <br> LOS = Critical Levels of Service (Major/Minor Road) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

As shown, all study intersections are expected to meet the City's operating standard under all future analysis scenarios. HCM reports are provided in the appendix.

## SITE REVIEW

This chapter provides a documented evaluation of the site plan, including discussions on the site access and sight distance, frontage improvements, pedestrian and bicycle facilities, circulation, and parking. The site plan is provided in the appendix.

## SITE ACCESSES

A full site access is currently proposed on Day Road approximately 1,200 feet west of the Boones Ferry Road intersection. Also shown is an internal connection to the auxiliary site property to the

[^11]south, which has access to Commerce Circle. The full site access on Day Road will support both employee passenger vehicle traffic and freight truck traffic. The proposed access on Day Road is required to meet the City's public works construction standards. ${ }^{10}$ The access spacing standard for a Major Arterial is to be a minimum 1,000 feet, but the desired spacing is 1,320 feet.

The approximate spacing between the proposed site access and the Boones Ferry Road intersection is 1,000 feet, meeting the City's minimum standard. However, as shown in the City's Development Code for the Coffee Creek Industrial Design Overlay Zone ${ }^{11}$, there is a proposed Supporting Street connection to Day Road that is proposed to be built just to the east of the project property, approximately 420 feet from the proposed driveway. Ideally, the site would be able to connect directly to the future Supporting Street, but because of the existing wetlands and the Significant Resource Overlay Zone (SROZ) on the west side of the property, access to the proposed Supporting Street is not feasible and therefore, a direct access to Day Road is necessary.

## INTERSECTION SIGHT DISTANCE

The proposed driveway is to meet the recommend sight distances per AASHTO ${ }^{12}$. The posted speed on Day Road is 40 mph and there is a $5 \%$ uphill grade traveling eastbound and a $2 \%$ uphill grade traveling westbound from the proposed driveway. Based on these conditions, the preliminary intersection sight distance requirement along Day Road is shown in the table below.

TABLE 6: PRELIMINARY INTERSECTION SIGHT DISTANCE (ISD) PER AASHTO

| DIRECTION OF TRAVEL | DESIGN <br> SPEED | PASSENGER CAR | TRUCK |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Left Turn From Driveway | 40 mph | 530 ft | 780 ft |
| Right Turn From Driveway |  | 720 ft |  |
| DKS PRELIMINARY FIELD MEASUREMENTS: |  |  |  |

Sight distance was evaluated and documented in a memo by Mackenzie that verified sight distances at the proposed driveway are met for passenger cars but not for trucks. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{~A}$ field visit

[^12]conducted by DKS also verified the same sight distance findings at the proposed site access as Mackenzie. ${ }^{14}$

In January 2022, Makenzie evaluated the intersection sight distances at three alternative driveway locations along Day Road at both a 35 mph and 40 mph design speed to determine if recommended sight distances could be met at any of the other locations and at a lower posted speed. However, due to the vertical curve on Day Road, none of the other driveway locations were able to meet recommended intersection sight distances.

Based on these findings, DKS recommends that the proposed driveway be provided as shown on the site plan. Trucks desiring to head west on Day Road will need to utilize to the existing site access to the site on SW Commerce Circle. Appropriate coordination with truck drivers and signage will need to be installed on site to prohibits trucks from turning left out of the Day Road driveway.

Prior to occupancy, sight distance at any new or modified access points will need to be verified, documented, and stamped by a registered professional Civil or Traffic Engineer licensed in the State of Oregon.

## FRONTAGE IMPROVEMENTS

Based on the City's street cross-section design standards, ${ }^{15}$ major arterials are required to have sidewalks, planter strips, and bike lanes along the project frontage. As sidewalks, planter strips, and bike lanes already exist along the project frontage, the project sponsor should verify that the existing facilities meet the facility width requirements.

## CIRCULATION \& STRUCTURE

As an industrial warehousing and trucking site, there is the necessity for substantial semi-truck tractor and trailer parking. The site separates out the personal vehicle, semi-tractor, and semitrailer parking into three areas. The passenger car parking areas are located on the south side of the property and along the north side of the building. Semi-trailer parking is located just west of the building at the center of the property and semi-tractor parking is located on the far west side of the property. A circular isle is provided around the semi-trailer parking area that connects the Day Road access, internal access to the auxiliary site, and loading dock doors.

The semi-tractor parking area on the west end of the property is accessed a drive aisle that is shown to cross over a Significant Resource Overlay Zone (SROZ). While the parking area appears to be outside of the SROZ, the access road to reach the parking area would require building the access road within the SROZ. Based on discussions with the City, it is our understanding that a crossing over the SROZ will not be permitted and therefore, the proposed semi-tractor parking should be relocated elsewhere on the project site.

[^13]
## PARKING

The proposed project is required to comply with the City code for the number of personal vehicular parking stalls and bicycle parking spaces that are provided on site. ${ }^{16}$ Assuming the entire building is classified as Storage Warehouse, the project site is to have between 0.3 and 0.5 vehicle parking spaces per 1,000 square feet of building, which equates to between 17 and 29 spaces. The site plan shows a proposed 56 vehicular parking spaces, exceeding the maximum number of parking stalls per code for Storage Warehouse land use.

Based on the ITE Parking Generation Manual, the 85th percentile parking demand for Land Use 150 (Warehousing) is 0.81 parking stalls per 1,000 square feet of building. This results in an estimated parking demand of 47 parking stalls for the project site. Because the project site is anticipated to be a distribution center, it will need to provide enough parking for the truck/freight drivers' personal vehicles as well as on-site employees' personal vehicles. The proposed 56 parking passenger car spaces is appropriate for this project site.

This industrial site is also to have one bicycle parking space per 20,000 square feet, which equates to three bicycle parking spots. The site plan does not show any proposed bicycle parking spaces. It is recommended that the final site plan show a minimum of three bicycle parking spaces to meet the City code requirement.

## SUMMARY OF PROJECT IMPACTS

The key findings of the study for the Delta Logistics Annex development are discussed below.

- The proposed Delta Logistics Annex industrial development includes an approximately 56,100 square foot industrial building that will serve as an annex to the existing operations of Delta Logistics located on Commerce Circle.
- The proposed development is expected to generate 33 PM peak hour trips (9 in, 24 out) and 127 weekday trips.
- Of the PM peak hour project trips, 2 new trips are expected to travel through the I-5/ Wilsonville Road interchange area and 15 new trips are expected to travel through the I-5/ Elligsen Road interchange area.
- The traffic operations at the five study intersections are expected to operate within the City's operating standard and ODOT's mobility target under project build conditions.
- The proposed site driveway does not meet access spacing standards nor the recommended intersection sight distances for trucks turning left out of the driveway. However, based on the intersection sight distance evaluation, the proposed driveway location provides the best intersection sight distance as compared to other locations along Day Road.

[^14]- A condition of approval will be needed to prohibit trucks from turning left onto Day Road. Trucks desiring to head west on Day Road will need to utilize to the existing site access on SW Commerce Circle. Appropriate coordination with truck drivers and internal signage will need to be installed on site to prohibits trucks from turning left out of the Day Road site driveway. Prior to occupancy, sight distance at any new or modified access points will need to be verified, documented, and stamped by a registered professional Civil or Traffic Engineer licensed in the State of Oregon.
- Based on discussions with the City, it is our understanding that a crossing over the SROZ will not be permitted and therefore, the proposed parking lot on the west side of the site should be relocated.
- It is recommended that the final site plan includes a minimum of three bicycle parking spaces to meet the City code requirement.
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## APPENDIX A

TRAFFIC COUNT DATA

ALL TRAFFIC DATA SERVICES
(303) 216-2439 www.alltrafficdata.net

Location: 1 SW Grahams Ferry Rd \& SW Day Rd PM
Date: Tuesday, September 21, 2021
Study Peak Hour: 04:10 PM - 05:10 PM
Peak 15-Minutes in Study Peak Hour: 04:35 PM - 04:50 PM
Study Peak Hour (for all study intersections)


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

|  | HV\% | PHF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EB | $0.0 \%$ | 0.60 |
| WB | $11.9 \%$ | 0.89 |
| NB | $4.4 \%$ | 0.93 |
| SB | $6.8 \%$ | 0.85 |
| All | $8.0 \%$ | 0.93 |

Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval | SW Day Rd Eastbound |  |  |  | SW Day Rd Westbound |  |  |  | SW Grahams Ferry Rd Northbound |  |  |  | SW Grahams Ferry Rd Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 42 | 20 | 1 | 146 | 1,696 |
| 4:05 PM | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 32 | 25 | 0 | 117 | 1,660 |
| 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 53 | 36 | 0 | 154 | 1,677 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 34 | 31 | 0 | 132 | 1,661 |
| 4:20 PM | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 7 | 0 | 50 | 19 | 0 | 155 | 1,641 |
| 4:25 PM | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 9 | 0 | 36 | 15 | 0 | 140 | 1,630 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 39 | 18 | 0 | 132 | 1,618 |
| 4:35 PM | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 39 | 27 | 0 | 147 | 1,600 |
| 4:40 PM | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 8 | 0 | 46 | 31 | 0 | 146 | 1,542 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 41 | 35 | 1 | 156 | 1,510 |
| 4:50 PM | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 39 | 36 | 0 | 141 | 1,477 |
| 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 32 | 20 | 0 | 130 | 1,433 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 31 | 16 | 0 | 110 | 1,385 |
| 5:05 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 4 | 0 | 30 | 26 | 0 | 134 |  |
| 5:10 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 46 | 27 | 0 | 138 |  |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 7 | 0 | 27 | 19 | 0 | 112 |  |
| 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 3 | 0 | 56 | 22 | 0 | 144 |  |
| 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 6 | 0 | 36 | 20 | 0 | 128 |  |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 7 | 0 | 32 | 15 | 0 | 114 |  |
| 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 25 | 18 | 0 | 89 |  |
| 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 34 | 13 | 0 | 114 |  |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 39 | 9 | 1 | 123 |  |
| 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 39 | 8 | 0 | 97 |  |
| 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 25 | 8 | 0 | 82 |  |
| Count Total | 0 | 14 | 80 | 10 | 0 | 174 | 9 | 909 | 0 | 1 | 349 | 115 | 0 | 903 | 514 | 3 | 3,081 |  |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 9 | 47 | 4 | 0 | 104 | 5 | 478 | 0 | 0 | 194 | 55 | 0 | 470 | 310 | 1 | 1,677 |  |

Traffic Counts - Heavy Vehicles, Bicycles on Road, and Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk

| Interval | Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  |  | Interval Start Time | Bicycles on Roadway |  |  |  |  |  | Interval Start Time | Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB |  | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB |  | NB | WB | SB | Total |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 11 | 9 | 21 | 4:00 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:00 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:05 PM | 0 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 19 | 4:05 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:05 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:10 PM | 0 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 18 | 4:10 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:10 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 15 | 4:15 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:15 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:20 PM | 0 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 11 | 4:20 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:20 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 12 | 4:25 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:25 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 12 | 4:30 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4:30 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:35 PM | 0 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 13 | 4:35 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:35 PM |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4:40 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:40 PM |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 11 | 6 | 18 | 4:45 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:45 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 4:50 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:50 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 4:55 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:55 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 5:00 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:00 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 5:05 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5:05 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 5:10 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5:10 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 5:15 PM |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5:15 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 5:20 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:20 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5:25 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:25 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 5:30 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:30 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:35 PM | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 5:35 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:35 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:40 PM | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 5:40 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5:40 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 5:45 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:45 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:50 PM | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 5:50 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:50 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:55 PM | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 5:55 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:55 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 26 | 119 | 86 | 231 | Count Total |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | Count Total |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 11 | 70 | 53 | 134 | Peak Hour |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | Peak Hour |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

aLL TRAFFIC DATA SERVICES
(303) 216-2439 www.alltrafficdata.net

Location: 2 SW Boones Ferry Rd \& SW Day Rd PM
Date: Tuesday, September 21, 2021
Study Peak Hour: 04:10 PM - 05:10 PM
Peak 15-Minutes in Study Peak Hour: 04:35 PM - 04:50 PM
Study Peak Hour (for all study intersections)
Motorized Vehicles
$\begin{array}{lllll}(1,155) & 624 & 0.84 & 384 & (802)\end{array}$


Pedestrians/Bicycles in Crosswalk


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

|  | HV\% | PHF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EB | $5.3 \%$ | 0.88 |
| WB | $0.0 \%$ | 0.00 |
| NB | $8.0 \%$ | 0.94 |
| SB | $3.0 \%$ | 0.84 |
| All | $5.8 \%$ | 0.95 |

Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval | SW Day Rd Eastbound |  |  |  | SW Day Rd Westbound |  |  |  | SW Boones Ferry Rd Northbound |  |  |  | SW Boones Ferry Rd Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 6 | 158 | 2,101 |
| 4:05 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 4 | 165 | 2,100 |
| 4:10 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 3 | 186 | 2,120 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 2 | 182 | 2,094 |
| 4:20 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 5 | 189 | 2,072 |
| 4:25 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 4 | 178 | 2,064 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 2 | 169 | 2,040 |
| 4:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 2 | 176 | 2,026 |
| 4:40 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 1 | 180 | 1,999 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 1 | 179 | 1,999 |
| 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 3 | 169 | 1,988 |
| 4:55 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 1 | 170 | 1,973 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 3 | 157 | 1,939 |
| 5:05 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 185 |  |
| 5:10 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 4 | 160 |  |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 2 | 160 |  |
| 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 6 | 181 |  |
| 5:25 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 3 | 154 |  |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 155 |  |
| 5:35 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 1 | 149 |  |
| 5:40 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 2 | 180 |  |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 3 | 168 |  |
| 5:50 PM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 1 | 154 |  |
| 5:55 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 4 | 136 |  |
| Count Total | 0 | 35 | 0 | 1,089 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 994 | 767 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,092 | 63 | 4,040 |  |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 15 | 0 | 572 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 540 | 369 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 597 | 27 | 2,120 |  |

Traffic Counts - Heavy Vehicles, Bicycles on Road, and Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk

| Interval | Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  |  | Interval <br> Start Time | Bicycles on Roadway |  |  |  |  | Interval Start Time | Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |
| 4:00 PM | 8 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 4:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:05 PM | 3 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:10 PM | 3 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 4:10 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:15 PM | 6 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 4:15 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:20 PM | 1 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 4:20 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:25 PM | 4 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:35 PM | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 4:35 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:40 PM | 2 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 4:40 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 2 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:45 PM | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 4:50 PM | 3 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4:50 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 4:55 PM | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:05 PM | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:10 PM | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:20 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 5:20 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:25 PM | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:35 PM | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:40 PM | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5:40 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 5:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:50 PM | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:55 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 5:55 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Count Total | 57 | 121 | 0 | 31 | 209 | Count Total | 1 | 14 | 0 | 8 | 23 | Count Total | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 9 |
| Peak Hour | 31 | 73 | 0 | 19 | 123 | Peak Hour | 0 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 13 | Peak Hour | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 8 |

aLL TRAFFIC DATA SERVICES
(303) 216-2439 www.alltrafficdata.net

Location: 3 SW Boones Ferry Rd \& SW 95th Ave PM
Date: Tuesday, September 21, 2021
Study Peak Hour: 04:10 PM - 05:10 PM
Peak 15-Minutes in Study Peak Hour: 04:35 PM - 04:50 PM
Study Peak Hour (for all study intersections)
Motorized Vehicles
$\begin{array}{lllll}(2,183) & 1,161 & 0.87 & 922 & (1,773)\end{array}$


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

|  | HV\% | PHF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EB | $4.4 \%$ | 0.88 |
| WB | $0.0 \%$ | 0.61 |
| NB | $11.1 \%$ | 0.91 |
| SB | $4.6 \%$ | 0.87 |
| All | $6.8 \%$ | 0.97 |

Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval | SW 95th Ave Eastbound |  |  |  | SW 95th Ave Westbound |  |  |  | SW Boones Ferry Rd Northbound |  |  |  | SW Boones Ferry Rd Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 11 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 43 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 17 | 253 | 3,293 |
| 4:05 PM | 0 | 10 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 55 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 10 | 287 | 3,298 |
| 4:10 PM | 0 | 18 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 59 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 11 | 275 | 3,306 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 11 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 74 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 18 | 302 | 3,295 |
| 4:20 PM | 0 | 16 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 17 | 278 | 3,249 |
| 4:25 PM | 0 | 13 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 34 | 67 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 17 | 256 | 3,216 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 16 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 66 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 16 | 277 | 3,233 |
| 4:35 PM | 0 | 15 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 43 | 78 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 10 | 304 | 3,174 |
| 4:40 PM | 0 | 15 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 6 | 258 | 3,096 |
| 4:45 PM | 1 | 13 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 12 | 271 | 3,095 |
| 4:50 PM | 0 | 9 | 1 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 65 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 97 | 11 | 265 | 3,063 |
| 4:55 PM | 0 | 10 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 48 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 19 | 267 | 3,006 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 14 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 17 | 258 | 2,945 |
| 5:05 PM | 0 | 13 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 10 | 295 |  |
| 5:10 PM | 0 | 17 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 5 | 264 |  |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 14 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 10 | 256 |  |
| 5:20 PM | 0 | 10 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 14 | 245 |  |
| 5:25 PM | 0 | 8 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 39 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 16 | 273 |  |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 10 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 10 | 218 |  |
| 5:35 PM | 0 | 14 | 1 | 37 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 12 | 226 |  |
| 5:40 PM | 0 | 9 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 20 | 257 |  |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 18 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 13 | 239 |  |
| 5:50 PM | 0 | 15 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 14 | 208 |  |
| 5:55 PM | 0 | 8 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 15 | 206 |  |
| Count Total | 1 | 307 | 2 | 1,325 | 0 | 48 | 21 | 5 | 0 | 875 | 1,461 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 1,862 | 320 | 6,238 |  |
| Peak Hour | 1 | 163 | 1 | 725 | 0 | 27 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 446 | 755 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 996 | 164 | 3,306 |  |

Traffic Counts - Heavy Vehicles, Bicycles on Road, and Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk

|  | Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  |  | Interval Start Time | Bicycles on Roadway |  |  |  |  | Interval <br> Start Time | Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |
| 4:00 PM | 6 | 11 | 0 | 9 | 26 | 4:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:05 PM | 1 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 4:05 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4:05 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:10 PM | 7 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 23 | 4:10 PM | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 4:15 PM | 5 | 11 | 0 | 9 | 25 | 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:20 PM | 3 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 4:20 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:25 PM | 4 | 17 | 0 | 6 | 27 | 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 2 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 20 | 4:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:35 PM | 3 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 17 | 4:35 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4:35 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 4:40 PM | 2 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 16 | 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 5 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 20 | 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:50 PM | 3 | 13 | 0 | 6 | 22 | 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:55 PM | 1 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:55 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:00 PM | 3 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:05 PM | 1 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:10 PM | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 5:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:20 PM | 3 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 5:20 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:25 PM | 4 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 3 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:35 PM | 3 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:40 PM | 2 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 3 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 5:45 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:50 PM | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:50 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 5:55 PM | 3 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 5:55 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Count Total | 70 | 218 | 0 | 88 | 376 | Count Total | 6 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 17 | Count Total | 6 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 15 |
| Peak Hour | 39 | 134 | 0 | 53 | 226 | Peak Hour | 2 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 8 | Peak Hour | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 8 |

ALL TRAFFIC DATA SERVICES
(303) 216-2439 www.alltrafficdata.net

Location: 4 I-5 SB Ramp \& SW Elligsen Rd PM
Date: Tuesday, September 21, 2021
Study Peak Hour: 04:10 PM - 05:10 PM
Peak 15-Minutes in Study Peak Hour: 04:35 PM - 04:50 PM
Study Peak Hour (for all study intersections)


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

|  | HV\% | PHF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EB | $4.7 \%$ | 0.90 |
| WB | $3.8 \%$ | 0.93 |
| NB | $0.0 \%$ | 0.00 |
| SB | $11.6 \%$ | 0.92 |
| All | $6.3 \%$ | 0.97 |

Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval | SW Elligsen Rd Eastbound |  |  |  | SW Elligsen Rd Westbound |  |  |  | I-5 SB Ramp Northbound |  |  |  | I-5 SB Ramp Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 76 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 18 | 46 | 314 | 3,748 |
| 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 103 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 4 | 50 | 336 | 3,740 |
| 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 77 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 1 | 40 | 307 | 3,747 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 98 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 3 | 35 | 343 | 3,739 |
| 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 79 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 47 | 298 | 3,711 |
| 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 69 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 1 | 62 | 288 | 3,687 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 76 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 4 | 52 | 303 | 3,693 |
| 4:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 99 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 4 | 47 | 327 | 3,669 |
| 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 80 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 53 | 325 | 3,601 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 77 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 2 | 48 | 314 | 3,568 |
| 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 59 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 7 | 44 | 296 | 3,500 |
| 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 75 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 2 | 47 | 297 | 3,438 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 69 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 7 | 45 | 306 | 3,376 |
| 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 82 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 4 | 50 | 343 |  |
| 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 95 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 6 | 34 | 299 |  |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 74 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 7 | 52 | 315 |  |
| 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 55 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 46 | 274 |  |
| 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 58 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 2 | 59 | 294 |  |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 66 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 37 | 279 |  |
| 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 57 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 43 | 259 |  |
| 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 70 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 56 | 292 |  |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 60 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 48 | 246 |  |
| 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 58 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 52 | 234 |  |
| 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 58 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 40 | 235 |  |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 1,770 | 1,521 | 0 | 0 | 1,251 | 587 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 786 | 76 | 1,133 | 7,124 |  |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 940 | 834 | 0 | 0 | 658 | 319 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 388 | 38 | 570 | 3,747 |  |

Traffic Counts - Heavy Vehicles, Bicycles on Road, and Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk

| Interval | Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  |  | Interval <br> Start Time | Bicycles on Roadway |  |  |  |  | Interval Start Time | Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |
| 4:00 PM | 11 | 0 | 5 | 12 | 28 | 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 4:05 PM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 10 | 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:10 PM | 8 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 22 | 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 11 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 23 | 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 4:20 PM | 5 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 19 | 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:25 PM | 6 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 21 | 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 4:30 PM | 7 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 19 | 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 4:35 PM | 5 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 14 | 4:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:40 PM | 4 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 19 | 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 9 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 24 | 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:50 PM | 12 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 25 | 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:55 PM | 9 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 17 | 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 4 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 16 | 5:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:05 PM | 4 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 18 | 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:10 PM | 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 10 | 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:20 PM | 8 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 14 | 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:25 PM | 6 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:35 PM | 7 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:40 PM | 3 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 11 | 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 14 | 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:50 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:55 PM | 5 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 17 | 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 139 | 0 | 65 | 188 | 392 | Count Total | 1 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 8 | Count Total | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| Peak Hour | 84 | 0 | 37 | 116 | 237 | Peak Hour | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 5 | Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |

ALL TRAFFIC DATA SERVICES
(303) 216-2439 www.alltrafficdata.net

Location: 5 I-5 NB Ramp \& SW Elligsen Rd PM
Date: Tuesday, September 21, 2021
Study Peak Hour: 04:10 PM - 05:10 PM
Peak 15-Minutes in Study Peak Hour: 04:35 PM - 04:50 PM
Study Peak Hour (for all study intersections)


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

|  | HV\% | PHF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EB | $4.9 \%$ | 0.94 |
| WB | $2.6 \%$ | 0.90 |
| NB | $4.3 \%$ | 0.86 |
| SB | $0.0 \%$ | 0.00 |
| All | $3.9 \%$ | 0.96 |

Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval | SW Elligsen Rd Eastbound |  |  |  | SW Elligsen Rd Westbound |  |  |  | I-5 NB Ramp Northbound |  |  |  | I-5 NB Ramp Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 56 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 48 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 260 | 3,018 |
| 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 58 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 43 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 278 | 2,998 |
| 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 45 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 34 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 251 | 3,009 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 58 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 49 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 302 | 3,015 |
| 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 46 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 22 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 207 | 2,950 |
| 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 59 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 44 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 219 | 2,981 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 64 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 47 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 247 | 2,974 |
| 4:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 57 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 42 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 272 | 2,972 |
| 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 60 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 41 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 247 | 2,932 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 66 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 40 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 263 | 2,931 |
| 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 66 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 31 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 227 | 2,890 |
| 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 54 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 42 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 245 | 2,838 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 66 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 43 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 240 | 2,799 |
| 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 49 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 59 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 289 |  |
| 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 57 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 55 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 257 |  |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 52 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 43 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 237 |  |
| 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 50 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 38 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 238 |  |
| 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 56 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 39 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 212 |  |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 54 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 34 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 245 |  |
| 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 53 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 41 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 232 |  |
| 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 79 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 32 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 246 |  |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 60 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 42 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 222 |  |
| 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 50 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 31 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 175 |  |
| 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 53 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 32 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 206 |  |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 1,368 | 1,181 | 0 | 0 | 1,216 | 972 | 0 | 615 | 0 | 465 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,817 |  |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 690 | 647 | 0 | 0 | 668 | 494 | 0 | 305 | 0 | 205 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,009 |  |

Traffic Counts - Heavy Vehicles, Bicycles on Road, and Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk

| Interval | Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  |  | Interval Start Time | Bicycles on Roadway |  |  |  |  | Interval Start Time | Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |
| 4:00 PM | 6 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:05 PM | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:05 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:10 PM | 6 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 13 | 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 8 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:20 PM | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:25 PM | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:25 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 4:30 PM | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:35 PM | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 4:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:40 PM | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 4 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:50 PM | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:55 PM | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:55 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:00 PM | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 5:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:05 PM | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:10 PM | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:20 PM | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:25 PM | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:35 PM | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:40 PM | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:50 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:55 PM | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 101 | 49 | 43 | 0 | 193 | Count Total | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | Count Total | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Peak Hour | 65 | 22 | 30 | 0 | 117 | Peak Hour | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | Peak Hour | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |




Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

|  | HV\% | PHF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EB | $0.0 \%$ | 0.50 |
| WB | $10.7 \%$ | 0.95 |
| NB | $6.0 \%$ | 0.81 |
| SB | $5.6 \%$ | 0.90 |
| All | $7.0 \%$ | 0.95 |


| Interval | SW Day Rd Eastbound |  |  |  | SW Day Rd Westbound |  |  |  | SW Grahams Ferry Rd Northbound |  |  |  | SW Grahams Ferry Rd Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 33 | 19 | 0 | 131 | 1,639 |
| 4:05 PM | 0 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 27 | 19 | 1 | 126 | 1,645 |
| 4:10 PM | 0 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 27 | 0 | 141 | 1,634 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 44 | 23 | 0 | 155 | 1,624 |
| 4:20 PM | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 45 | 21 | 0 | 136 | 1,607 |
| 4:25 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 44 | 30 | 0 | 135 | 1,623 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 41 | 20 | 1 | 134 | 1,615 |
| 4:35 PM | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 41 | 25 | 0 | 127 | 1,607 |
| 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 45 | 26 | 0 | 152 | 1,601 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 6 | 0 | 46 | 18 | 0 | 137 | 1,556 |
| 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 43 | 23 | 0 | 132 | 1,523 |
| 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 37 | 26 | 0 | 133 | 1,508 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 54 | 29 | 0 | 137 | 1,472 |
| 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 34 | 21 | 0 | 115 |  |
| 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 6 | 0 | 45 | 25 | 0 | 131 |  |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 7 | 0 | 56 | 22 | 0 | 138 |  |
| 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 52 | 34 | 0 | 152 |  |
| 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 44 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 36 | 20 | 0 | 127 |  |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 7 | 0 | 38 | 24 | 0 | 126 |  |
| 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 39 | 24 | 0 | 121 |  |
| 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 5 | 0 | 36 | 14 | 0 | 107 |  |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 32 | 18 | 0 | 104 |  |
| 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 43 | 18 | 0 | 117 |  |
| 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 35 | 12 | 0 | 97 |  |
| Count Total | 0 | 12 | 74 | 13 | 1 | 150 | 12 | 851 | 0 | 3 | 351 | 105 | 0 | 999 | 538 | 2 | 3,111 |  |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 12 | 44 | 12 | 0 | 86 | 3 | 427 | 0 | 1 | 207 | 44 | 0 | 520 | 287 | 2 | 1,645 |  |

Traffic Counts - Heavy Vehicles, Bicycles on Road, and Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk

| Interval | Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  |  | Interval Start Time | Bicycles on Roadway |  |  |  |  | Interval Start Time | Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 2 | 10 | 8 | 20 | 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:10 PM | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:20 PM | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:25 PM | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:35 PM | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 4:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:40 PM | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 12 | 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:50 PM | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:55 PM | 0 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 14 | 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:05 PM | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:40 PM | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:50 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:55 PM | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 23 | 88 | 78 | 189 | Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 15 | 55 | 45 | 115 | Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Peak Hour

Motorized Vehicles
$\begin{array}{llllll}(1,159) & 619 & 0.81 & 385 & \text { (772) }\end{array}$


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

|  | HV\% | PHF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EB | $4.2 \%$ | 0.90 |
| WB | $0.0 \%$ | 0.50 |
| NB | $6.9 \%$ | 0.93 |
| SB | $1.8 \%$ | 0.81 |
| All | $4.6 \%$ | 0.97 |

Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval | SW Day Rd Eastbound |  |  |  | SW Day Rd <br> Westbound |  |  |  | SW Boones Ferry Rd Northbound |  |  |  | SW Boones Ferry Rd Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 2 | 159 | 2,097 |
| 4:05 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 3 | 183 | 2,085 |
| 4:10 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 1 | 180 | 2,062 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 3 | 178 | 2,054 |
| 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 1 | 181 | 2,055 |
| 4:25 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 3 | 170 | 2,040 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 1 | 162 | 2,069 |
| 4:35 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 1 | 178 | 2,064 |
| 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 3 | 170 | 2,064 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 174 | 2,041 |
| 4:50 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 1 | 185 | 2,030 |
| 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 2 | 177 | 1,986 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 147 | 1,960 |
| 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 5 | 160 |  |
| 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 2 | 172 |  |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 179 |  |
| 5:20 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 2 | 166 |  |
| 5:25 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 2 | 199 |  |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 2 | 157 |  |
| 5:35 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 4 | 178 |  |
| 5:40 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 147 |  |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 1 | 163 |  |
| 5:50 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 2 | 141 |  |
| 5:55 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 32 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 45 | 3 | 151 |  |
| Count Total | 0 | 30 | 0 | 1,174 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 949 | 741 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1,114 | 44 | 4,057 |  |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 10 | 0 | 612 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 481 | 375 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 598 | 21 | 2,097 |  |

Traffic Counts - Heavy Vehicles, Bicycles on Road, and Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk

| Interval | Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  |  | Interval Start Time | Bicycles on Roadway |  |  |  |  | Interval Start Time | Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |
| 4:00 PM | 5 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:05 PM | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:10 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:20 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:25 PM | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:25 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4:30 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:35 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:40 PM | 5 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 4:40 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:50 PM | 3 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:55 PM | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:05 PM | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:10 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 5:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:20 PM | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:25 PM | 2 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:35 PM | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5:35 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:40 PM | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5:40 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:45 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:50 PM | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:55 PM | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 44 | 99 | 0 | 19 | 162 | Count Total | 1 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 12 | Count Total | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Peak Hour | 26 | 59 | 0 | 11 | 96 | Peak Hour | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

|  | HV\% | PHF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EB | $5.4 \%$ | 0.88 |
| WB | $0.0 \%$ | 0.66 |
| NB | $8.7 \%$ | 0.97 |
| SB | $2.8 \%$ | 0.90 |
| All | $5.6 \%$ | 0.96 |

Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval | SW 95th Ave Eastbound |  |  |  | SW 95th Ave Westbound |  |  |  | SW Boones Ferry Rd Northbound |  |  |  | SW Boones Ferry Rd Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 9 | 250 | 3,320 |
| 4:05 PM | 0 | 16 | 3 | 75 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 19 | 297 | 3,328 |
| 4:10 PM | 0 | 16 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 35 | 62 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 11 | 305 | 3,313 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 20 | 267 | 3,266 |
| 4:20 PM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 71 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 110 | 15 | 280 | 3,262 |
| 4:25 PM | 0 | 10 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 17 | 261 | 3,242 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 11 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 37 | 42 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 12 | 284 | 3,265 |
| 4:35 PM | 0 | 10 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 47 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 5 | 301 | 3,205 |
| 4:40 PM | 0 | 12 | 1 | 64 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 13 | 266 | 3,160 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 11 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 64 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 10 | 265 | 3,138 |
| 4:50 PM | 0 | 16 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 10 | 273 | 3,114 |
| 4:55 PM | 0 | 9 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 38 | 58 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 16 | 271 | 3,058 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 4 | 1 | 63 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 42 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 15 | 258 | 3,006 |
| 5:05 PM | 0 | 24 | 1 | 71 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 29 | 56 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 13 | 282 |  |
| 5:10 PM | 0 | 16 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 47 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 11 | 258 |  |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 9 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 56 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 18 | 263 |  |
| 5:20 PM | 0 | 21 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 14 | 260 |  |
| 5:25 PM | 0 | 13 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 37 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 20 | 284 |  |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 9 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 50 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 13 | 224 |  |
| 5:35 PM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 38 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 17 | 256 |  |
| 5:40 PM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 14 | 244 |  |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 17 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 49 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 11 | 241 |  |
| 5:50 PM | 0 | 15 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 13 | 217 |  |
| 5:55 PM | 0 | 18 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 55 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 14 | 219 |  |
| Count Total | 0 | 292 | 6 | 1,337 | 0 | 56 | 27 | 12 | 2 | 901 | 1,393 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 1,954 | 330 | 6,326 |  |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 129 | 5 | 766 | 0 | 26 | 15 | 7 | 1 | 446 | 731 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1,030 | 163 | 3,328 |  |

Traffic Counts - Heavy Vehicles, Bicycles on Road, and Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk

| Interval Start Time | Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  |  | Interval Start Time | Bicycles on Roadway |  |  |  |  | Interval <br> Start Time | Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |
| 4:00 PM | 4 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 20 | 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:05 PM | 5 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:10 PM | 2 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 4 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:20 PM | 4 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 4:25 PM | 5 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 4:30 PM | 10 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 4:30 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 4:35 PM | 3 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 4:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:35 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 4:40 PM | 6 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 21 | 4:40 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 4:45 PM | 3 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:45 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 4:50 PM | 6 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:55 PM | 1 | 12 | 0 | 6 | 19 | 4:55 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:05 PM | 3 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:10 PM | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 5:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:20 PM | 4 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:25 PM | 5 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 5 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 5:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:35 PM | 4 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:40 PM | 5 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 6 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:50 PM | 6 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 5:55 PM | 4 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 11 | 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 99 | 180 | 2 | 62 | 343 | Count Total | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 | Count Total | 7 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 15 |
| Peak Hour | 49 | 103 | 0 | 33 | 185 | Peak Hour | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | Peak Hour | 6 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 13 |



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

|  | HV\% | PHF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EB | $3.8 \%$ | 0.93 |
| WB | $3.8 \%$ | 0.92 |
| NB | $0.0 \%$ | 0.00 |
| SB | $9.8 \%$ | 0.79 |
| All | $5.4 \%$ | 0.93 |

Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval | SW Elligsen Rd Eastbound |  |  |  | SW Elligsen Rd Westbound |  |  |  | I-5 SB Ramp Northbound |  |  |  | I-5 SB Ramp Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 74 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 8 | 53 | 321 | 3,757 |
| 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 92 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 10 | 56 | 346 | 3,746 |
| 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 97 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 13 | 43 | 345 | 3,709 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 65 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 5 | 45 | 303 | 3,652 |
| 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 76 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 8 | 50 | 320 | 3,655 |
| 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 67 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 6 | 42 | 307 | 3,601 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 108 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 37 | 318 | 3,622 |
| 4:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 86 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 47 | 305 | 3,585 |
| 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 86 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 54 | 315 | 3,573 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 75 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 1 | 32 | 288 | 3,553 |
| 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 71 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 3 | 54 | 299 | 3,538 |
| 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 84 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 2 | 38 | 290 | 3,483 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 78 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 6 | 46 | 310 | 3,447 |
| 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 85 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 2 | 40 | 309 |  |
| 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 87 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 3 | 36 | 288 |  |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 75 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 36 | 306 |  |
| 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 65 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 38 | 266 |  |
| 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 76 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 5 | 55 | 328 |  |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 65 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 6 | 54 | 281 |  |
| 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 69 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 7 | 37 | 293 |  |
| 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 72 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 10 | 49 | 295 |  |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 54 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 6 | 56 | 273 |  |
| 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 53 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 9 | 49 | 244 |  |
| 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 54 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 5 | 52 | 254 |  |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 1,814 | 1,553 | 0 | 0 | 1,239 | 647 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 736 | 116 | 1,099 | 7,204 |  |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 981 | 841 | 0 | 0 | 641 | 309 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 377 | 57 | 551 | 3,757 |  |

Traffic Counts - Heavy Vehicles, Bicycles on Road, and Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk

| Interval | Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  |  | Interval <br> Start Time | Bicycles on Roadway |  |  |  |  | Interval Start Time | Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |
| 4:00 PM | 9 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 17 | 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:05 PM | 10 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 18 | 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:10 PM | 2 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 13 | 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 2 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 18 | 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:20 PM | 5 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 12 | 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:25 PM | 6 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 16 | 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 6 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 17 | 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:35 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 11 | 4:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:40 PM | 10 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 25 | 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 7 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 15 | 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:50 PM | 5 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 19 | 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:55 PM | 6 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 21 | 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 5:00 PM | 4 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 12 | 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:05 PM | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:10 PM | 4 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 13 | 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:20 PM | 3 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 17 | 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:25 PM | 7 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 13 | 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 4 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:35 PM | 4 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 14 | 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:40 PM | 7 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 7 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 14 | 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:50 PM | 9 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 19 | 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:55 PM | 5 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 15 | 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 125 | 0 | 66 | 166 | 357 | Count Total | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Peak Hour | 69 | 0 | 36 | 97 | 202 | Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

|  | HV\% | PHF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EB | $3.9 \%$ | 0.90 |
| WB | $2.2 \%$ | 0.92 |
| NB | $4.0 \%$ | 0.87 |
| SB | $0.0 \%$ | 0.00 |
| All | $3.2 \%$ | 0.96 |

Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval | SW Elligsen Rd Eastbound |  |  |  | SW Elligsen Rd Westbound |  |  |  | I-5 NB Ramp Northbound |  |  |  | I-5 NB Ramp Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 76 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 36 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 237 | 3,045 |
| 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 76 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 49 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 276 | 3,059 |
| 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 58 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 46 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 270 | 3,051 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 70 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 47 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 248 | 3,023 |
| 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 60 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 44 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 264 | 3,027 |
| 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 53 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 39 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 253 | 3,006 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 64 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 43 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 277 | 3,015 |
| 4:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 42 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 46 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 258 | 2,977 |
| 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 53 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 37 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 231 | 2,959 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 59 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 39 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 2,971 |
| 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 74 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 52 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 261 | 2,936 |
| 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 58 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 38 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 237 | 2,862 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 57 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 52 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 251 | 2,820 |
| 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 58 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 48 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 268 |  |
| 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 52 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 42 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 242 |  |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 51 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 33 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 252 |  |
| 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 59 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 42 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 243 |  |
| 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 66 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 37 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 262 |  |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 63 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 49 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 239 |  |
| 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 48 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 42 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 240 |  |
| 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 67 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 37 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 243 |  |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 47 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 27 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 198 |  |
| 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 55 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 22 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 187 |  |
| 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 56 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 29 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 195 |  |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 1,422 | 1,137 | 0 | 0 | 1,283 | 976 | 0 | 600 | 0 | 447 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,865 |  |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 724 | 625 | 0 | 0 | 652 | 532 | 0 | 306 | 0 | 220 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,059 |  |

Traffic Counts - Heavy Vehicles, Bicycles on Road, and Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk

| Interval | Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  |  | Interval Start Time | Bicycles on Roadway |  |  |  |  |  | Interval Start Time | Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB |  | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |
| 4:00 PM | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 4:00 PM |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:05 PM | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 4:05 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:10 PM | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 4:10 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 4:15 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:20 PM | 7 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 4:20 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:25 PM | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 4:25 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 7 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 4:30 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:35 PM | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 4:35 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:40 PM | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 4:40 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4:45 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:50 PM | 6 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 4:50 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:50 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:55 PM | 4 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 4:55 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5:00 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:05 PM | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 5:05 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:10 PM | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 5:10 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 5:15 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:20 PM | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 5:20 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:25 PM | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5:25 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 5:30 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:35 PM | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 5:35 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:40 PM | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 5:40 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 5:45 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:50 PM | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 5:50 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:55 PM | 3 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 5:55 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 99 | 46 | 46 | 0 | 191 | Count Total |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | Count Total | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Peak Hour | 52 | 21 | 26 | 0 | 99 | Peak Hour |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Peak Hour | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

## APPENDIX B

HCM REPORT - EXISTING CONDITIONS

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  | 1 | 4 | ri |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 603 | 0 | 0 | 541 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 603 | 0 | 0 | 541 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 100 | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, $\#$ | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 670 | 0 | 0 | 601 | 0 | 0 |



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 |  | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | \$ |  | ${ }^{7 \%}$ | F |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 中\% |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 13 | 0 | 590 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 518 | 369 | 0 | 0 | 590 | 23 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 13 | 0 | 590 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 518 | 369 | 0 | 0 | 590 | 23 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1900 | 1900 | 1841 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1752 | 1841 | 1900 | 1900 | 1870 | 1811 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 14 | 0 | 579 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 540 | 384 | 0 | 0 | 615 | 22 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| Cap, veh/h | 137 | 0 | 921 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 1757 | 1613 | 0 | 2 | 1033 | 37 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.30 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1440 | 0 | 1560 | 0 | 1900 | 0 | 3237 | 1841 | 0 | 1810 | 3497 | 125 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 14 | 0 | 579 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 540 | 384 | 0 | 0 | 312 | 325 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1440 | 0 | 1560 | 0 | 1900 | 0 | 1618 | 1841 | 0 | 1810 | 1777 | 1845 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 15.8 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 15.8 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.07 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 137 | 0 | 921 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 1757 | 1613 | 0 | 2 | 525 | 545 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.60 | 0.60 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 288 | 0 | 1085 | 0 | 290 | 0 | 1757 | 1613 | 0 | 69 | 525 | 545 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.67 | 1.67 | 1.67 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 48.1 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.6 | 31.6 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 4.8 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.4 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 7.6 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 48.4 | 0.0 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.5 | 36.4 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | A | B | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | D | D |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 593 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 924 |  |  | 637 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 15.7 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 1.6 |  |  | 36.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  | D |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 0.0 | 96.0 |  | 9.0 | 61.0 | 35.0 |  | 9.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 4.0 | 73.0 |  | 16.0 | 46.0 | 31.0 |  | 16.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+l1), s | 0.0 | 2.0 |  | 3.0 | 4.3 | 17.8 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 2.5 |  | 2.0 | 2.1 | 3.1 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 15.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




| Timer - Assigned Phs 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), 33.5 | 48.0 | 23.5 | 14.5 | 67.0 | 23.5 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
|  | 43.0 | 24.0 | 4.0 | 62.0 | 24.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+ H I2, 1 s | 29.2 | 17.7 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 13.6 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s 1.7 | 4.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 3.0 |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 20.3 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | C |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.
User approved changes to right turn type.
Unsignalized Delay for [SBR] is included in calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.


## Notes

User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.
User approved changes to right turn type.
Unsignalized Delay for [EBR, WBR] is included in calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.



| Timer - Assigned Phs | 2 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration $(G+Y+R c)$, s | 87.8 | 87.8 | 17.2 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.5 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 55.0 | 55.0 | 40.5 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 2.0 | 7.4 | 11.8 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 6.6 | 5.8 | 1.0 |

## Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 7.2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | A |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [NBR, EBR, WBR] is included in calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| ID | Software/Method | Intersection | Control Type | LOS | Delay |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V/C Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |
| S Synchro HCM 6th Signal | Boones Ferry Road \& Day Rd | Signal | B | 16 | 0.65 |
| 3 Synchro HCM 6th Signal | Boones Ferry Road \& 95th Avenue | Signal | C | 20 | 0.69 |
| 4 Synchro HCM 6th Signal | I-5 SB On Ramp/l-5 SB Off Ramp \& Boon. | Signal | A | 10 | 0.75 |
| 5 Synchro HCM 6th Signal | I-5 NB Off-Ramp/I-5 NB On-Ramp \& Ellig | Signal | A | 7 | 0.33 |

## APPENDIX C

## STAGE II LIST

DKS

Updated by D. Pauly 09.21.2021

| Stage II Approved |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project | Land Use | Status | Size | Total PM Peak Trips | Trip Allocation Percentage |  | Net New (Primary + Diverted) PM Peak Hour Trips not yet active |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Internal | Pass-By | In <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> 44 <br>  | Out | Total |
| Hydro-Temp: Recent agreement with the City, the project is vested and so are the traffic trips | Office/Flex-Space | Not built | 60.8 KSF |  |  |  |  | 46 | 90 |
| Mercedes Benz (Phase 2) | Auto Dealership | Not built | 66.8 KSF |  |  |  | 20 | 26 | 46 |
| Shredding Systems (SQFT does not including paint canopy and another canopy) | Industrial/Commercial | Under construction |  |  |  |  | 20 | 46 | 66 |
| Town Center Ph III and trip dedication to Miller Paint store Uses marked with "*" have not been built and PM peak hr trip | *High Turnover Restaurant (Pad 1) | Not built | 7.5 KSF |  |  |  | 24 | 17 | 47* |
| level by 2 trips. It has yet to be determined how to allocate trips between remaining buildings. | Remaining Approved Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wilsonville Road Business Park Phase II | Phase 2 - office (2-story building on west parcel) | Partially Built | 21.7 KSF |  |  |  | 15 | 71 | 86 |
| Frog Pond-Stafford Meadows (Phase 2 and 3a of 10/18 study) | Residential | Partially Built, 24 homes built and occupied | 46 units |  |  |  | 12 | 10 | 22 |
| Frog Pond-Frog Pond Meadows (Phase 3B, 4A, 4B of 10/18 Study) | Residential | Partially Built, 3 homes built and occupied | 74 units |  |  |  | 44 | 27 | 71 |
| Frog Pond Ridge | Residential | uction, no homes buil | 71 units |  |  |  | 43 | 28 | 71 |
| Frog Pond-Morgan Farm | Residential | Partially Built, 38 homes built and occupied | 80 units |  |  |  | 28 | 14 | 42 |
| Fir Avenue Commons | Residential | Partially Built, 2 homes built and occupied | 10 units |  |  |  | 6 | 2 | 8 |
| Magnolia Townhomes | Residential | Under construction | 6 units |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| Aspen Meadows II | Residential | Under construction, no homes sold and occupied | 5 units |  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Canyon Creek III | Residential | Approved | 5 units (traffic study was for 11) |  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Coffee Creek Logistics | Industrial/Commercial | Under construction | 115K |  |  |  | 16 | 41 | 57 |



Pending Projects for Which Traffic Analysis has been completed (except Villebois)

| Pending Projects for Which Traffic Analysis has been completed (except Villebois) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project | Land Use | Status | Size | Total PM Peak | Trip Allocation Percentage |  |  | Net New (Primary) PM Peak Hour Trips |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Internal | Pass-By | Diverted | In | Out | Total |
| PW Complex on Boberg | Public | under review | $\begin{gathered} \hline 15,800 \text { office, } \\ 17,900 \\ \text { warehouse } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | 11 | 39 | 50 |
| DAS North Valley Complex | Public/Industria | under review | 174,700 sf |  |  |  |  | 5 | 15 | 20 |
| Frog Pond Crossing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 9 | 28 |
| Boones Ferry Gas Station/Conve | Commercail | under review | 3,460 sf store, 12 | 240 |  | 134 |  | 53 | 53 | 106 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX D

HCM REPORT - EXISTNG + PROJECT

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\boldsymbol{F}$ |  |  | 个 | MF |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 603 | 3 | 5 | 541 | 7 | 13 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 603 | 3 | 5 | 541 | 7 | 13 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 100 | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 670 | 3 | 6 | 601 | 8 | 14 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 673 | 0 | 1285 | 672 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 672 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 613 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.1 | - | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.2 | - | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 927 | - | 183 | 459 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 511 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 544 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 927 | - | 182 | 459 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 323 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 511 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 541 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 0.1 |  | 14.5 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 400 | - | - | 927 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.056 | - | - | 0.006 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 14.5 | - | - | 8.9 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | B | - | - | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.2 | - | - | 0 | - |


|  | $y$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  | \$ |  | ${ }^{*}{ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 中t |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 15 | 0 | 601 | 0 | O | 0 | 522 | 369 | 0 | 0 | 590 | 24 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 15 | 0 | 601 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 522 | 369 | 0 | 0 | 590 | 24 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1900 | 1900 | 1841 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1752 | 1841 | 1900 | 1900 | 1870 | 1811 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 16 | 0 | 590 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 544 | 384 | 0 | 0 | 615 | 23 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 0 |  | 2 | 6 |
| Cap, veh/h | 140 | 0 | 936 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 1782 | 1610 | 0 | 2 | 997 | 37 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.92 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.29 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1440 | 0 | 1560 | 0 | 1900 | 0 | 3237 | 1841 | 0 | 1810 | 3491 | 130 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 16 | 0 | 590 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 544 | 384 | 0 | - | 313 | 325 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/n | 1440 | 0 | 1560 | 0 | 1900 | 0 | 1618 | 1841 | 0 | 1810 | 1777 | 1844 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 16.1 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 16.1 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.07 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 140 | 0 | 936 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 1782 | 1610 | 0 | 2 | 508 | 527 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 0.62 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 288 | 0 | 1097 | 0 | 290 | 0 | 1782 | 1610 | 0 | 69 | 508 | 527 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.67 | 1.67 | 1.67 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 48.0 | 0.0 | 13.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.5 | 32.5 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 5.3 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.4 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 7.8 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay (d),s/veh | 48.3 | 0.0 | 14.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.0 | 37.9 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | A | B | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | D | D |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 606 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 928 |  |  | 638 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 15.3 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 1.4 |  |  | 37.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  | D |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), $s$ | 0.0 | 95.8 |  | 9.2 | 61.8 | 34.0 |  | 9.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $Y+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 4.0 | 73.0 |  | 16.0 | 47.0 | 30.0 |  | 16.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 0.0 | 2.0 |  | 3.1 | 4.0 | 18.1 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 2.5 |  | 2.1 | 2.1 | 3.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl DelayHCM 6th LOS |  |  | 16.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 20.4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | C |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.
User approved changes to right turn type.
Unsignalized Delay for [SBR] is included in calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | 个 $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | 个 $\uparrow$ | 「 |  |  |  | ${ }_{1}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 989 | 842 | 0 | 640 | 312 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 373 | 52 | 561 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 989 | 842 | 0 | 640 | 312 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 373 | 52 | 561 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.99 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 0 | 1826 | 1841 | 0 | 1826 | 1870 |  |  |  | 1841 | 1856 | 1663 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 0 | 1052 | 0 | 0 | 681 | 0 |  |  |  | 436 | 0 | 542 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |  |  |  | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 2 |  |  |  | 4 | 3 | 16 |
| Cap，veh／h | 0 | 1688 |  | 0 | 1688 |  |  |  |  | 1467 | 0 | 581 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.00 | 0.97 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.97 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.42 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 0 | 3561 | 1560 | 0 | 3561 | 1585 |  |  |  | 3506 | 0 | 1389 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 0 | 1052 | 0 | 0 | 681 | 0 |  |  |  | 436 | 0 | 542 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n | 0 | 1735 | 1560 | 0 | 1735 | 1585 |  |  |  | 1753 | 0 | 1389 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 8.7 | 0.0 | 39.1 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 8.7 | 0.0 | 39.1 |
| Prop In Lane | 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 0 | 1688 |  | 0 | 1688 |  |  |  |  | 1467 | 0 | 581 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.00 | 0.62 |  | 0.00 | 0.40 |  |  |  |  | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.93 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 0 | 1688 |  | 0 | 1688 |  |  |  |  | 1803 | 0 | 715 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 0.00 | 0.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.93 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 20.3 | 0.0 | 29.1 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.0 | 16.2 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ | 110.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 3.3 | 0.0 | 14.5 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay， | ，s／veh |  | 1.20 |  |  | 0.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.3 |  |  |  | 20.4 | 0.0 | 45.4 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A |  |  |  | C | A | D |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 1948 | A |  | 1013 | A |  |  |  |  | 978 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 1.6 |  |  | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 34.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 56.1 | 48.9 | 56.1 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 41.0 | 54.0 | 41.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 4.2 | 41.1 | 2.9 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 9.9 | 2.8 | 5.6 |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 9.6 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | A |

## Notes

User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement．
User approved changes to right turn type．
Unsignalized Delay for［EBR，WBR］is included in calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．



| Timer - Assigned Phs | 2 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration $(G+Y+R c)$, s | 87.8 | 87.8 | 17.2 |
| Change Period $(\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc})$, s | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.5 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 55.0 | 55.0 | 40.5 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 2.0 | 7.4 | 11.8 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 6.6 | 5.8 | 1.0 |

## Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 7.2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | A |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [NBR, EBR, WBR] is included in calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| ID | Software/Method | Intersection | Control Type | LOS | Delay |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 Synchro HCM 6th Signal | Boones Ferry Road \& Day Rd | Signal | B | 16 | 0.66 |
| 3 Synchro HCM 6th Signal | Boones Ferry Road \& 95th Avenue | Signal | C | 20 | 0.7 |
| 4 Synchro HCM 6th Signal | I-5 SB On Ramp/I-5 SB Off Ramp \& Boon | Signal | A | 10 | 0.76 |
| 5 Synchro HCM 6th Signal | I-5 NB Off-Ramp/I-5 NB On-Ramp \& Ellig | Signal | A | 7 | 0.33 |

## APPENDIX E

HCM REPORT - EXISTNG + STAGE II

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\boldsymbol{F}$ |  | 1 | 个 | MF |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 603 | 0 | 0 | 541 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 603 | 0 | 0 | 541 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 100 | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 670 | 0 | 0 | 601 | 0 | 0 |



|  | 4 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  | \$ |  | \% ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 性 |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 13 | 0 | 590 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 518 | 375 | 0 | 0 | 593 | 23 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 13 | 0 | 590 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 518 | 375 | 0 | 0 | 593 | 23 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1900 | 1900 | 1841 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1752 | 1841 | 1900 | 1900 | 1870 | 1811 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 14 | 0 | 579 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 540 | 391 | 0 | 0 | 618 | 22 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| Cap, veh/h | 137 | 0 | 921 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 1757 | 1613 | 0 | 2 | 1033 | 37 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.30 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1440 | 0 | 1560 | 0 | 1900 | 0 | 3237 | 1841 | 0 | 1810 | 3498 | 124 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 14 | 0 | 579 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 540 | 391 | 0 | 0 | 314 | 326 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1440 | 0 | 1560 | 0 | 1900 | 0 | 1618 | 1841 | 0 | 1810 | 1777 | 1846 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.9 | 15.9 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.9 | 15.9 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.07 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 137 | 0 | 921 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 1757 | 1613 | 0 | 2 | 525 | 545 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.60 | 0.60 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 288 | 0 | 1085 | 0 | 290 | 0 | 1757 | 1613 | 0 | 69 | 525 | 545 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.67 | 1.67 | 1.67 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 48.1 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.7 | 31.7 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 4.8 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ( $50 \%$ ),veh/ln | 0.4 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 7.6 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 48.4 | 0.0 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.6 | 36.5 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | A | B | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | D | D |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 593 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 931 |  |  | 640 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 15.7 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 1.6 |  |  | 36.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  | D |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), $s$ | 0.0 | 96.0 |  | 9.0 | 61.0 | 35.0 |  | 9.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 4.0 | 73.0 |  | 16.0 | 46.0 | 31.0 |  | 16.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 0.0 | 2.0 |  | 3.0 | 4.3 | 17.9 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 2.6 |  | 2.0 | 2.1 | 3.1 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrr DelayHCM 6th LOS |  |  | 15.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SB | SB | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「「＇ | \％ | $\uparrow$ |  | \％＊ | 性 |  | \％ | 个个 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 150 | 3 | 796 | 28 | 15 | 6 | 475 | 745 |  | 1 | 1033 | 166 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 150 | 3 | 796 | 28 | 15 | 6 | 475 | 745 | 8 |  | 1033 | 166 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 0.99 |  | 0.96 | 1.00 |  | 0.97 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1796 | 1900 | 1841 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1693 | 1781 | 1900 | 1900 | 1856 | 1781 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 156 | 3 | 800 | 29 | 16 | 1 | 495 | 776 | 7 | 1 | 1076 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 |
| Cap，veh／h | 306 | 5 | 1236 | 115 | 317 | 20 | 868 | 2029 | 18 | 158 | 1410 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.56 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1326 | 25 | 2638 | 687 | 1765 | 110 | 3127 | 3436 | 31 | 1810 | 3526 | 1510 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 159 | 0 | 800 | 29 | 0 | 17 | 495 | 382 | 401 | 1 | 1076 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n1351 |  | 0 | 1319 | 687 | 0 | 1875 | 1564 | 1692 | 1775 | 1810 | 176 | 1510 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 27.7 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 11.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 27.7 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane 0 | 0.98 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.06 | 1.00 |  | 0.02 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h 31 |  | 0 | 1236 | 115 | 0 | 337 | 868 | 999 | 1048 | 158 | 141 |  |
| V／C Ratio（X） 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.57 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.01 | 0.76 |  |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h 3 | 380 | 0 | 1365 | 148 | 0 | 429 | 868 | 999 | 1048 | 158 | 1410 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.77 | 0.7 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh 40.6 |  | 0.0 | 21.8 | 47.7 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 19.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.8 | 27.2 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh 1.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（ $50 \%$ ），veh／IB． 8 |  | 0.0 | 7.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 3.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 11.7 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.50 |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 41.5 | 0.0 | 22.6 | 48.6 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 19.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 43.8 | 30.3 | 15.5 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | A | C | D | A | D | B | A | A | D | C | B |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 959 |  |  | 46 |  |  | 1278 |  |  | 1175 | A |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 25.8 |  |  | 43.8 |  |  | 8.2 |  |  | 29.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | C |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 20.6 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | C |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green．
User approved changes to right turn type．
Unsignalized Delay for［SBR］is included in calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | 个 $\uparrow$ | F |  | 个4 | 「 |  |  |  | \％ | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 1016 | 841 | 0 | 644 | 317 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 416 | 52 | 584 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 1016 | 841 | 0 | 644 | 317 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 416 | 52 | 584 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.99 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 0 | 1826 | 1841 | 0 | 1826 | 1870 |  |  |  | 1841 | 1856 | 1663 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 0 | 1081 | 0 | 0 | 685 | 0 |  |  |  | 482 | 0 | 566 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |  |  |  | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 2 |  |  |  | 4 | 3 | 16 |
| Cap，veh／h | 0 | 1629 |  | 0 | 1629 |  |  |  |  | 1525 | 0 | 605 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.00 | 0.94 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.94 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.44 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 0 | 3561 | 1560 | 0 | 3561 | 1585 |  |  |  | 3506 | 0 | 1389 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 0 | 1081 | 0 | 0 | 685 | 0 |  |  |  | 482 | 0 | 566 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 0 | 1735 | 1560 | 0 | 1735 | 1585 |  |  |  | 1753 | 0 | 1389 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 9.5 | 0.0 | 40.8 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 9.5 | 0.0 | 40.8 |
| Prop In Lane | 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 0 | 1629 |  | 0 | 1629 |  |  |  |  | 1525 | 0 | 605 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.00 | 0.66 |  | 0.00 | 0.42 |  |  |  |  | 0.32 | 0.00 | 0.94 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 0 | 1629 |  | 0 | 1629 |  |  |  |  | 1803 | 0 | 715 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 0.00 | 0.66 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.93 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 19.4 | 0.0 | 28.3 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.0 | 17.4 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ | $1 / 10.0$ | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 3.6 | 0.0 | 15.3 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay， | ，s／veh |  | 1.20 |  |  | 0.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 0.0 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.3 |  |  |  | 19.5 | 0.0 | 45.7 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A |  |  |  | B | A | D |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 1976 | A |  | 1022 | A |  |  |  |  | 1048 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 2.3 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  | 33.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 54.3 | 50.7 | 54.3 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 41.0 | 54.0 | 41.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 7.3 | 42.8 | 4.1 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 10.1 | 2.9 | 5.6 |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 10.3 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | B |

## Notes

User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement．
User approved changes to right turn type．
Unsignalized Delay for［EBR，WBR］is included in calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．



| Timer - Assigned Phs | 2 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration $(G+Y+R c)$, s | 87.8 | 87.8 | 17.2 |
| Change Period $(\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc})$, s | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.5 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 56.0 | 56.0 | 39.5 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 2.0 | 7.5 | 11.8 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 7.2 | 5.9 | 1.0 |

## Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 7.2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | A |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [NBR, EBR, WBR] is included in calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| ID $\quad$ Software/Method | Intersection | Control Type | LOS | Delay | V/C Ratio |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 Synchro HCM 6th Signal | Boones Ferry Road \& Day Rd | Signal | B | 16 | 0.66 |
| 3 Synchro HCM 6th Signal | Boones Ferry Road \& 95th Avenue | Signal | C | 21 | 0.71 |
| 4 Synchro HCM 6th Signal | I-5 SB On Ramp/I-5 SB Off Ramp \& Boon | Signal | B | 10 | 0.79 |
| 5 Synchro HCM 6th Signal | I-5 NB Off-Ramp/I-5 NB On-Ramp \& Ellig | Signal | A | 7 | 0.35 |

## APPENDIX F

HCM REPORT - EXISTNG + PROJECT + STAGE II

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | F |  | 1 | 个 | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 603 | 3 | 5 | 541 | 7 | 13 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 603 | 3 | 5 | 541 | 7 | 13 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 100 | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 670 | 3 | 6 | 601 | 8 | 14 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 673 | 0 | 1285 | 672 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 672 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 613 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.1 | - | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.2 | - | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 927 | - | 183 | 459 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 511 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 544 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 927 | - | 182 | 459 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 323 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 511 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 541 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 0.1 |  | 14.5 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 400 | - | - | 927 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.056 | - | - | 0.006 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 14.5 | - | - | 8.9 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | B | - | - | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.2 | - | - | 0 | - |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | \% |  | $\downarrow$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | T |  | * |  | ${ }^{4} 1$ | F |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 15 | 0 | 601 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 522 | 375 | 0 | 0 | 593 | 24 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 15 | 0 | 601 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 522 | 375 | 0 | 0 | 593 | 24 |
| Initial Q (Qb), veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1900 | 1900 | 1841 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1752 | 1841 | 1900 | 1900 | 1870 | 1811 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 16 | 0 | 590 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 544 | 391 | 0 | 0 | 618 | 23 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| Cap, veh/h | 140 | 0 | 936 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 1782 | 1610 | 0 | 2 | 998 | 37 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.92 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.29 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1440 | 0 | 1560 | 0 | 1900 | 0 | 3237 | 1841 | 0 | 1810 | 3491 | 130 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 16 | 0 | 590 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 544 | 391 | 0 | 0 | 314 | 327 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1440 | 0 | 1560 | 0 | 1900 | 0 | 1618 | 1841 | 0 | 1810 | 1777 | 1844 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 16.1 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 16.1 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.07 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 140 | 0 | 936 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 1782 | 1610 | 0 | 2 | 508 | 527 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 0.62 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 288 | 0 | 1097 | 0 | 290 | 0 | 1782 | 1610 | 0 | 69 | 508 | 527 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.67 | 1.67 | 1.67 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 48.0 | 0.0 | 13.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.5 | 32.6 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 5.4 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.4 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 7.8 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 48.3 | 0.0 | 14.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.1 | 38.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | A | B | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | D | D |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 606 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 935 |  |  | 641 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 15.3 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 1.3 |  |  | 38.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  | D |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), $s$ | 0.0 | 95.8 |  | 9.2 | 61.8 | 34.0 |  | 9.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 4.0 | 73.0 |  | 16.0 | 47.0 | 30.0 |  | 16.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 0.0 | 2.0 |  | 3.1 | 4.0 | 18.1 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 2.6 |  | 2.1 | 2.1 | 3.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 16.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「「「 | ＊ | $\hat{\square}$ |  | ${ }^{1+1}$ | 性 |  | ${ }_{1}$ | 个4 | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 150 | 3 | 796 | 28 | 15 | 6 | 475 | 749 | 8 | 1 | 1044 | 166 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 150 | 3 | 796 | 28 | 15 | 6 | 475 | 749 | 8 | 1 | 1044 | 166 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 0.99 |  | 0.96 | 1.00 |  | 0.96 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1796 | 1900 | 1841 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1693 | 1781 | 1900 | 1900 | 1856 | 1781 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 156 | 3 | 798 | 29 | 16 | 1 | 495 | 780 | 7 | 1 | 1088 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 |
| Cap，veh／h | 306 | 5 | 1210 | 115 | 317 | 20 | 839 | 2029 | 18 | 158 | 1444 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.54 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.09 | 0.41 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1326 | 25 | 2638 | 689 | 1765 | 110 | 3127 | 3437 | 31 | 1810 | 3526 | 1510 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 159 | 0 | 798 | 29 | 0 | 17 | 495 | 384 | 403 | 1 | 1088 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1351 | 0 | 1319 | 689 | 0 | 1875 | 1564 | 1692 | 1775 | 1810 | 1763 | 1510 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 27.7 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 11.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 27.7 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 0.98 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.06 | 1.00 |  | 0.02 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 310 | 0 | 1210 | 115 | 0 | 337 | 839 | 999 | 1048 | 158 | 1444 |  |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.51 | 0.00 | 0.66 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.59 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.01 | 0.75 |  |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 380 | 0 | 1339 | 148 | 0 | 429 | 839 | 999 | 1048 | 158 | 1444 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 40.6 | 0.0 | 22.6 | 47.7 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 20.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.8 | 26.5 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh | $1 / 18.8$ | 0.0 | 7.3 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 11.7 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.50 |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 41.5 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 48.6 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 21.2 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 43.8 | 29.3 | 15.5 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | A | C | D | A | D | C | A | A | D | C | B |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 957 |  |  | 46 |  |  | 1282 |  |  | 1188 | A |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 26.5 |  |  | 43.8 |  |  | 8.7 |  |  | 28.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | C |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 20.7 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | C |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green．
User approved changes to right turn type．
Unsignalized Delay for［SBR］is included in calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．

| $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个4 | F |  | 个4 | F |  |  |  | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） 0 | 1024 | 844 | 0 | 645 | 317 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 416 | 52 | 587 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） 0 | 1024 | 844 | 0 | 645 | 317 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 416 | 52 | 587 |
| Initial $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Qb})$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.99 |
| Parking Bus，Adj 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach | No |  |  | No |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1826 | 1841 | 0 | 1826 | 1870 |  |  |  | 1841 | 1856 | 1663 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h 0 | 1089 | 0 | 0 | 686 | 0 |  |  |  | 482 | 0 | 569 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |  |  |  | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 2 |  |  |  | 4 | 3 | 16 |
| Cap，veh／h 0 | 1623 |  | 0 | 1623 |  |  |  |  | 1532 | 0 | 607 |
| Arrive On Green 0.00 | 0.94 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.94 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.44 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 3561 | 1560 | 0 | 3561 | 1585 |  |  |  | 3506 | 0 | 1389 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h 0 | 1089 | 0 | 0 | 686 | 0 |  |  |  | 482 | 0 | 569 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln 0 | 1735 | 1560 | 0 | 1735 | 1585 |  |  |  | 1753 | 0 | 1389 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s 0.0 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 9.4 | 0.0 | 41.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s 0．0 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 9.4 | 0.0 | 41.0 |
| Prop In Lane 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h 0 | 1623 |  | 0 | 1623 |  |  |  |  | 1532 | 0 | 607 |
| V／C Ratio（X） 0.00 | 0.67 |  | 0.00 | 0.42 |  |  |  |  | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.94 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h 0 | 1623 |  | 0 | 1623 |  |  |  |  | 1803 | 0 | 715 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） 0.00 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.93 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 19.3 | 0.0 | 28.2 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.0 | 17.6 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／Ir0．0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 3.6 | 0.0 | 15.4 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  | 1.20 |  |  | 0.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh 0.0 | 3.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0.3 |  |  |  | 19.4 | 0.0 | 45.8 |
| LnGrp LOS A | A | A | A | A | A |  |  |  | B | A | D |
| Approach Vol，veh／h | 1987 | A |  | 1023 | A |  |  |  |  | 1051 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh | 2.4 |  |  | 1.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 33.7 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ）， s | 54.1 |  | 50.9 |  | 54.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 41.0 |  | 54.0 |  | 41.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 7.7 |  | 43.0 |  | 4.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 10.2 |  | 2.9 |  | 5.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl DelayHCM 6th LOS |  | 10.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement．
User approved changes to right turn type．
Unsignalized Delay for［EBR，WBR］is included in calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．


## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [NBR, EBR, WBR] is included in calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| ID | Software/Method | Intersection | Control Type | LOS | Delay |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V/C Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |
| S Synchro HCM 6th Signal | Boones Ferry Road \& Day Rd | Signal | B | 16 | 0.67 |
| 3 Synchro HCM 6th Signal | Boones Ferry Road \& 95th Avenue | Signal | C | 21 | 0.71 |
| 4 Synchro HCM 6th Signal | I-5 SB On Ramp/l-5 SB Off Ramp \& Boon. | Signal | B | 10 | 0.79 |
| 5 Synchro HCM 6th Signal | I-5 NB Off-Ramp/I-5 NB On-Ramp \& Ellig | Signal | A | 7 | 0.35 |

## APPENDIX G

## SITE PLAN



| From: | Pepper, Amy [apepper@ci.wilsonville.or.us](mailto:apepper@ci.wilsonville.or.us) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Friday, June 10, 2022 5:11 PM |
| To: | Lee D. Leighton; Bradford, Philip |
| Cc: | Scott Moore; Adam Goldberg; Igor Nichiporchik; Vlad Tkach; Janet T. Jones; Breezy |
|  | Rinehart-Young; Greg Mino |
| Subject: | RE: Delta Logistics (DB22-0007 et al) - Building SF increase and TIA |
|  |  |
| Lee ~ |  |
|  |  |
| I consulted with DKS. The proposed change results in approximately 5 additional PM peak trips. The intersections have |  |
| adequate capacity and a new TIA is not needed. |  |

Have a great weekend!
Amy

From: Lee D. Leighton [LLeighton@mcknze.com](mailto:LLeighton@mcknze.com)
Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 1:54 PM
To: Pepper, Amy [apepper@ci.wilsonville.or.us](mailto:apepper@ci.wilsonville.or.us); Bradford, Philip [pbradford@ci.wilsonville.or.us](mailto:pbradford@ci.wilsonville.or.us)
Cc: Scott Moore [SMoore@mcknze.com](mailto:SMoore@mcknze.com); Adam Goldberg [AGoldberg@mcknze.com](mailto:AGoldberg@mcknze.com); Igor Nichiporchik
[igor@deltagov.com](mailto:igor@deltagov.com); Vlad Tkach [vlad@deltagov.com](mailto:vlad@deltagov.com); Janet T. Jones [JTJ@mcknze.com](mailto:JTJ@mcknze.com); Breezy Rinehart-Young [BRinehart@mcknze.com](mailto:BRinehart@mcknze.com); Greg Mino [GMino@mcknze.com](mailto:GMino@mcknze.com)
Subject: Delta Logistics (DB22-0007 et al) - Building SF increase and TIA
[This email originated outside of the City of Wilsonville]

Hi Amy, Hi Philip:
We are currently in the process of responding to guidance from City staff in the notice of incomplete application for the Delta Logistics Annex project on SW Day Road (DB22-0007 et al).

In that context, it has come to our attention that the TIA prepared by DIKS used an assumed building size of $56,100 \mathrm{SF}$, but two changes have occurred that affect the building size figure:

1. The submitted plans include a building that is 2,016 SF larger, at $58,116 \mathrm{SF}$, and
2. The owner has asked us to design two interior mezzanine structures as possible future expansions.

As a result, we anticipate that this ultimate configuration will be in our revised land use submittal:

| Proposed building SF: Additional Mezzanines: |  | 58,116 SF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NW | 2,196 SF |
|  | SW | 1,833 SF |

Revised Total Building SF:
62,145 SF

The resulting SF figure represents a $10.8 \%$ increase in the building's proposed overall floor area relative to the anticipated building area in the TIA. We think it makes sense to ask DKS to comment on whether this change will affect the conclusions of their February 2022 TIA for the project. Based on the results of their Table 5: Future Intersection Operations, we think it unlikely that any study intersection's performance will be significantly affected by the change:

## FUTURE INTERSECTION OPERATIONS

An analysis of the future intersection operations was performed at the study intersections for each future scenario. Intersection operations were analyzed for the PM peak hour using Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) 6th Edition methodology. ${ }^{9}$ The volume to capacity (v/c) ratio, delay, and level of service (LOS) of each study intersection are listed in Table 5.

TABLE 5: FUTURE INTERSECTION OPERATIONS

| INTERSECTION | OPERATING STANDARD/ MOBILITY TARGET | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXISTING } \\ & + \text { PROJECT } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXISTING } \\ & + \text { STAGE II } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXISTING } \\ & \text { + STAGE II } \\ & + \text { PROJECT } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | v/c | delay | Los | v/c | delay | tos | v/c | delay | Los |
| SIGNALIZED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BOONES FERRY RD/ <br> DAY RD | LOS D (City) | 0.66 | 16.0 | B | 0.66 | 15.8 | B | 0.67 | 16.0 | B |
| BOONES FERRY RD/ 95TH AVE | LOS D (City) | 0.70 | 20.4 | C | 0.71 | 20.6 | C | 0.71 | 20.7 | C |
| I-5 SOUTHBOUND RAMPS/ ELLIGSEN RD | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \leq 0.99 \\ \text { (ODOT) } \end{gathered}$ | 0.76 | 9.6 | A | 0.79 | 10.3 | B | 0.79 | 10.4 | B |
| I-5 NORTHBOUND RAMPS/ ELLIGSEN RD | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \leq 0.99 \\ \text { (ODOT) } \end{gathered}$ | 0.33 | 7.2 | A | 0.35 | 7.2 | A | 0.35 | 7.2 | A |
| TWO-WAY STOP- CONTROLLED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SITE ACCESS/ DAY RD | LOS D (City) | 0.06 | 14.5 | A/B | - | - | - | 0.06 | 14.5 | A/B |
| SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION: <br> Delay $=$ Average Intersection Delay (secs) <br> $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=$ Total Volume-to-Capacity Ratio <br> LOS $=$ Total Level of Service |  | TWO-WAY STOP CONTROLLED INTERSECTION: Delay $=$ Critical Movement Delay (secs) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=$ Critical Movement Volume-to-Capacity Ratio LOS $=$ Critical Levels of Service (Major/Minor Road) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

As shown, all study intersections are expected to meet the City's operating standard under all future analysis scenarios. HCM reports are provided in the appendix.
(DKS TIA report at p. 14)
Please consider and reply to let us know what action is needed to take note of this change.
Thank you,

## ~Lee

[^15]https://mackenzie.inc | Portland, OR | Vancouver, WA | Seattle, WA
Mackenzie Email Disclaimer

From: Adam Goldberg [AGoldberg@mcknze.com](mailto:AGoldberg@mcknze.com)
Sent: Wednesday, June 1, 2022 9:43 AM
To: Lee D. Leighton [LLeighton@mcknze.com](mailto:LLeighton@mcknze.com)
Cc: Scott Moore [SMoore@mcknze.com](mailto:SMoore@mcknze.com)
Subject: Office Areas - Delta Logistics Wilsonville

Lee,
Below are the areas for current and future office build-outs for Delta:

- NW Office: 2,437sf
- NW Mezzanine: 2,196sf
- Future SW Office: 2,037sf
- Future SW Mezzanine: 1,833sf
- Total Office Area: 8,503sf

Let me know if you need anything else for this matter.
Thanks,
Adam Goldberg
Architect
AIA, NCARB
D 971.346.3735 E agoldberg@mcknze.com
MACKENZIE.
ARCHITECTURE • INTERIORS • STRUCTURAL, CIVIL, AND TRAFFIC ENGINEERING
LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION PLANNING • LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE
mackenzie.inc | 1515 SE WATER AVENUE, SUITE 100, PORTLAND, OR 97214
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